| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|---|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: A | Reference Number: 1.4.1.2. (dwelling unit) | | | |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: A | Reference Number: 1.4.1.2.(1) | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise definition fo | r "Dwelling unit" | to remove reference to "domicile". | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | Dwelling unit means a suite operated as a housekeeping unit, used or intended to be used as a domicile by one or more persons and usually containing cooking, eating, living, sleeping and sanitary facilities. | | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | Dwelling unit means a suite operated as a housekeeping unit, used or intended to be used by one or more persons and usually containing cooking, eating, living, sleeping and sanitary facilities. | | | | | |
| PROBLEM | A change to the definition of dwelling unit as described above is being proposed for the NBC, NFC and OBC. A proposed change to the OFC is required for harmonization purposes. | | | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | See above. | | | | | |
| IMPACT | The OFC will be ha of dwelling unit . | rmonized with the | NBC, NFC and OBC in the definition | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE | OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | N/A | | | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: A | Reference Number: 1.4.1.2. | | | |
| Correspo | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add a new definition for Class K fire hazards. | | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | None. | | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | Class K fire means cooking media such | Class K fire means a fire in cooking appliances that involves combustible cooking media such as vegetable oil or animal oils and fats. | | | | |
| PROBLEM | Dry chemical extinguishing agents have been found to be ineffective in controlling cooking fires using higher temperature cooking oils and require newer, Class K wet chemical extinguishing agents for effective extinguishment. | | | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | See above. The definition is required within the context of new requirements proposed for Part 6. | | | | | |
| IMPACT | See corresponding changes in Part 6. | | | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | See corresponding changes in Part 6. | | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSI | S OF THE CHA | NGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | N/A | | | | | |

Г

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: A | Reference Number: 1.4.1.2. | | | |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | In the definition of t "hotel establishment | the term "guest sui t". | te", the term "hotel" is substituted with | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 1.4.1.2 Guest suite means a single room or a series of rooms of complementary use providing sleeping accommodation for the travelling public or for recreational purposes in a hotel. | | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 1.4.1.2 Guest suite means a single room or a series of rooms of complementary use providing sleeping accommodation for the travelling public or for recreational purposes in a hotel establishment. | | | | | |
| PROBLEM | The word "establishment" was inadvertently omitted from the definition in the 2007 Fire Code (O. Reg. 213/07) and thereby limits the scope of application. | | | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change restores the definition consistent with O. Reg. 388/97 as amended by O. Reg. 144/06, thus re-establishing the applicable requirements for guest suites in a hotel and all connected or adjacent buildings operated in connection with a hotel as regulated by Retrofit Section 9.9. | | | | | |
| IMPACT | None. The change is consistent with the original O. Reg. 388/97 as amended by O. Reg. 144/06. | | | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. The change i by O. Reg. 144/06. | is consistent with t | he original O. Reg. 388/97 as amended | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | N/A | | | | | |

| PROPO | DSED CHANGE | TO THE 2007 | FIRE CODE (OFC) | | |
|--|--|----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: A | Reference Number: 1.4.1.2. | | |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add a new defined | term for "refuse sto | orage room". | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | None. | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 1.4.1.2., Division A Refuse storage room means a room in a building provided for the storage of combustible refuse, including all solid waste materials and recyclables. | | | | |
| PROBLEM | The Fire Code does not clearly state whether recyclables are part of refuse storage. | | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed definition clarifies the intent of the provisions for fire separation of refuse storage rooms in Part 9. | | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved compliance. | | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Refer to corresponding change to Article 9.5.2.12. | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSI | S OF THE CHAN | NGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | N/A | | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 1.1.1.3. | | | |
| Correspo | nding NFC Record | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | New Article to clarify that a reference to care or detention occupancy includes a detention occupancy , care and treatment occupancy and c occupancy . | | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | None. | | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 1.1.1.3. In this Code, a reference to care or detention occupancy includes a detention occupancy, care and treatment occupancy and care occupancy. | | | | | |
| PROBLEM | The Code contains requirements which in some cases apply specifically to a detention occupancy , care and treatment occupancy or care occupancy . In other cases, the requirements apply to all three occupancies by reference to the umbrella care or detention occupancy definition. However, the umbrella definition does not explicitly refer to the defined terms for the individual occupancy types. This has caused confusion for some Code users. | | | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change will clarify that all three individual occupancy types fall within the scope of the umbrella definition. | | | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity and enforcement. | | | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE | OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | Note ¹ | | | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHA | NGE – OFC | Record Divisio | n: B | Reference Number: Tabl | e 1.2.1.A | |
| Corresp | onding NFC (| Change Divisio | n: B | Reference Number: Table | 1.3.1.2. | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revision to the See also related | Revision to the table of standards referenced in the OFC. See also related change to Sentence 1.2.1.1.(2). | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | See existing | See existing OFC TABLE 1.2.1.A. forming Part of Article 1.2.1.1. | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | See Table below. Text shown with strikethrough indicates deletion of a standard, title or code reference. Text with an <u>underline</u> is a new standard, edition of a standard, title or code reference. | | | | | |
| | | Form | ning Part o | of Article 1.2.1.1. | | |
| | Issuing Agency | Document Number | Title of I | Document | Code Reference | |
| | ANSI/ ASME | BPVC-2007 ¹ | Boiler ar | nd Pressure Vessel Code-1992 | 4.3.1.3.(1) 4.4.10.5.(2) 4.4.10.6.(1) | |
| | ANSI/ASME | B16.5- 1988<u>2</u>003 | ¹ Pipe Flan <u>NPS 1/2</u> Standard | nges and Flanged Fittings Through NPS 24 Metric/Inch | 4.4.5.3.(1) | |
| | ANSI/ASME | B31.3- 1993 2008 ¹ | Chemica Refinery | l Plant and Petroleum Piping Process Piping | 4.4.2.1.(5) | |
| | API | 5L- 1992 2007 ¹ | Line Pip | e | 4.4.2.1.(4) | |
| | API | 12B- 1990 2008 ¹ | Bolted T Production | anks for Storage of on Liquids | 4.3.1.2.(1) | |
| | API | 12D- 1982 2008 ¹ | Field We Production | elded Tanks for Storage of on Liquids | 4.3.1.2.(1) | |
| | API | 12F- 1994<u>2008</u>1 | Shop We Production | elded Tanks for Storage of on Liquids | 4.3.1.2.(1) | |
| | API | 620- 1990<u>2008</u>1 | Design a Welded, | nd Construction of Large, Low-Pressure Storage Tanks | 4.3.1.3.(1) 4.3.3.1.(1) | |
| | API | 650- 1993<u>2007</u>1 | Welded & | Steel Tanks for Oil Storage | 4.3.1.2.(1) 4.3.3.1.(1) | |
| | API | 1104- 1994<u>2005</u>1 | Welding | of Pipelines and Related | 4.4.5.2.(1) | |

| | | Facilities | |
|-----------------|---|---|---|
| API | 2000- 1992<u>1998</u>1 | Venting Atmospheric and Low- Pressure Storage Tanks: Nonrefrigerated and Refrigerated | 4.3.4.1.(1) |
| API | <u>RP</u> 2200-1994 ⁶ | <u>Repairing</u> Repairs to Crude Oil, Liquefied Petroleum Gas and Product s Pipelines | 4.4.11.7.(6) |
| API | <u>RP</u> 2201- 1985 2003 ¹ | <u>Safe</u> Welding or Hot Tapping Practices in the Petroleum and Petrochemical Industries on Equipment Containing Flammables | 4.4.11.7.(6) |
| ASTM | A 53-93a A 53/A 53M-07 ¹ | Pipe, Steel, Black and Hot-Dipped, Zinc-Coated, Welded and Seamless | 4.4.2.1.(4) |
| ASTM | A 193/A 193M- 94a<u>08b</u>¹ | Alloy-Steel and Stainless Steel Bolting Materials for High Temperature <u>or High Pressure</u> Service and Other Special Purpose Applications | 4.4.5.4. |
| ASTM | D 56- 93 05 ¹ | Flash Point by Tag Closed Cup Tester | 4.1.3.1.(1) |
| ASTM | D 93- 90<u>08</u>1 | Flash Point by Pensky-Martens Closed <u>Cup</u> Tester | 4.1.3.1.(2) |
| ASTM | D 323- 90<u>08</u>1 | Vapor Pressure of Petroleum Products (Reid Method) | 1.4.1.2. of Division A (Vapour pressure) |
| ASTM | D 3278- 89 96e1 ¹ | Flash Point of Liquids by Setaflash Small Scale Closed-Cup Apparatus | 4.1.3.1.(4) |
| ASTM | D 3828- 93<u>07a</u>¹ | Flash Point by Small Scale Closed Cup Tester | 4.1.3.1.(3) |
| CPPI | PACE Report No. 87–1 ⁴ | Impressed Current Method of Cathodic Protection of Underground Petroleum Storage Tanks | 4.3.9.1.(2) 4.4.3.1.(2) |
| CSA | B51- 94<u>09</u>1 | Boiler, Pressure Vessel, and Pressure Piping Code | 4.3.1.3.(2) |
| CSA | B620- 1987<u>2003</u>1 | Highway Tanks and Portable Tanks for the Transportation of Dangerous Goods | 4.2.3.1.(1) |
| CSA | <u>CAN/</u> CSA- W117.2- <u>M8706</u> ¹ | Code for Safety in Welding, and Cutting and Allied Processes | 5.17.1.1. |
| CSA | CSA- Z32-04 ⁶ | Electrical Safety and Essential Electrical Systems in Health Care Facilities | 6.7.1.1.(2) |

| CSA | Z245.1- 93 07 ¹ | Steel Line-Pipe | 4.4.2.1.(4) |
|-------------|--|---|--|
| NACE | <u>RPO285 – 2002</u> | Corrosion Control of Underground Storage Tank Systems by Cathodic Protection | 4.3.9.1.(1) |
| <u>NACE</u> | <u>SP0169-2007</u> ⁷ | Control of External Corrosion on Underground or Submerged Metallic Piping System | <u>4.3.9.1.(2)</u> 4.4.3.1.(2) |
| NFPA | 10- <u>2002</u> 2007 ¹ | Portable Fire Extinguishers | 6.2.7.1. |
| NFPA | 11- 2002 2005 ¹ | Standard for Low-, Medium-, and High-Expansion Foam | 4.3.2.5.(2) 6.8.1.1.(3) |
| NFPA | 12- 2000 2005 ¹ | Standard on Carbon Dioxide Extinguishing Systems | 6.8.1.1.(3) |
| NFPA | 12A- 2004 2009 ¹ | Standard on Halon 1301 Fire Extinguishing Systems | 6.8.1.1.(3) |
| NFPA | 13- 2002 2007 ¹ | Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems | 3.3.1.8.(1) 3.3.1.9. 3.3.2.10.(3) 3.3.3.6.(1) 4.8.4.3.(4) 4.9.4.1.(2) 5.4.2.3. 5.4.5.1. 5.12.8.2.(1) 5.13.6.1. 6.5.1.1.(1) 9.2.5.2.(4) Table 9.2.5.A. 9.5.5.3.(2) 9.6.5.5.(2) |
| NFPA | 15- 2001 2007 ¹ | Standard for Water Spray Fixed Systems for Fire Protection | 4.3.2.5.(2) 6.8.1.1.(4) |
| NFPA | 16- 2003 2007 ¹ | Standard for the Installation of Foam- Water Sprinkler and Foam-Water Spray Systems | 6.8.1.1.(4) |
| NFPA | 17- 2002 2009 ¹ | Standard for Dry Chemical Extinguishing Systems | 6.8.1.1.(3) |
| NFPA | 17A- 2002 2009 ¹ | Standard for Wet Chemical Extinguishing Systems | 6.8.1.1.(3) |
| NFPA | 18- <u>1995</u> 2006 ¹ | Standard on Wetting Agents | 6.8.1.1.(5) |
| NFPA | 25- <u>2002</u> 2008 ¹ - | Standard for the Inspection, Testing and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems | 6.4.1.6. 6.5.1.1.(2) 6.6.1.5.(1) to |

| | | | (3) |
|-------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------|
| NFPA | 30- <u>2003</u> 2008 ¹ | Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.2.7.7.(1) |
| | | Code | 4.2.10.5.(1) |
| NFPA | 32- 2003<u>2007</u>1 | Standard for Drycleaning Plants | 3.6.1.1.(1) |
| NFPA | 33- 2003 2007 ¹ | Standard for Spray Application Using | 5.12.3.5. |
| | | Flammable or Combustible Materials | 5.12.8.2.(2) |
| | | | 5.14.6.1.(3) |
| NFPA | 37- 1990<u>2006</u>1 | Standard for the Installation and Use | 4.3.12.2. |
| | | of Stationary Combustion Engines | |
| | | and Gas Turbines | |
| NFPA | 51- 1992<u>2007</u>1 | Standard for <u>Design and Installation</u> | 5.17.2.1. |
| | | of the Oxygen-Fuel Gas Systems for | |
| | | Welding, Cutting and Allied | |
| | 55 10020005 | Processes | 5 (1 1 (2) |
| NFPA | 55- 1993 2005 [≞] | Standard for the Storage, Use and | 5.6.1.1.(3) |
| | | Cryogenic Fluids in Portable and | |
| | | Stationary Containers, Cylinders, and | |
| | | Tanks and Liquefied Gases in | |
| | | Portable Cylinders | |
| NFPA | 68- 1994 2007 ¹ | Guide for Venting of Deflagrations | 4.1.5.9.(4) |
| | | Standard on Explosion Protection by | 4.2.9.6.(1) |
| | | Deflagration Venting | and (2) |
| | | | 4.3.13.3. |
| | | | 4.8.3.1. |
| | | | 4.8.4.2.(1) |
| | | | 5.6.2.4.(1) |
| | | | 5.10.1.5.(2) |
| | | | 5.10.1.7.(2) |
| | | | 3.10.3.3.(2) |
| NFPA | 69_10022008 ¹ | Standard on Explosion Prevention | 4325(2) |
| 11117 | $07 - \frac{1772}{2000}$ | Systems | 4842(1) |
| | | | 5.10.1.8 (2) |
| NFPA | 72- 1990 1993 ⁶ | Standard for the Installation | 6312(5) |
| 11111 | 12 1770 1775 | Maintenance, and Use of Protective | 0.5.1.2.(5) |
| | | Signaling Systems | |
| NFPA | 82- 2004 2009 ¹ | Standard on Incinerators and Waste | 2.6.3.1.(2) |
| | | and Linen Handling Systems and | 2.6.3.2. |
| | | Equipment | |
| NFPA | 86- <u>1995</u> 2007 ¹ | Standard for Ovens and Furnaces | 4.4.9.5.(2) |
| | | | 5.18.4.1. |
| NFPA | 91- 1999<u>2004</u>1 | Standard for Exhaust Systems for Air | 3.2.1.2. |

| | | Conveying of Vapors, Gases, Mists, and Noncombustible Particulate Solids | 4.1.7.2.(5) 4.12.8.4.(1) |
|----------------|---|---|--|
| NFPA | 96 - 2001<u>2008</u>1 | Standard for Ventilation Control and Fire Protection of Commercial Cooking Operations | 2.6.1.12.(1) 2.6.1.13. |
| NFPA | 505- 1992<u>2006</u>1 | Fire Safety Standard for Powered Industrial Trucks Including Type Designations, Areas of Use, <u>Conversions,</u> Maintenance, and Operation <u>s</u> | 3.4.1.1. |
| NFPA | 705- 2003 2009 ¹ | Recommended Practice for a Field Flame Test for Textiles and Films | 2.3.2.2. 2.9.2.1. |
| <u>NFPA</u> | <u>2001 - 2008²</u> | Standard on Clean Agent Fire Extinguishing Systems | 6.8.1.1.(3) |
| ULC | CAN4-S111- M80 ⁶ | Standard Method of Fire Tests for Air Filter Units | 5.12.2.3. |
| ULC | ULC-S531- 1978 M87 ² | Standard for Smoke Alarms | 2.13.2.1.(6) 9.5.4.5.(4) 9.6.4.10.(4) 9.8.4.2.(4) 9.9.4.13.(3) |
| ULC | <u>ULC/ORD</u> - C1275-1984 ⁶ | Storage Cabinets for Flammable Liquid Containers | 4.2.10.5. |
| ULC | <u>CAN/</u> ULC-S601- 93 <u>07</u> 1 | Shop Fabricated Steel Aboveground Horizontal Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids | $\begin{array}{c} 4.3.1.2.(1) \\ 4.3.3.2.(1)^2 \end{array}$ |
| ULC | CAN/ULC-S602- M92<u>07</u>1 | Aboveground Steel Tanks for Fuel Oil and Lubricating Oil | 4.3.1.2.(1) |
| ULC | CAN/ ULC-S603- M92<u>00</u>1 | Steel Underground Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.3.1.2.(1) 4.3.15.4.(5) |
| ULC | CAN/ULC-S612- M88<u>07</u>1 | Hose <u>and Hose Assemblies</u> for Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.5.5.1.(1) |
| ULC | CAN4 ULC- S615- M83<u>9</u>8 1 | Reinforced Plastic Underground Tanks for Petroleum Products Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.3.1.2.(1) 4.3.8.5.(2) 4.3.15.4.(5) |
| ULC | CAN/ULC-S620- M90<u>07</u>1 | Hose Nozzle Valves for Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.4.8.1.(2) 4.5.5.2.(1) |
| ULC | ULC-S630-93 ⁴ | Shop Fabricated Steel Aboveground Vertical Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.3.1.2.(1) ² 4.3.3.2.(1) |
| ULC | CAN/ULC-S633- M90 99 ¹ | Flexible Underground Hose Connectors for Flammable and | 4.4.7.13.(2) |

| | | Combustible Liquids | |
|---|---|---|---|
| ULC | CAN/ULC-S642- M87<u>07</u>1 | Compounds and Tapes for Threaded Pipe Joints | 4.4.5.1. |
| ULC | CAN/ULC-S643- M90⁴ | Shop Fabricated Steel Aboveground Utility Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.3.1.2.(1) ² 4.3.7.4.(2) |
| ULC | CAN/ ULC-S644- M90<u>00</u>1 | Emergency Breakaway Fittings for Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.5.5.2.(4) |
| ULC | CAN/ULC-S651- M90<u>07</u>1 | Emergency Valves for Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.4.8.1.(3) 4.5.6.3.(1) |
| ULC | <u>CAN/</u> ULC-S652- 93 <u>08</u> 1 | Tank Assemblies for <u>the</u> Collection, <u>Storage and Removal</u> of Used Oil | 4.3.1.2.(1) |
| ULC | <u>CAN/</u> ULC-S653- 94 <u>06</u> ¹ | Aboveground Steel Contained Tank Assemblies for Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.3.1.2.(1) |
| <u>ULC</u> | CAN/ULC-S660- 08 ⁷ | Nonmetallic Underground Piping for Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.4.2.1.(3) 4.4.7.13.(2) |
| <u>ULC</u> | <u>ULC-S661-10²</u> | Overfill Protection Devices for Flammable and Combustible Liquid Storage Tanks | <u>4.3.1.8.</u> |
| ULC | ULC/ORD- C58.9-1997⁴ | Secondary Containment Liners for Underground and Aboveground Flammable and Combustible Liquid Tanks | 4 .3.7.2.(2) |
| ULC | ULC/ORD- C58.10-1992 ⁴ | Jacketed Steel Underground Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.3.1.2.(1) 4.3.9.1.(2) |
| ULC | ULC/ORD- C107.4-1992 ⁴ | Ducted Flexible Underground Piping Systems for Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.4.2.1.(3) |
| ULC | ULC/ORD- C107.7-1993 ⁴ | Glass Fibre Reinforced Plastic Pipe and Fittings for Flammable Liquids | 4.4.2.1.(3) |
| ULC | ULC/ORD- C142.23-1991 ⁴ | Aboveground Waste Oil Tanks | 4.3.1.2.(1) |
| ULC | ULC/ORD-C693 ² | Central Station Fire Protective Signalling Systems and Services | 6.3.1.2.(2) |
| ULC | ULC/ORD-C971- 2005 ⁴ | Nonmetallic Underground Piping for Flammable and Combustible Liquids | 4.4.7.13.(2) |
| Standard is Standard is Standard is a p There is a p Standard is The Code research | being updated to a referenced in a pro- proposal to delete the obsolete. eference has chang | current edition. posed technical change. he Code provision that contains this ref red for this document. | erence. |

| | ⁶ Errata ⁷ Standard replaces obsolete standard |
|---|--|
| | |
| PROBLEM | A number of the currently listed standards have been updated or superseded by other standards or are no longer referenced in the Code |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Referencing the latest edition of standards and other documents permits owners to take advantage of new technology and new test results. This will promote both better protection and more economical application. |
| | Most of these changes correspond to technical changes to the National Fire Code and are presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The changes may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. |
| IMPACT | Adoption of current editions for standards should have minimal impact. Exclusions for existing construction and installations will be included in the Code as appropriate. |
| | Documents will be more readily available and will reflect current technology and good practices. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE | Various code provisions will be impacted based on standards being replaced or deleted. For example: |
| PROVISIONS | 4.3.1.2.(1) will be revised to delete reference to four standards |
| | 4.3.3.2.(1)(b) will be revised to reference the replacement standard ULC-S601 |
| | 4.4.2.1.(3)(a) and (b) and 4.4.7.13. will be revised to reference the replacement standard, CAN/ULC-S660 |
| OBJEC | TIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | N/A |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 1.2.1.1.(2) | | | | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence 1.2.1.1.(2) to include all construction, installations, appliances or devices that comply with documents referenced in previous editions of the Fire Code. | | | | |
| | See also related char | nge to Table 1.2.1. | А. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 1.2.1.1.(1) A 1.2.1.A. is to the edi | reference in this C ition designated in | ode to a document set out in Table the table. | | |
| | (2) The edition | on specified in Sen | tence (1) does not apply to, | | |
| | (a) commerc | ial cooking equipn | nent in Sentence 2.6.1.12.(1), | | |
| | (b) solid-fue | l-burning applian | ces and equipment in Article 2.6.2.1., | | |
| | (c) outdoor i | ncinerators in Sent | tence 2.6.3.1.(2), | | |
| | (d) blower and exhaust systems in Article 3.2.1.2., | | | | |
| | (e) sprinkler systems in Sentences 3.3.3.6.(1), 4.12.8.4.(2) and 4.12.8.5.(3), Articles 5.4.2.3. and 5.4.5.1., Sentence 5.12.8.2.(1) and Article 5.13.6.1., | | | | |
| | (f) explosion venting in Subclause 5.6.2.4.(1)(d)(ii) and Sentence 5.18.3.3.(2), | | | | |
| | (g) fire protection in Sentence 5.12.8.2.(2), and | | | | |
| | (h) sprinkler systems and special fire suppression systems in Sentences 4.2.7.7.(1). 4.8.4.3.(4) and 4.9.3.2.(2) and (3) | | | | |
| | for installations or construction that meet, on November 20, 2007, the requirements of Ontario Regulation 388/97 (Fire Code), as it read on that day. | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | | | | | |
| | (2) Except as provided in Sentence (3), the edition specified in Sentence (1) does not apply to any installations or construction that meet, on <i><date comes="" force="" into="" regulation=""></date></i> , the requirements of documents as set out in Table 1.2.1.A. of Ontario Regulation 213/07 (Fire Code), as it read on that day. | | | | |
| | (3) Sentence | (2) does not apply | to, | | |
| | (a) smoke alarms in Sentence 2.13.2.1.(6). | | | | |

| PROBLEM | Existing installations or equipment that conform to standards previously referenced in earlier versions of either the Fire Code may still provide adequate protection for a given hazard and should be exempt from meeting specifications in new standards. | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Existing systems that do not meet the design or installation requirements of current editions of the referenced documents are deemed to provide appropriate protection for a given hazard except as noted for older smoke alarms [see corresponding change for 2.13.2.1.(6)]. | | |
| IMPACT | Will prevent costly upgrades to existing equipment installations that do not comply completely with newer editions of standards, but still provide for adequate protection. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | N/A | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 2.1.1.2. | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Article 2.1.1.2. is deleted and Article 2.1.1.3. is renumbered to 2.1.1.2. The term "Scope" is deleted. The Subsection title is changed to "Application". | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | SECTION 2.1 GEN | IERAL | | |
| PROVISIONS | Subsection 2.1.1. S | cope and Applicat | on | |
| | Scope | | | |
| | 2.1.1.1. This Part provides measures for the safety of persons, the elimination or control of fire hazards in and around buildings , the maintenance of certain life safety systems in buildings , the establishing of a fire safety plan in those buildings where required and the installation of smoke alarms in dwelling units . | | | |
| | Application | | | |
| | 2.1.1.2. Except as provided in Article 2.1.1.3., this Part applies to buildings , tents , air-supported structures and outdoor public amusement areas . | | | |
| | 2.1.1.3. (1) | This Part does not | apply to individual dwelling units . | |
| | (2) Despite Sentence (1), Section 2.2 (Fire Separations), Subsection 2.4.7. (Vacant Buildings), Section 2.6 (Service Equipment), Section 2.11 (Insulation and Re-Insulation), Section 2.13 (Installation of Smoke Alarms) and Section 2.15 (Portable Oxygen Systems) apply to individual dwelling units . | | | |
| | (3) Despite Sentence (1), this Part applies to facilities regulated by or under the Developmental Services Act . | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | SECTION 2.1 GEN | VERAL | | |
| CHANGE | Subsection 2.1.1. Application | | | |
| | Application | | | |
| | 2.1.1.1. This elimination or contr maintenance of certs fire safety plan in th smoke alarms in dy | s Part provides me ol of fire hazards i ain life safety syste ose buildings whe welling units. | asures for the safety of persons, the n and around buildings , the ems in buildings , the establishing of a ere required and the installation of | |

| | 2.1.1.2. (1) This Part does not apply to individual dwelling units . |
|--|--|
| | (2) Despite Sentence (1), Section 2.2 (Fire Separations), Subsection 2.4.7. (Vacant Buildings), Section 2.6 (Service Equipment), Section 2.11 (Insulation and Re-Insulation), Section 2.13 (Installation of Smoke Alarms) and Section 2.15 (Portable Oxygen Systems) apply to individual dwelling units. |
| | (3) Despite Sentence (1), this Part applies to facilities regulated by or under the Developmental Services Act . |
| PROBLEM | The application statement in Article 2.1.1.2. is not consistent with the content of Part 2. The application statement does not capture some activities outside buildings, such as open air burning. |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Clarify the application of Part 2. |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | The renumbering may require corresponding editorial changes to other Code provisions. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: | В | Reference Number: 2.2.3.5.(1) |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: | N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Replace existing Sem maintained in the clo | ntence to cl osed positio | arify th on. | at doors in fire separations must be |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 2.2.3.5. (1) Doors in fire separations in occupied buildings shall be checked as frequently as necessary to ensure that they remain closed. | | | to ensure that they remain closed. |
| | (2) Sentence | e (1) does n | ot appl | y to |
| | (a) doors des | signed to cl | ose aut | omatically in the event of a fire, or |
| | (b) doors for for closing in the ev | which an a ent of a fire | approv 2. | ed fire safety plan contains provisions |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 2.2.3.5. (1) Except as provided in Sentence (2), doors in fire separations in occupied buildings shall | | | |
| | (a) be kept in | n the closed | l positio | on when not in use, and |
| | (b) be checked as frequently as necessary to ensure they remain closed. | | | |
| | (2) Sentence | e (1) does n | ot appl | y to |
| | (a) doors designed to close automatically in the event of a fire, or | | | |
| | (b) doors for which an approved fire safety plan contains provisions for closing in the event of a fire. | | | |
| PROBLEM | The Code requires doors in fire separations to be checked as frequently as necessary to ensure that they remain closed. | | | |
| | Clearly the intent of the requirement is to ensure that doors in fire separations be maintained in the closed position, however there is no requirement actually stating that doors in fire separations must be maintained in the closed position when not in use. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change will clarify the intent of the requirement and enhance building occupant safety. | | | |
| ІМРАСТ | Improved enforcement. | | | |

ſ

| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
|--|---|
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 2.4.1.1.(5) | |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 2.4.1.1.(5) | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Clause (d) to include alternative provision for sprinklering the plenum and amend Clauses (b) and (c) editorially to accommodate the new provision. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | (4) (5) Abandoned optical fibre cables and electrical wires and cables, with combustible insulation, jackets, or sheaths, and nonmetallic raceways shall be removed from a plenum unless | | | |
| | (a) they are p building | permanently close | ed by the structure or finish of the | |
| | (b) their removal would disturb the structure or finish of the building, or (c) their removal could affect the performance of cables in use. (6) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | (4) (5) Abandoned optical fibre cables and electrical wires and cables, with combustible insulation, jackets, or sheaths, and nonmetallic raceways shall be removed from a plenum unless | | | |
| (a) they are permanently closed by the structure or finis building , | | | | |
| | (b) their removal would disturb the structure or finish of the building, (c) their removal could affect the performance of cables in use, or (d) the plenum space is sprinklered. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | (6) | | | |
| PROBLEM | The existing provisi in plenums, which is ignition or exposure | on does not take s expected to preve source. | into consideration sprinkler protection yent the spread of a fire beyond the | |

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. The proposed change allows a relaxation of the requirement to remove cables if the plenum space is sprinklered throughout. The presence of sprinklers is expected to prevent the spread of a fire beyond the ignition or exposure source. |
|--|--|
| IMPACT | Increased flexibility. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|----------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 2.6.1.1. | |
| Corresponding NFC | C Proposed Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add an application statement to Subsection 2.6.1. with an exclusion for single dwelling units and renumber all existing Articles. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 2.6.1.1. Defective appliances in a building shall be removed, repaired or replaced when the defective appliances create a hazardous condition. | | | |
| | 2.6.1.2. Bins containing solid fuel shall be located at least 1.2 m from any appliance . | | | |
| | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 2.6.1.1. This Subsection applies to heating, ventilating and air- conditioning equipment, including their associated appliances and installations. | | | |
| | 2.6.1.2. This Subsection does not apply to exhaust and ventilating systems serving a single dwelling unit . | | | |
| | 2.6.1.3. Defective appliances shall be removed, repaired or replaced when the defective appliances create a hazardous condition. | | | |
| | 2.6.1.4. Bins containing solid fuel shall be located at least 1.2 m from any appliance . | | | |
| | | | | |
| PROBLEM | The application of this Subsection is unclear. In the absence of an application statement, the requirements can be applied to any appurtenances referenced, whether or not they are part of a heating, ventilating and air-conditioning system. It is also not the intent of the Subsection to apply to exhaust and ventilating systems serving a single dwelling unit. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change limits the application of requirements to only those systems that provide heating, ventilating or air-conditioning in buildings and specifically excludes the application to exhaust and ventilating systems serving a single dwelling unit. Common exhaust and ventilating systems serving multi-unit occupancies will continue to be regulated. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity. | | | |

| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
|--|---|
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | N/A |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 2.6.1.12. | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANCE | Revise Sentence (1) by changing the term 'commercial cooking equipment' to 'cooking operations'. | | | |
| CHARGE | units and where insignificant quantities of grease laden vapours are generated. | | | |
| | Relocate Sentence (| 3) to (4). | | |
| | See also proposed c | hange to Article 2. | 6.1.13. | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 2.6.1.12. (1) Commercial cooking equipment shall be provided with exhaust and fire protection systems in conformance with NFPA 96, "Standard for Ventilation Control and Fire Protection of Commercial Cooking Operations". | | | |
| | (2) Despite Sentence (1), existing exhaust or fire protection systems may be approved . | | | |
| | (3) In a hotel establishment regulated by Section 9.9, commercial cooking equipment that complies with Article 9.9.2.19. is deemed to be in compliance with Sentence (1). | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 2.6.1.12. (1) Cooking operations producing smoke or grease-laden vapours shall be provided with exhaust and fire protection systems in conformance with NFPA 96, "Standard for Ventilation Control and Fire Protection of Commercial Cooking Operations". | | | |
| | (2) Despite Sentence (1), existing exhaust or fire protection systems may be approved . | | | |
| | (3) Sentence (1) does not apply to | | | |
| | (a) individual dwelling units , or | | | |
| | (b) cooking operations producing insignificant quantities of smoke or grease-laden vapours that are controlled by other measures and are approved. (4) In a hotel establishment regulated by Section 9.9, commercial cooking equipment that complies with Article 9.9.2.19. is deemed to be in compliance with Sentence (1). | | | |
| | | | | |

| PROBLEM | Article 2.6.1.12. refers only to "commercial cooking equipment', which could be too restrictive in its application. For example, other types of cooking operations can pose similar fire hazards by producing similar quantities of grease-laden vapours. On the other hand there are some cooking operations that do not pose similar risks because the quantities of grease-laden vapours are insignificant. | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The Article is revised to harmonize with the Building Code for purposes of application to other types of hazardous cooking operations and provides discretion to the Chief Fire Official to allow for alternative protection commensurate to the risk. | | |
| IMPACT | Improves enforcement for all potentially hazardous cooking operations. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Consistent with proposed change to Article 2.6.1.13. | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | Clause (3) (a) Note ¹ Clause (3) (b) Note ¹ | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|--|---------------|-----------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 2.6.1.13. |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Article 2.6.1.13. to clarify application of the requirement. See also proposed change to Article 2.6.1.12. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 2.6.1.13. Commercial cooking equipment exhaust and fire protection systems shall be maintained in conformance with NFPA 96, "Standard for Ventilation Control and Fire Protection of Commercial Cooking Operations". | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 2.6.1.13. Except within an individual dwelling unit , cooking equipment exhaust and fire protection systems required in Article 2.6.1.12. shall be maintained in conformance with NFPA 96, "Standard for Ventilation Control and Fire Protection of Commercial Cooking Operations". | | |
| PROBLEM | Article 2.6.1.13. refers only to "commercial cooking equipment", which could be too restrictive in its application. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The Article is revised to harmonize with the Building Code for purposes of application to other types of hazardous cooking operations with exhaust and fire protection systems that need to be maintained in accordance with the standard. | | |
| IMPACT | Improves enforcement for all potentially hazardous cooking operations. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Consistent with proposed change to Article 2.6.1.12. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 2.6.3.4.(1) | |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence 2.6.3.4.(1) to provide prescriptive requirements for 'as-of- right' cooking fires that will limit the size of cooking fires to be commensurate with the size of food being cooked. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 2.6.3.4. (1) Open-air burning shall not be permitted unless approved , or unless such burning consists of a small, confined fire, supervised at all times, and used to cook food on a grill or a barbecue. | | | |
| | (2) Sentence conformance with the outdoor use and is in instructions. | e (1) does not appl he Technical Stan nstalled in accorda | y to an appliance that is in dards and Safety Act, 2000 , is for nce with the manufacturer's | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 2.6.3.4. (1) | Open-air burning | shall not be permitted unless | |
| CHANGE | (a) approved , or | | | |
| | (b) unless such burning consists of a small, confined fire, | | | |
| | (i) that is used to cook food on a grill, barbecue or spit, | | | |
| | (ii) is commensurate to the type and quantity of food being cooked, and | | | |
| | (iii) is supervised at all times. | | | |
| | (2) Sentence conformance with the outdoor use and is in instructions. | e (1) does not appl he Technical Stan nstalled in accorda | y to an appliance that is in dards and Safety Act, 2000 , is for ance with the manufacturer's | |
| PROBLEM | Enforcing restriction language. | ns on the size of th | e fire is difficult with the existing code | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change limits the size of 'as-of-right' cooking fires to ensure they are limited in size commensurate with the food being cooked. | | f 'as-of-right' cooking fires to ensure with the food being cooked. | |
| IMPACT | None. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |

| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
|--|---|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 2.7.1.7.(2),(3) |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence (2) and add a new Sentence (3) to provide for the installation of motion sensors for lighting in accordance with the Building Code. | | |
| EXISTING OFC | 2.7.1.7. (1) | | |
| PROVISIONS | (2) Lighting including corridors | g provided for illur used by the public | nination in exits and access to exits , , shall be maintained. |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 2.7.1.7. (1) (2) Except as provided in Sentence (3), lighting provided for illumination in exits and access to exits, including corridors used by the public, shall be maintained. (3) Lighting may be controlled by motion sensors in accordance with the Building Code. | | |
| PROBLEM | The existing provisi use of motion senso | ons of the Fire Co | de may be interpreted to restrict the |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change enables the energy efficiency measures introduced under the Building Code. | | |
| IMPACT | None. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | N/A | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 2.7.1.7.(3) Note ¹ | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 2.7.2.2. |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence (1) to include the exception in Sentence (4) for rapid transit stations. Modify Clause (1)(b) to apply only to doors that provide access to exits. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 2.7.2.2. (1) Except as permitted in Sentences (2) and (3), locking, latching and other fastening devices on | | |
| | (a) every req | quired exit door, ar | nd |
| | (b) every do | or that opens into | or is located within |
| | (i) a _ | public corridor, | |
| | (ii) a | facility that provid | des access to exit from a suite , or |
| | (iii) a facility that provides access to exit from a room serving patients or residents in a care or detention occupancy | | |
| | shall be such that the door can be readily opened to permit egress without requiring keys, special devices or specialized knowledge of the door opening mechanism. | | |
| | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 2.7.2.2. (1) Except as permitted in Sentences (2) to (4), locking, latching and other fastening devices on | | |
| | (a) every required exit door, and | | |
| | (b) every door in an access to exit that opens into or is located within | | |
| | (i) a public corridor , | | |
| | (ii) a | facility that provide | des access to exit from a suite , or |
| | (iii) a patients or residents | a facility that provi in a care or dete | ides access to exit from a room serving ntion occupancy |
| | shall be such that th requiring keys, spec mechanism. | e door can be read ial devices or spec | ily opened to permit egress without cialized knowledge of the door opening |
| | | | |
| PROBLEM | Sentence (1) does no Sentence (4). As wr closets, that are not | ot acknowledge the itten Clause (1)(b) access to exits doc | e exception for transit stations stated in applies to doors, such as doors to ors |

Г

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The first change will ensure that the code applies only to doors used by occupants to gain access to exits. The second change clarifies that transit stations are exempt. |
|--|---|
| IMPACT | None. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | N/A |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 2.7.2.2.(1) | | | | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise provision to provide consistency with similar requirement in the Building Code. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 2.7.2.2. (1) I latching and other fa | Except as permitted astening devices or | l in Sentences (2) and (3), locking, | |
| | (a) every req | uired exit door, an | d | |
| | (b) every do | or that opens into c | or is located within | |
| | (i) a p ı | ublic corridor, | | |
| | (ii) a fa | acility that provide | s access to exit from a suite , or | |
| | (iii) a facility that provides access to exit from a room serving patients or residents in a care or detention occupancy | | | |
| | shall be such that the door can be readily opened to permit egress without requiring keys, special devices or specialized knowledge of the door opening mechanism. | | | |
| | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 2.7.2.2. (1) I latching and other factors | Except as permitted astening devices or | l in Sentences (2) and (3), locking, | |
| | (a) every req | uired exit door, an | d | |
| | (b) every do | or that opens into o | or is located within | |
| | (i) a p i | ublic corridor, | | |
| | (ii) a fa | acility that provide | s access to exit from a suite , or | |
| | (iii) a f pa | facility that provide tients or residents i | es access to exit from a room serving in a care or detention occupancy | |
| | shall be such that th more than one relea devices or specialize | e door can be read sing operation and ed knowledge of th | ily opened from the inside with not without requiring keys, special he door opening mechanism. | |
| | | | | |

| PROBLEM | The requirement to have doors openable without the use of keys, special devices or specialized knowledge of the door opening mechanism, should also include the requirement to be able to easily open the door with only a single releasing operation. This would limit the number of fastening devices and the complexity of the operation and improve the ease of quickly opening an egress door in an emergency situation. |
|--|---|
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Consistency with wording of the OBC. |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 2.7.3.2.(2) |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add a new Sentence signs. | e to require the mai | intenance of photoluminescent exit |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 2.7.3.2. Exi appropriate for each | t signs shall be illu sign's design, wh | minated, externally or internally, as ile the building is occupied. |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 2.7.3.2. (1) Exit signs shall be illuminated, externally or internally, as appropriate for each sign's design, while the building is occupied. (2) Where exit signs incorporate self-luminous or photoluminescent material, the exit signs shall be maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's maintenance instructions and the conditions outlined in the approval of the designated evaluation body under the Building Code, as applicable. | | |
| PROBLEM | Replacement of lighting fixtures and failure to continue to illuminate or otherwise maintain exit signs that incorporate self-luminous or photoluminescent material (PLM) may affect the functionality of the sign. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | To ensure that the p intended. | hotoluminescent e | xit signage remains functional as |
| IMPACT | Improved enforcem Accommodation for | ent. r new technology. | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | IGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 2.7.3.2.(2) [F10,F8 | 2-OS1.5] | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE - OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 2.8.1.1.(2) | |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | MON OF DPOSED Add buildings or premises that have a "contained use area" or "impeded egress zone" to the application list for this section in Sentence (2). CHANGE | | "contained use area" or "impeded this section in Sentence (2). | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 2.8.1.1.(2) I shall apply to build | Despite Sentence (1 ings or premises | 1), the requirements of this Section | |
| | (a) contair | ning 4 storeys or m | nore, including storeys below grade , | |
| | (b) subject | t to the provisions | of Subsection 3.5.3., | |
| | (c) subject | t to the provisions of | of Article 4.1.5.6., | |
| | (d) subject to the provisions of Article 4.12.4.1., | | | |
| | (e) regulated by Section 9.3, | | | |
| | (f) subject to the provisions of Sentence 9.5.3.1.(3), or | | | |
| | (g) used as a convalescent home or children's custodial home providing sleeping accommodation for more than three persons. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | (2) Despite Sentence (1), the requirements of this Section shall apply to buildings or premises | | | |
| | (a) containin | ng 4 storeys or mor | e, including storeys below grade , | |
| | (b) subject to the provisions of Subsection 3.5.3., | | | |
| | (c) subject to the provisions of Article 4.1.5.6., | | | |
| | (d) subject to the provisions of Article 4.12.4.1., | | | |
| | (e) regulated by Section 9.3, | | | |
| | (f) subject to | the provisions of | Sentence 9.5.3.1.(3), | |
| | (g) used as a providin or | convalescent hom g sleeping accomn | e or children's custodial home nodation for more than three persons, | |
| | (h) that have | e a <mark>contained use</mark> a | area or impeded egress zone. | |

| PROBLEM | Many occupancies, such as banks and police stations, may have rooms or areas where the occupants have to rely on others for safe egress in a fire emergency, and specific measures to ensure their safety should be identified in a fire safety plan. Also, it is understood that some of these occupancies may be classified under the Building Code as business and personal services occupancies, which would exclude them from Section 2.8, Emergency Planning, of the current Fire Code if the occupant load is less than the prescribed threshold. |
|--|---|
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | See above. |
| IMPACT | Minimal. Some building owners or leaseholders may face increased costs to develop and implement a fire safety plan if they do not already have one. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |
| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 2.8.1.2.(3) | | | | |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence 2.8.1.2.(3) to refer to Article 2.8.2.2. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | 2.8.1.2 | | | |
| PROVISIONS | (3) It is not continual basis. | necessary that sup | ervisory staff be in the building on a | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 2.8.1.2 | | | |
| CHANGE | (3) Except as required in Article 2.8.2.2., it is not necessary that supervisory staff be in the building on a continual basis. | | | |
| PROBLEM | There is an apparent conflict between the wording of Sentence 2.8.1.2.(3) that states supervisory staff need not be in a building continuously, and Article 2.8.2.2. that states supervisory staff shall be available. Clause 2.8.2.2.(2)(b) in particular, says that supervisory staff shall be on duty. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Clarifies the intent of the provision. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S)No Change.AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S)Image: Comparison of the second seco | | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 2.8.2.1.(4),(5) | |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.6.1.2. – 5.6.1.3. | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED | Revise Sentence (4) to include specific requirements under which a fire safety plan shall be reviewed and modified. | | | |
| CHANGE | Add new Sentence (from the circumstan be prepared and imp | (5) requiring the finances described in Splemented, where r | re safety plan to be reviewed resulting entence (4) and subsequent changes to necessary. | |
| | Change existing Ser | ntence (5) to Sente | nce (6) | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | (4) The fire safety plan shall be reviewed as often as necessary, but at intervals not greater than 12 months, to ensure that it takes account of changes in the use and other characteristics of the building . | | | |
| | (5) The approval specified in Sentence (2) does not apply to a hotel establishment with respect to which approval, as defined in Sentence 1.1.6.2.(5) of Ontario Regulation 388/97 (Fire Code) as it read on December 31, 2006, was granted for so long as such approval is valid. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | (4) The fire safety plan shall be reviewed | | | |
| CHANGE | (a) as often as necessary, but at intervals not greater than 12 months, to ensure that it takes account changes in the use and other characteristics of the building , and | | | |
| | (b) where demolition or construction, including hot surface applications, occur in or on an occupied building , to incorporate | | | |
| | (i) temporary alternative measures for the fire safety of the occupants, and (ii) temporary procedures to control fire hazards associated with the proposed demolition or construction, including procedures to mitigate risks to adjacent buildings. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | (5) Temporary measures and procedures required by Sentence (4) shall be prepared and implemented. | | | |
| | (6) The approval specified in Sentence (2) does not apply to a hotel establishment with respect to which approval, as defined in Sentence 1.1.6.2.(5) of Ontario Regulation 388/97 (Fire Code) as it read on December 31, 2006, was granted for so long as such approval is valid. | | | |

| PROBLEM | Demolition and construction involving alterations and additions at an occupied building pose foreseeable fire risks to the occupants. In buildings required to have a fire safety plan, it is necessary to review such proposed changes for implementing suitable alternative measures and incorporate precautions to control fire hazards in the fire safety plan, where applicable. | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | |
| | The proposal addresses an identified risk by prescribing circumstances under which a review of the fire safety plan is required to address alternative measures and fire hazards associated with construction (including hot surface applications) and demolition in occupied buildings. | | |
| IMPACT | None. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|--|----------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | | Reference Number: 2.13.1.1. |
| Correspon | nding NFC Record | Division: N | /A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Expand the smoke alarm installation requirements in this Section to also include sleeping rooms not located in a dwelling unit, excluding buildings regulated by Part 9 of the Fire Code. Correct Sentence (7) reference to proper OBC Subsection. Correct reference in Sentence (5) to proper OFC Sentence. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | Subsection 2.13.1. | Scope | | |
| PROVISIONS | 2.13.1.1. (1) to all dwelling unit |) Except as pr s. | rovid | ed in Sentence (2), this Section applies |
| | (2) This Section does not apply to dwelling units in buildings that are regulated under the scope of Part 9, including dwelling units regulated under Section 9.8. | | | |
| | (3) | | | |
| | Subsection 2.13.2. Installation | | | |
| | 2.13.2.1. (1) Smoke alarms conforming to CAN/ULC-S531, "Standard for Smoke Alarms", shall be installed in accordance with this Article. | | | |
| | (2) Except as provided in Sentence (7), smoke alarms shall be installed between each sleeping area and the remainder of the dwelling unit, and where the sleeping areas are served by hallways, the smoke alarms shall be installed in the hallways. (3) In addition to the requirements in Sentence (2), at least one smoke alarm shall be installed on each storey that does not contain a sleeping area in a dwelling unit. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | (4) Smoke alarms shall be installed by permanent connections to an electrical circuit and shall have no disconnect switch between the overcurrent device and the smoke alarm . | | | |
| | (5) Battery- with Sentence (3). | operated smo l | ke al | arms are deemed to be in compliance |
| | (6) Existing ULC-S531-1978, "S compliance with Ser | smoke alarn Standard for S ntence (1). | ns me moke | eting the requirements of e Alarms", are deemed to be in |
| | (7) Sentence each bedroom in acc | e (2) does not cordance with | apply Subs | where smoke alarms are installed in section 9.10.18. of Division B of the |

| | Building Code. | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| PROPOSED OFC | Subsection 2.13.1. Scope | | |
| CHANGE | 2.13.1.1. (1) Except as provided in Sentence (2), this Section applies to all dwelling units and sleeping rooms not located within a dwelling unit . | | |
| | (2) This Section does not apply to dwelling units and sleeping rooms not located within a dwelling unit , in buildings that are regulated under the scope of Part 9, including dwelling units regulated under Section 9.8. | | |
| | (3) | | |
| | Subsection 2.13.2. Installation | | |
| | 2.13.2.1. (1) | | |
| | (2) Except as provided in Sentence (7), smoke alarms shall be installed | | |
| | (a) between each sleeping area and the remainder of the dwelling unit , and where the sleeping areas are served by hallways, the smoke alarms shall be installed in the hallways, and | | |
| | (b) in sleeping rooms not within a dwelling unit . | | |
| | (3) | | |
| | (4) Smoke alarms shall be installed by permanent connections to an electrical circuit and shall have no disconnect switch between the overcurrent device and the smoke alarm . | | |
| | (5) Battery-operated smoke alarms are deemed to be in compliance with Sentence (4). | | |
| | (6) | | |
| | (7) Sentence (2) does not apply where smoke alarms are installed in each bedroom in accordance with Subsection 9.10.19. of Division B of the Building Code . | | |
| PROBLEM | Currently, smoke alarm requirements for sleeping rooms of boarding, lodging and rooming facilities that fall outside the scope of Part 9 of the Fire Code, or any other stand alone sleeping rooms not in dwelling units are not clearly specified within the Fire Code. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Addresses an inadvertent gap in the regulatory requirements for smoke alarms in sleeping rooms. | | |
| IMPACT | Increased clarity. | | |

| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
|--|---|
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|--|---------------|--------------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 2.13.2.1.(6) |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Update referenced standard for existing smoke alarms in Sentence 2.13.2.1.(6) to a more recent edition of the standard. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 2.13.2.1.(6) Existing smoke alarms meeting the requirements of ULC-S531-1978, "Standard for Smoke Alarms", are deemed to be in compliance with Sentence (1). | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 2.13.2.1.(6) Existing smoke alarms meeting the requirements of CAN/ULC-S531-M87, "Standard for Smoke Alarms", are deemed to be in compliance with Sentence (1). | | |
| PROBLEM | Older smoke alarms may not operate as intended. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | See above. | | |
| IMPACT | Minimal, however, some older smoke alarms may need to be replaced. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Corresponding changes will be made to related provisions in Part 6 and Part 9, as applicable. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

Г

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 3.3.2.1.(1) |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Delete existing Sentence 3.3.2.1.(1) and replace with 3 Sentences to provide clarity. New Sentence 3.3.2.1.(1) deletes the height limit for application of this Subsection and clarifies that this Subsection also applies to indoor storage in bin boxes, on shelves and on racks. Sentence (1) also references exemptions in new Sentences (2) and (3). New Sentence (2) provides an exemption of this Subsection's requirements for special hazard commodities, except for aisles for fire fighting in Article 3.3.2.6. Existing Sentence (2) is unchanged but is re-numbered to Sentence (4). | | |
| | See also related cha | nge to Sentence 3 | 3.2.2.(5) and (6). |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 3.3.2.1. (1) This Subsection applies to the indoor general storage of combustible or noncombustible solids with combustible packaging or storage aids to a height of 6.4 m, except that this Subsection does not apply to the bulk storage of unpackaged grain, coal or similar commodities, or special hazard commodities covered elsewhere in this Code. | | |
| | (2) When flammable liquids or combustible liquids are stored with products covered by this Subsection, the liquids shall meet the requirements of the applicable provisions of Part 4. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 3.3.2.1. (1) Except as provided in Sentences (2) and (3), this Subsection applies to the indoor general storage of combustible or noncombustible solids with combustible packaging or storage aids, including storage in bin boxes, shelves or racks. | | |
| | (2) Except as provided in Article 3.3.2.6., this Subsection does not apply to special hazard commodities covered elsewhere in this Code. | | |
| | (3) This Subsection does not apply to the bulk storage of unpackaged grain, coal or similar commodities. | | |
| | (4) When flammable liquids or combustible liquids are stored with products covered by this Subsection, the liquids shall meet the requirements of the applicable provisions of Part 4. | | |

Г

| PROBLEM | • Currently, the existing Subsection does not apply to general indoor storage above 6.4 m in height so it is not clear to building owners what fire safety regulations apply to storage above this height. | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | • Existing Sentence 3.3.2.1.(1) does not clearly specify whether the Subsection applies to storage involving bin boxes, shelves or racks. | | |
| | Aisles for fire fighting purposes outlined in Sentences 3.3.2.6.(1) and (2) should also apply to special hazard commodity storage specified elsewhere in the Fire Code. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Revisions are required to more clearly specify the application of requirements in Subsection 3.3.2. including the application to indoor general storage over 6.4 m in height [refer to related change to Sentence 3.3.2.2.(5) and (6)]. | | |
| IMPACT | This change facilitates compliance and enforcement | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Fire department access requirements outlined in Sentences $3.2.3.6.(1)$ and (2) would now apply to special hazard commodity storage specified elsewhere in the Fire Code as a result of proposed Sentence $3.3.2.1.(2)$. | | |
| | See also related change to Sentence 3.3.2.2.(5) and (6). | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
| FUNCTIONAL | 3.3.2.1.(1) Note ¹ | | |
| AND LINK(S) TO | 3.3.2.1.(2) Note ¹ | | |
| OBJECTIVE(S) | 3.3.2.1.(3) Note ¹ | | |
| | 3.3.2.1.(4) Note ¹ | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC RecordDivision: BReference Number: 3.3.2.2.(1)-(3) | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | Reference Number: N/A | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Delete Sentences 3.3.2.2.(1), (2) and (3). New Sentences (1) and (2) replace the term "individual storage piles" with the defined term "individual storage area". New Sentence (3) deletes the word "pile". | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 3.3.2.2. (1) 500 m ² in buildings | The area of indivious that are not sprin | dual storage piles shall not exceed klered . | | |
| | (2) The area sprinklered building | a of individual stora 1gs . | age piles shall not exceed 1000 m ² in | | |
| | (3) Heights of storage piles in buildings that are not sprinkleredshall not exceed 4.5 m. | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 3.3.2.2. (1) An individual storage area shall not exceed 500 m^2 in buildings that are not sprinklered . | | | | |
| | (2) An individual storage area shall not exceed 1000 m^2 in sprinklered buildings . | | | | |
| | (3) Heights of storage in buildings that are not sprinklered shall not exceed 4.5 m. | | | | |
| PROBLEM | The Fire Code uses the terms "individual storage pile" and "individual storage area" interchangeably. This has caused confusion with Code users. | | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Use of defined term "individual storage area" harmonizes with the NFC. | | | | |
| IMPACT | Minimal. | | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Corresponding editorial changes will be required to "pile" and "storage pile" references in other provisions of the Subsection as appropriate. | | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | N/A | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|--|--------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 3.3.2.2.(5),(6) |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Sentence 3.3.2.2.(5) to require storage over 6.4 m in height to be sprinklered in accordance with NFPA 13. New Sentence (6) will permit the Chief Fire Official to approve existing sprinkler installations. | | |
| | See related change t | o Article 3.3.2.1. | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 3.3.2.2 | | |
| CHANGE | (5) Except as provided in Sentence (6), buildings containing individual storage areas that exceed 6.4 m in height shall be protected with a sprinkler system designed and installed in conformance with NFPA 13, "Installation of Sprinkler Systems". | | |
| | (6) Where a sprinklered building contains individual storage areas that exceed 6.4 m in height on <the comes="" date="" into<br="" regulation="" the="">force>, the existing sprinkler system may be approved.</the> | | |
| PROBLEM | Section 3.3.2. does not currently apply to indoor storage over 6.4 m in height. In addition, the Code does not prescribe a specific sprinkler design criteria for protected indoor storage up to 6.4 m in height. However, storage above 6.4 m in height presents an additional fire risk that warrants sprinkler protection in accordance with recognized industry standards. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change will ensure that sprinkler installations for indoor general storage over 6.4 m in height will be designed and installed in accordance with a recognized design standard consistent with industry practice. Discretion is provided for the Chief Fire Official to approve sprinkler systems existing on <the comes="" date="" force="" into="" regulation="" the=""> if they are deemed to provide a reasonable level of fire protection. This change will move the OFC closer to harmonizing with the requirements of the NFC.</the> | | |
| IMPACT | The costs are anticipated to be minimal as the proposal reflects industry practice and allowance is made for existing systems which may not meet the standard. | | |

ſ

| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | See related change to Article 3.3.2.1. |
|--|--|
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | (5) - [F02-OS1.2,OP1.2] (6) Note ¹ |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: | В | Reference Number: 3.3.3.1. |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: | N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise existing Article to clarify the application of Subsection 3.3.3. | | | pplication of Subsection 3.3.3. |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 3.3.3.1. This Subsection shall apply to the storage of ammonium nitrate in quantities exceeding 1000 kg in the form of crystals, flakes, grains or prills, including fertilizer grade or other mixtures containing 60% or more ammonium nitrate by weight, but does not apply to blasting agents or fertilizer storage on railways regulated by the Canadian Transport Commission. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 3.3.3.1. (1) Except as provided in Sentence (2), this Subsection applies to the storage of ammonium nitrate in a pure form or a mixture, where | | | |
| | (a) the storage exceeds 1000 kg, and (b) the mintum contains 60% and (c) the mintum site of the | | | |
| | weight. | | | |
| | (2) This Sub | section doe | s not aj | pply to |
| | (a) blasting agents, or | | | |
| | (b) ammonium nitrate and ammonium nitrate mixture storage on railways regulated by the Canadian Transport Commission. | | | |
| PROBLEM | The application statement is confusing with regards to the term 'fertilizer grade' and to what the 60% concentration is intended to apply to. | | | |
| | Further, the exemption for 'fertilizer storage on railways'is misleading in that ammonium nitrate and ammonium nitrate mixed fertilizers are regulated on the right-of-way owned or leased by any railway company subject to the jurisdiction of the Canadian Transportation Commission under the Ammonium Nitrate Storage Facilities Regulations, C.R.C., c. 1145. For this reason it is necessary to change the wording from 'fertilizer storage' to 'ammonium nitrate'. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change clarifies the application of this Subsection and will facilitate enforcement. | | | |
| ІМРАСТ | Improved clarity. | | | |

| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
|--|---|
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.1.7.2.(4) | |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.1.7.2.(4) | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence (4) to clarify that the mechanical ventilation system design stipulated is an acceptable alternative to that provided in Sentence (3). | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.1.7.2. (1) . | | | |
| | (4) Where a 1 conditions of Senter per square metre of | mechanical vent nce (3), it shall b room area, but r | ilation system is installed to meet the be capable of exhausting at least 18 m ³ /h not less than 250 m ³ /h. | |
| | (5) | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 4.1.7.2. (1) | | | |
| CHANGE | (4) A mechanical ventilation system is deemed to comply with Sentence (3) if it is capable of exhausting at least 18 m ³ /h per square metre of room area, but not less than 250 m ³ /h. | | | |
| _ | (3) | | | |
| PROBLEM | The existing wording in Sentence (4) would require that $18 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$ per square metre is the least ventilation rate required to meet the provisions of Sentence (3). However, one would still be required to conduct an evaluation to ensure that the requirement of Sentence (3) is also met. | | | |
| | The Sentence (4) provision would require a very large ventilation rate when the dispensing operation is carried out in a large area even though spot ventilation could achieve the desired fire safety. This could be very onerous and not likely to achieve any additional safety, as the specified ventilation rate in Sentence (4) would achieve the provisions of Sentence (3) in almost all cases. NFPA 30 permits the level of ventilation in Sentence (4) to be deemed acceptable. (See 7-3.4.2. in 2003 edition.) | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| | This code change will provide a specification rate for ventilation that would meet the performance specification required in Sentence (3). | | | |
| IMPACT | Increased flexibility and potential cost reduction. | | | |

| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
|--|---|
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | Note ¹ |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 4.1.7.3.(1)-(2) | | | | | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.1.7.3.(1)-(2) | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence (1) to state the intent of this provision in performance language to ensure air movement to all portions of the room. Add new Sentence (2) to clarify that conformance to existing Sentences (2) and (3) will achieve the desired performance. | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.1.7.3. (1) V enclosed space refer conformance with S | Yentilation air inlets red to in Article 4. entences (2) and (2 | s and outlets within a room or 1.7.1. shall be arranged in 3). | | |
| | (2) Where the | e flammable vapou | r being removed is heavier than air, | | |
| | (a) at least one air inlet shall be located near a wall, no higher than 300 mm from the floor, and | | | | |
| | (b) at least one air outlet shall be located near the opposite wall, no higher than 300 mm from the floor. | | | | |
| | (3) Where the | e flammable vapou | r being removed is lighter than air, | | |
| | (a) | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.1.7.3. (1) Ventilation air inlets and outlets within a room or enclosed space referred to in Article 4.1.7.1. shall be arranged so as to provide air movement in all portions of the room to prevent the accumulation of flammable vapours in conformance with Sentence 4.1.7.2.(3). | | | | |
| | (2) Inlets and outlets conforming to Sentences (3) or (4) shall be deemed to have met the requirements of Sentence (1). | | | | |
| | (3) Where the flammable vapour being removed is heavier than air, | | | | |
| | (a) | | | | |
| | (4) Where the flammable vapour being removed is lighter than air, | | | | |
| | (a) | | | | |
| PROBLEM | Any mechanical ventilation system needs make up air otherwise it would not work. The existing provisions do not provide flexibility in design and does not state the performance expected. The requirement should be stated as a performance as opposed to specifications to provide flexibility. | | | | |

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| IMPACT | Improved flexibility. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 4.1.7.3.(1) - [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] 4.1.7.3.(2) - Note ¹ 4.1.7.3.(3) - [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] 4.1.7.3.(4) - [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|----------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | | Reference Number: 4.2.1.1.(1) |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: B | | Reference Number: 4.2.1.1.(1) |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Clause 4.2.1.1.(1)(c) to refer to "intermediate" bulk containers. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.2.1.1. (1) Except as provided in Sentence (2), this Section applies to the storage, handling and use of flammable liquids or combustible liquids in | | | |
| | (a) | | | |
| | (c) intermod having a | al bulk contain in individual c | ners (apac | conforming to Clause 4.2.3.1.(1)(a) ity of not more than 3000 L. |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.2.1.1. (1) Except as provided in Sentence (2), this Section shall apply to the storage, handling and use of flammable liquids or combustible liquids that are in | | | |
| | (a) | | | |
| | (c) intermediate bulk containers conforming to Clause 4.2.3.1.(1)(a) having an individual capacity of not more than 3000 L. | | | |
| PROBLEM | Clause 4.2.3.1.(1)(a) references TDG Regulations, which in turn references CGSB-43.146-1994. This standard refers to "intermediate bulk containers" and not "intermodal bulk containers". As such an editorial change should be made. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| | This is an editorial change from "intermodal" bulk containers to "intermediate" bulk containers. | | | |
| IMPACT | None. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
|--|------------|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|--------|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | 8 | Reference Number: 4.2.1.1.(2) | |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: N | V/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | A new clause is added to exempt the incidental use of alcohol-based hand sanitizers from the provisions of Section 4.2. | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | SECTION 4.2 CONTAINER STORAGE AND HANDLING | | | | |
| PROVISIONS | Subsection 4.2.1. S | cope | | | |
| | Application | | | | |
| | 4.2.1.1. (1) | | | | |
| | (2) Except as to | otherwise sta | ated i | n this Part, this Section shall not apply | |
| | (a) bulk plants covered in Section 4.6, refineries covered in Section 4.8 and distilleries covered in Section 4.9, | | | | |
| | (b) liquids in the fuel tank of motors or engines, | | | | |
| | (c) distilled beverage alcohol in closed containers when stored in conformance with Part 3 in Division B of NRC, "National Fire Code of Canada 2005", | | | | |
| | (d) food and pharmaceutical products when in closed containers having a capacity of not more than 5 L, or | | | | |
| | (e) products containing not more than 50% by volume of water- miscible flammable liquids or combustible liquids with the remainder of the solution being nonflammable, when in closed containers having a capacity of not more than 5 L. | | | | |
| | (3) | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | SECTION 4.2 CONTAINER STORAGE AND HANDLING | | | | |
| CHANGE | Subsection 4.2.1. Scope | | | | |
| | Application | | | | |
| | 4.2.1.1. (1) | Except as pr | ovide | ed in Sentence (2) | |
| | (2) Except as otherwise stated in this Part, this Section shall not apply to (a) bulk plants covered in Section 4.6, refineries covered in Section 4.8 and distilleries covered in Section 4.9, | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | (b) liquids in the fuel tank of motors or engines, | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | (c) distilled beverage alcohol in closed containers when stored in conformance with Part 3 in Division B of NRC, "National Fire Code of Canada 2005", | | | | | |
| | (d) food and pharmaceutical products when in closed containers having a capacity of not more than 5 L, | | | | | |
| | (e) the incidental use of alcohol-based hand sanitizer pharmaceutical products in dispensers of maximum 1.2 L capacity, or | | | | | |
| | (f) products containing not more than 50% by volume of water- miscible flammable liquids or combustible liquids with the remainder of the solution being nonflammable, when in closed containers having a capacity of not more than 5 L. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| PROBLEM | While Clause 4.2.1.1.(2)(d) exempts food and pharmaceutical products in closed containers, there is no specific exemption for the dispensing of alcohol-based hand sanitizers. | | | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Permitting the location and incidental use of alcohol based hand sanitizer dispensers in general areas of buildings reflects a common practice that involves little risk. | | | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved compliance. | | | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.2.4.2.(1)-(3) | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.2.4.2.(1)(2) | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Sentences 4.2.4.2.(1 quantities of liquids resistance rating of a |) to (3) are revis are also permite at least 1 hr". | sed so that the maximum specified ted in each "fire compartment with a fire- | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.2.4.2. (1) H 4.2.4.5. and 4.2.4.6. combustible liquid (3). | Except as provid , the maximum s stored in a bu i | led in Sentence (4) and in Articles quantity of flammable liquids or liding shall conform to Sentences (2) and | | |
| | (2) When a si quantity of liquid sh | ingle class of lic all not exceed | uid is stored in a building , the total | | |
| | (a) 30 L of C | Class I liquids, | | | |
| | (b) 150 L of | Class II liquids | or | | |
| | (c) 600 L of Class IIIA liquids. | | | | |
| | (3) When two or more classes of liquid are stored in the same building , the total quantity permitted for each class of liquid shall be calculated as follows: | | | | |
| | $qI/30 + qII/150 + qIIIA/600 \le 1$ | | | | |
| | where | | | | |
| | qI = the actual quantity of Class I liquid present, in litres, | | | | |
| | qII = the actual quantity of Class II liquid present, in litres, and | | | | |
| | qIIIA = the actual quantity of Class IIIA liquid present, in litres. | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.2.4.2. (1) Except as provided in Sentence (4) and in Articles 4.2.4.5. and 4.2.4.6., the maximum quantity of flammable liquids or combustible liquids stored in a building , or a fire compartment with a fire-resistance rating of at least 1 hr, shall conform to Sentences (2) and (3). | | | | |
| | (2) When a single class of liquid is stored in a building or a fire compartment with a fire-resistance rating of at least 1 hr, the total quantity of liquid shall not exceed | | | | |
| | (a) 30 L of Class I liquids, | | | | |
| | (b) 150 L of Class II liquids, or | | | | |

ſ

| | (c) 600 L of Class IIIA liquids. |
|--|--|
| | (3) When two or more classes of liquid are stored in the same building or fire compartment with a fire-resistance rating of at least 1 hr, the total quantity permitted for each class of liquid shall be calculated as follows: |
| | $qI/30 + qII/150 + qIIIA/600 \le 1$ |
| | where |
| | qI = the actual quantity of Class I liquid present, in litres, |
| | qII = the actual quantity of Class II liquid present, in litres, and |
| | qIIIA = the actual quantity of Class IIIA liquid present, in litres. |
| PROBLEM | Where there are multiple assembly and residential occupancies in a building, such as strip plaza and row housing, it becomes difficult to enforce the provisions on each tenant, as the total quantity permitted pertains to the entire building. |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. |
| | This change permits the prescribed maximum liquids quantities in each fire compartment, which is consistent with how tenanted spaces are often subdivided. |
| IMPACT | Improved flexibility and enforcement. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.2.5.1.(1) (new) |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.2.5.1.(1) |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | An application state | ement is introduce | d for Subsection 4.2.5. |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | <i>Application</i> 4.2.5.1.(1) This flammable liquids | Subsection applie and combustible | es to the storage and handling of liquids in mercantile occupancies . |
| PROBLEM | Subsection 4.2.5. do | bes not have an ap | plication statement |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | |
| IMPACT | None | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Existing Articles 4.2 | 2.5.1. to 4.2.5.3. v | vill be renumbered. |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | Note ¹ | | |

Г

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.2.5.3. |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.2.5.4. |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Sentence (operations. Sentence (2) | (2) to provided ex ee (1) is revised to | acception to Sentence (1) for paint tinting to reference new exception in Sentence |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.2.5.2. (1) Flammable liquids and combustible liquids in mercantile occupancies shall be kept in closed containers. | | |
| | (2) | | |
| | Transfer | | |
| | 4.2.5.3. In m combustible liquid storage room confor | ercantile occupa s from or into co rming to Subsect | incies , transfer of flammable liquids or intainers shall only be permitted in a ion 4.2.9. |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.2.5.2. (1) Except as provided in Article 4.2.5.3., flammable liquids and combustible liquids in mercantile occupancies shall be kept in closed containers . | | |
| | (2) | | |
| | Transfer | | |
| | 4.2.5.3. (1) Ex occupancies, transf into containers shall Subsection 4.2.9. | ccept as permitted fer of flammable l only be permitte | I in Sentence (2), in mercantile liquids or combustible liquids from or ed in a storage room conforming to |
| | (2) Tinting op capacity may be car room conforming to | erations involvin ried out in merc Subsection 4.2. | g paint containers not exceeding 25 L in antile occupancies outside of a storage 9. |
| PROBLEM | Paint tinting operati products being trans operation outside of | ons in retail store sferred, and the C a storage room o | es involve very small quantities of code should not prohibit such an conforming to Subsection 4.2.9. |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresp National Fire Code Ontario Fire Code a may have been edite | onds to a technic and is presented s part of the code ed to reflect the C | cal change being proposed to the here for possible adoption into the harmonization initiative. The change Ontario Fire Code context. |
| | New exception reco involving small pair | gnizes reduced h nt containers. | azard from paint tinting operations |

| IMPACT | Reduction in cost impact for paint tinting operations in mercantile occupancies. |
|--|--|
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | (1) [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] [F02,F03,F44-OS1.2,OP1.2] (2) Note ¹ |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.2.7.5.(4) |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.2.7.5.(4) |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Sentence (4) is revised to delete the preamble "two or more classes" and introduces a more comprehensive formula that includes quantities for rack storage and quantities for solid piled and palletized storage. | | |
| EXISTING OFC | 4.2.7.5. (1) . | | |
| PROVISIONS | (4) When two or more classes of liquids are stored in a single fire compartment , the maximum quantity permitted for each class of liquid shall be calculated as follows: | | |
| | qIA/QIA + | qIB/QIB + qIC/QI | $C + qII/QII + qIIIA/QIIIA \le 1$ |
| | where | | |
| | qIA,IB or IC = the actual quantity of Class IA, IB or IC liquid present, | | |
| | qII = the actual quantity of Class II liquid present, | | |
| | qIIIA = the actual quantity of Class IIIA liquid present, | | |
| | QIA,IB or IC = the maximum quantity of Class IA, IB or IC liquid permitted in Table 4.2.7.A. or 4.2.7.B. for the arrangement, QII = the maximum quantity of Class II liquid permitted in Table 4.2.7.A. or 4.2.7.B. for the arrangement, and | | |
| | | | |
| | QIII | = the maximum qui in Table 4.2.7.4 | uantity of Class IIIA liquid permitted A. or 4.2.7.B. for the arrangement. |
| PROPOSED OFC | 4.2.7.5. (1) | | |
| CHANGE | (4) When flammable liquids or combustible liquids are stored in a solid pile or palletized configuration, or a rack storage configuration, or a combination of both, in a single fire compartment , the maximum quantity permitted for each class of liquid shall be calculated as follows: | | |
| | [qIA/QIA + qIB/Q | QIB + qIC/QIC + q | II/QII + qIIIA/QIIIA] _{rack} + [qIA/QIA |
| | + qIB/QIB + qI | IC/QIC + qII/QII + | - $qIIIA/QIIIA]_{solid piled or palletized} \leq 1$ |
| | where | | |
| | qIA, IB or IC | t = the actual quant present in rack | tity of Class IA, IB or IC liquids, or solid piled, or palletized, |
| | qII | = the actual quant | tity of Class II liquids present in a |

ſ

| | rack , or solid piled, or palletized, |
|--|--|
| | qIIIA = the actual quantity of Class IIIA liquids present in a rack , or solid piled, or palletized, |
| | QIA, IB, IC = the maximum quantity of Class IA, IB or IC liquids permitted in Table 4.2.7.A. or Table 4.2.7.B. for the arrangement, |
| | QII = the maximum quantity of Class II liquids permitted in Table 4.2.7.A. or Table 4.2.7.B. for the arrangement, |
| | QIIIA = the maximum quantity of Class IIIA liquids permitted in Table 4.2.7.A. or Table 4.2.7.B. for the arrangement. |
| PROBLEM | The existing formula can be used when the storage in a fire compartment is either solid piled/palletized or rack storage. When a fire compartment has both solid piled/palletized and rack storage, it is not clear as to what maximum quantities from the tables should be used or how this should be calculated. |
| | This Sentence also implies that two or more classes of liquids is needed, whereas it could be the same class of liquids in both racks and solid piled/palletized storage arrangements. |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. |
| | New Sentence (4) clarifies how the maximum quantities can be determined when storage is provided in either solid piled/palletized or rack storage configurations, or a combination of both. |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.2.7.9. |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Replace reference to OFC. | o 3.2.2.2. of the NF | C with 3.3.2.5. and 3.3.2.6. of the |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.2.7.9. Excepaisles and aisles def with Article 3.2.2.2. 2005". | pt as provided in A ining individual st . in Division B of N | rticle 4.2.7.10., main aisles, access torage areas shall be in conformance NRC, "National Fire Code of Canada |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.2.7.9. Except as provided in Article 4.2.7.10., main aisles, access aisles and aisles defining individual storage areas shall be in conformance with Articles 3.3.2.5. and 3.3.2.6. | | |
| PROBLEM | The reference in Article 4.2.7.9. to Article 3.2.2.2. of the NFC can be replaced with a reference to Articles 3.3.2.5. and 3.3.2.6. of the OFC with similar requirements. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Removal of a refere | nce to an external | document (NFC). |
| IMPACT | Improved ease of us | se. | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.2.10.2. |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.2.10.2.(1) |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise this Article t Class I liquids in sto | o remove current s prage cabinets. | specific restrictions on quantities of |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.2.10.2. The maximum quantity of flammable liquids and combustible liquids stored in a cabinet shall be 500 L, of which not more than 250 L shall be Class I liquids. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.2.10.2. The maximum quantity of flammable liquids and combustible liquids stored in a cabinet shall be 500 L. | | |
| PROBLEM | There is no reason for limiting the quantity of Class I liquid in a cabinet to 250 L. NFPA 30 Committee has adopted a change to remove similar restrictions on Class I liquids in storage cabinets. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. Flammable and combustible liquids in cabinets must be in closed containers. Any leakage would be less than the 500 L. Even in a drum cabinet leakage from one drum would not exceed 250 L. When stored in closed containers in a cabinet, the hazard presented by a Class I liquid is not significantly greater than that presented by a Class II liquid. There is no real reason to restrict the quantity of Class I liquids to 250 L. This change will be consistent with NFPA 30-2003 edition and will assist harmonization between the two | | |
| IMPACT | Increased flexibility | , | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | · | |

| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
|--|---|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.1.2.(1), (10) |
| Correspo | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.1.2.(1) |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revision to remove ULC/ORD-C58.10 the standard name for | outdated reference and ULC/ORD-C1 or ULC-S601. | ed standards ULC-S630, ULC-S643, 42.23 for storage tanks, and update |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.3.1.2. (1) H permitted in Sentence storage tanks shall | Except as required ces (3), (5) and (7) be built in conforr | in Sentences (2), (4), (6) and (8) and and in Section 4.9, atmospheric nance with |
| | (a) API 12B | , "Bolted Tanks fo | r Storage of Production Liquids", |
| | (b) API 12D Liquids' | , "Field Welded Ta ', | anks for Storage of Production |
| | (c) API 12F, Liquids' | "Shop Welded Ta ', | nks for Storage of Production |
| | (d) API 650, | "Welded Steel Ta | nks for Oil Storage", |
| | (e) ULC-S601, "Shop Fabricated Steel Aboveground Horizontal Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids", | | |
| | (f) CAN/UL Lubricat | C-S602, "Abovegi ing Oil", | ound Steel Tanks for Fuel Oil and |
| | (g) CAN/UL Combus | C-S603, "Steel Un tible Liquids", | nderground Tanks for Flammable and |
| | (h) CAN/UL Steel Un Liquids' | C-S603.1, "Extern derground Tanks : ', | al Corrosion Protection Systems for for Flammable and Combustible |
| | (i) CAN4-S6 Petroleu | 515, "Reinforced P m Products", | lastic Underground Tanks for |
| | (j) ULC-S63 for Flam | 0, "Shop Fabricate | ed Steel Aboveground Vertical Tanks astible Liquids", |
| | (k) CAN/UL Tanks fo | C-S643, "Shop Fa or Flammable and (| bricated Steel Aboveground Utility Combustible Liquids", |
| | (l) ULC-S65 | 2, "Tank Assembl | ies for Collection of Used Oil", |
| | (m) ULC-S6 Flamma | 53, "Aboveground ble and Combustit | l Steel Contained Tank Assemblies for ble Liquids", |
| | (n) ULC/OR | D-C58.10, "Jacket | ted Steel Underground Tanks for |

| | Flammable and Combustible Liquids", |
|------------------------|--|
| | (o) ULC/ORD-C142.5, "Concrete Encased Steel Aboveground Tank Assemblies for Flammable and Combustible Liquids", |
| | (p) ULC-S655, "Aboveground Protected Tank Assemblies for Flammable and Combustible Liquids", or |
| | (q) ULC/ORD-C142.23, "Aboveground Waste Oil Tanks". |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.3.1.2. (1) Except as required in Sentences (2), (4), (6) and (8) and permitted in Sentences (3), (5) and (7) and in Section 4.9, atmospheric storage tanks shall be built in conformance with |
| | (a) API 12B, "Bolted Tanks for Storage of Production Liquids," |
| | (b) API 12D, "Field Welded Tanks for Storage of Production Liquids," |
| | (c) API 12F, "Shop Welded Tanks for Storage of Production Liquids," |
| | (d) API 650, "Welded Steel Tanks for Oil Storage," |
| | (e) CAN/ULC-S601, "Shop Fabricated Steel Aboveground Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids," |
| | (f) CAN/ULC-S602, "Aboveground Steel Tanks for Fuel Oil and Lubricating Oil," |
| | (g) ULC-S603, "Steel Underground Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids," |
| | (h) CAN/ULC-S603.1, "External Corrosion Protection Systems for Steel Underground Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids," |
| | (i) ULC-S615, "Reinforced Plastic Underground Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids," |
| | (j) ULC-S652, "Tank Assemblies for Collection of Used Oil," |
| | (k) ULC-S653, "Aboveground Steel Contained Tank Assemblies for Flammable and Combustible Liquids," |
| | (1) ULC-S655, "Aboveground Protected Tank Assemblies for Flammable and Combustible Liquids," or |
| | (m) ULC/ORD-C142.5, "Concrete Encased Steel Aboveground Tank Assemblies for Flammable and Combustible Liquids." |
| | |
| | (10) In service storage tanks that do not comply with Sentence (1) are |
| | permitted to remain in service provided that the tanks are not leaking and meet on < date this regulation comes into force>, the requirements of |

| | Ontario Regulation 213/07 (Fire Code). |
|--|--|
| PROBLEM | Revise the reference to reflect the revised title for the latest edition of CAN/ULC-S601 standard. The reference to ULC-S630 and ULC-S643 standards should be deleted as the requirements are now covered by the reference to latest edition of CAN/ULC-S601 standard. |
| | As well, ULC/ORD-C58.10 and ULC/ORD-C142.23 are both being withdrawn by ULC and the requirements moved into other standards in Article 4.3.1.2. |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. |
| IMPACT | Adoption of current editions for standards should have minimal impact. The proposal includes an exception for existing installations provided the tanks are not leaking and otherwise comply with the current Code. |
| | Documents will be more readily available and will reflect current technology and good practices. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Corresponding changes will be made to Table 1.2.1.A of Division B. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |
| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.1.8. | |
| Correspo | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.1.8.(1)(2) | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise the reference standard in Clause (1)(b) and add Sentence (2) to apply to tight-filled storage tanks. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.3.1.8. (1) A storage tank shall be prevented from being overfilled by providing | | | |
| | (a) continuo qualified | us supervision of tl l to supervise such | ne filling operations by personnel operations, or | |
| | (b) an overfi "Overfil Tanks". | ll protection device l Protection Device | e conforming to ULC/ORD-C58.15, es for Flammable Liquid Storage | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.3.1.8. (1) Except as required in Sentence (2), a storage tank shall be prevented from being overfilled by providing | | | |
| | (a) continuous supervision of the filling operations by personnel qualified to supervise such operations, or | | | |
| | (b) an overfill protection device conforming to ULC-S661, "Overfill Protection Devices for Flammable and Combustible Liquid Storage Tanks". | | | |
| | (2) Tight-fille by providing a posit Protection Devices | d storage tanks sh ive shut-off device for Flammable and | all be prevented from being overfilled conforming to ULC-S661, "Overfill Combustible Liquid Storage Tank." | |
| | (3) The requirements of On day. | irements of Senten neet, on <i><date i="" regi<=""> tario Regulation 2</date></i> | ce (2) do not apply to tight-filled <i>ulation comes into force></i> , the 213/07 (Fire Code) , as it read on that | |
| PROBLEM | A positive shutoff device is required on a tight-filled tank because it is not possible to visually determine if overfilling of the tank is occurring. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresp National Fire Code Ontario Fire Code a may have been edite | oonds to a technical and is presented he s part of the code he ed to reflect the On | change being proposed to the ere for possible adoption into the narmonization initiative. The change tario Fire Code context. | |
| | The proposal address storage tanks. | sses the risk of acci | dentally over-filling tight-filled | |

| IMPACT | Minimal for Sentence (1) as options are still provided. Cost associated wi Sentence (2) would vary between \$500 to \$1,500 per device. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | The proposal provides an exclusion for existing tight-filled storage tanks to comply with new Sentence (2) in recognition of the potential retrofit impact. Existing tight-filled tanks will continue to be subject to Sentence (1). | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 4.3.1.8.(1) - No Change. 4.3.1.8.(2) - [F43-OS1.1,OP1.1] 4.3.1.8.(3) - Note ¹ | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.3.1.(1)(3) |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.3.1. |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence (1) to remove reference to appendices of referenced standards. Revise Sentence (3) for clarity. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.3.3.1. (1) Storage tanks shall rest on the ground or on foundations, supports or piling made of concrete, masonry or steel in conformance with | | |
| | (a) Appendix and | x B of API 650, "W | Velded Steel Tanks for Oil Storage", |
| | (b) Appendio Large, V | ces C and D of AP. Velded, Low Press | I 620, "Design and Construction of ure Storage Tanks". |
| | (2) | | |
| | (3) Except for highest point, support rating of not less th | or steel saddles that orts for storage tan an 2 h. | at are less than 300 mm high at their Iks shall provide a fire-resistance |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.3.3.1. (1) Storage tanks shall rest on the ground or on foundations, supports or piling made of concrete, masonry or steel. | | |
| | (2) | | |
| | (3) Where the tank supports shall h | clearance below the nave a minimum <i>fi</i> | the base of the tank exceeds 300 mm re-resistance rating of 2 h. |
| PROBLEM | Sentence (1) - Foun the tank has been bu API 650 standards p attached structures a | dation or supports nilt. Appendices C provide common pr and therefore, are n | are relative to the standard to which and D of API 620 and Appendix B of ractices regarding foundations and not requirements. |
| | Sentence (3) - It is r supporting storage t | not clear when a 2 l anks is required. | h fire-resistance rating on the structure |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresp National Fire Code Ontario Fire Code a may have been edite | onds to a technical and is presented he s part of the code h ed to reflect the On | l change being proposed to the ere for possible adoption into the narmonization initiative. The change tario Fire Code context. |
| | (3) - The intent of S supports when the c material is more tha | entence (3) is to pr learance below the n 300 mm. | rovide 2 h fire-resistance rating on tank e base of the tanks to the supporting |

| IMPACT | Improved clarity. |
|--|--|
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Corresponding changes will be made to Table 1.2.1.A of Division B. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.3.2.(1) | |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.3.2.(1) | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise reference to standard in Clause (1)(b) | | (1)(b) | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.3.3.2. (1) In areas subject to earthquake forces, storage tanks , supports and connections shall be designed to resist such forces in conformance with | | | |
| | (a) Part 4 in | Division B of the I | Building Code, and | |
| | (b) Appendix A of ULC-S630, "Shop Fabricated Steel Aboveground Vertical Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids". | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.3.3.2. (1) In areas subject to earthquake forces, storage tanks , supports and connections shall be designed to resist such forces in conformance with | | | |
| | (a) Part 4 in | (a) Part 4 in Division B of the Building Code , and | | |
| | (b) Appendix A2 of CAN/ULC-S601, "Shop Fabricated Steel Aboveground Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids". | | | |
| PROBLEM | The reference to the ULC-S630 standard should be revised to reflect the new consolidated CAN/ULC-S601-07 standard where the provisions are now contained. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| IMPACT | None. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Corresponding changes will be made to Table 1.2.1.A of Division B. | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
|--|------------|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 4.3.7.4.(2) | | | | |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED In Subclause (2)(a to "4.3.1.2.(j), (k), | | i) change reference l) or (m)". | from "4.3.1.2.(l), (m), (o), (p) or (q)" | |
| CHANGE | In Subclause (2)(a)("4.3.1.2.(1)(e)". | ii) change reference | e from "4.3.1.2.(1)(e), (j) or (k)" to | |
| EXISTING OFC | 4.3.7.4 | | | |
| PROVISIONS | (2) It is permitted to waive the distance required in Sentence (1) provided the storage tank | | | |
| | (a) is constru | acted in accordance | with | |
| | (i) Clause 4.3.1.2.(1)(l), (m), (o), (p) or (q), incorporating secondary containment, or | | | |
| | (ii) Clause 4.3.1.2.(1)(e), (j) or (k) for double-walled storage tanks , | | | |
| | (b) | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 4.3.7.4 | | | |
| CHANGE | (2) It is permitted to waive the distance required in Sentence (1) provided the storage tank | | | |
| | (a) is constructed in accordance with | | | |
| | (i) Claus containn | e 4.3.1.2.(1)(j), (k), nent, or | (l), or (m), incorporating secondary | |
| | (ii) Clau | se 4.3.1.2.(1)(e) for | double-walled storage tanks, | |
| | (b) | | | |
| PROBLEM | With the withdrawal of ULC/ORD-C142.23 and ULC/ORD-C58.10 by ULC and the subsequent renumbering of Clauses in Sentence 4.3.1.2.(1), these references require renumbering. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | See above. | | | |
| ІМРАСТ | None. | | | |

| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | This change is based on revisions occurring to Sentence 4.3.1.2.(1). |
|--|--|
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | N/A |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC RecordDivision: BReference Number: 4.3.7.7. | | | Reference Number: 4.3.7.7. |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: | B | Reference Number: 4.3.7.7.(1) |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise requirement to clarify which contained spaces of the secondary containment of storage tanks need monitoring devices. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.3.7.7. Where the contained space created by the secondary containment is not accessible for visual examination, a monitoring device shall be provided to indicate the presence of liquid in, or the loss of integrity of, the secondary containment. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.3.7.7. Where the contained space created by the secondary containment is not accessible for an internal visual examination, and the secondary containment is not sloped so as to permit liquid to flow to a specific location that can be monitored, a monitoring device shall be provided to indicate the loss of integrity of the secondary containment. | | | |
| PROBLEM | The existing provision does not recognize that many of these storage tanks are designed with a floor slope in the contained space of the secondary containment to flow leaks to a point where they can be easily monitored. This is an acceptable practice, so that only tanks where this isn't provided need monitoring devices. Code wording is needed to reflect this. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| | This change ensures occurs at a point wh of the requirement. | s that the mo- ere liquid v | onitorin vill gath | ng of the secondary containment ner, which supports the original intent |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity and | d flexibility | <i>.</i> | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
|--|------------|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.8.1. | |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.8.1. | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Replace Sentence (1) with a new requirement for double-walled construction for underground tanks. Existing Sentences (1) and (2) are renumbered. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | Location | | | |
| PROVISIONS | 4.3.8.1. (1) | Underground stor | rage tanks shall be located so that | |
| | (a) founda during | tions of existing b excavation, and | uildings will not be undermined | |
| | (b) loads f transm | rom building four hitted to the tank. | ndations and supports are not | |
| | (2) Undergr distance of not less | ound storage tan l than | ks shall be separated by a horizontal | |
| | (a) | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Construction and Location | | | |
| CHANGE | 4.3.8.1. (1) walled construction storage tank standa | Storage tanks ins and shall be built ards identified in S | talled underground shall be of double- in conformance with the underground entence 4.3.1.2.(1). | |
| | (2) Underground storage tanks shall be located so that | | | |
| | (a) founda during | tions of existing b excavation, and | uildings will not be undermined | |
| | (b) loads f transm | rom building four hitted to the tank. | ndations and supports are not | |
| | (3) Undergr distance of not less | ound storage tan l than | ks shall be separated by a horizontal | |
| | (a) | | | |
| | (4) In service are permitted to rem meet, on <i><date i="" regu<=""> Regulation 213/07</date></i> | ce storage tanks thain in service pro- clation comes into (Fire Code), as it | hat do not comply with Sentence (1) vided that the tanks are not leaking and <i>force</i> >, the requirements of Ontario read on that day. | |
| PROBLEM | Single-walled tanks new installations sho | have been identif | ied as a major source of leakage and all vall type. | |

Г

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| IMPACT | There should be an additional cost of approximately \$10 000/tank for a 50 000 L tank. Providing double-walled construction underground storage tanks is a cost effective way of limiting the expenses related to soil contamination from leaks. | | |
| | The majority of industry is presently providing double-walled construction underground storage tank and therefore the impact on the industry is not seen as severe. However, the proposal includes an exception for existing installations provided the tanks are not leaking and otherwise comply with the current Code. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 4.3.8.1.(1) [F01,F43-OS1.1][F01,F43-OP1.1] (2) [F81-OS1.1,OP1.1] (3) (a) [F21-OS1.1,OP1.1] (b) [F01,F20,F21,F81-OS1.1,OP1.1] (c) [F20,F81-OS1.1,OP1.1] (4) Note¹ | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 4.3.9.1.(1)(2) | | | | |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.9.1.(1)(2) | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise the Article by removing Sentence (2) and consolidated information in two Clauses in Sentence (1), and updating the referenced standard from Sentence (2). | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.3.9.1. (1) Except as provided in Sentence (2), underground steel storage tanks and associated piping and fittings subject to corrosion shall be protected in conformance with CAN/ULC-S603.1, "External Corrosion Protection Systems for Steel Underground Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids". | | | |
| | (2) A steel storage tank not conforming to Sentence (1) is permitted provided | | | |
| | (a) it conforms to ULC/ORD-C58.10, "Jacketed Steel Underground Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids", or | | | |
| | (b) it has corrosion protection conforming to good engineering practice such as described in PACE Report No. 87-1, "Impressed Current Method of Cathodic Protection of Underground Petroleum Storage Tanks", published by the Canadian Petroleum Products Institute. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.3.9.1. (1) Underground steel storage tanks and integral fittings subject to corrosion shall be | | | |
| | (a) protected in conformance with CAN/ULC-S603.1, "External Corrosion Protection Systems for Steel Underground Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids," or | | | |
| | (b) protected by impressed current in conformance with NACE RPO285, "Corrosion Control of Underground Storage Tank Systems by Cathodic Protection." | | | |
| PROBLEM | The PACE Report is not readily available and is outdated. | | | |

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | NACE (National Association of Corrosion Engineers) oversees more than 300 technical committees that research, study, and recommend state-of-the- art corrosion technologies to both the public and private sectors. These committees produce consensus industry standards in the form of test methods, recommended practices and material requirements. This NACE standard provides recommendation for controlling external corrosion on underground storage tank systems by cathodic protection. | | | |
| IMPACT | None. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Corresponding changes will be made to Table 1.2.1.A of Division B. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.10.3.(4) | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.11.3.(4) | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence (4) to remove exception for vent alarms in lieu of vent piping extension into the storage tank. | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | 4.3.10.3. (1) | | | | |
| PROVISIONS | (4) Vent piping shall enter the storage tank through the top of the tank and shall not extend into the tank more than 25 mm except when the vent is equipped with a vent alarm. | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 4 3 10 3 (1) | | | | |
| CHANGE | (4) Vent piping shall enter the storage tank through the top of the tank and shall not extend into the tank more than 25 mm. (5) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | (6) The requirements of Sentence (4) do not apply to vent piping that meets on, <i><date comes="" force="" into="" regulation=""></date></i> , the requirements of Ontario Regulation 213/07 (Fire Code) , as it read on that day, and the vent alarm remains operational. | | | | |
| PROBLEM | The existing provision allows an exception for the vent piping of an underground storage tank when the vent is equipped with a vent alarm. Vent alarms are not approved for outdoor use and are known to freeze up, so this should not be an ongoing allowance in Sentence (4). | | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | | |
| IMPACT | New tanks installations will not be permitted the exception for vent alarms, however, an allowance is made for existing tanks equipped with such alarms provided the alarms are maintained in operating condition. | | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | | |

Г

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
|--|------------|--|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|--------------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.11.3.(2) | |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.12.3.(2) | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revised Sentence (2) to position fill points of underground storage tanks relative to the discharge point of the tank's vents. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.3.11.3. (1) (2) Remote fill outlets from an underground storage tank shall not be located higher than other outlets from the tank. (3) | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.3.11.3. (1) (2) The fill point of an underground storage tank shall not be located higher than the discharge point of the tank's vent. (3) | | | |
| PROBLEM | The existing provision does not allow remote fill outlets to be located higher than other outlets in the tank. In an underground tank the remote fill outlet is often higher than other outlets, in particular at marinas where the tank is located above the high water table and the dispenser is at the dock. The important issue regarding the height of any fill outlets is in relation to the vent. The fill point should never be higher than the discharge point of the vent, generally the only open outlet in an underground storage system. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. This change addresses the intent of the requirement to limit the probability that liquid will escape through tank openings during filling operations. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
|--|------------|--|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.11.3.(6),(7) | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.12.3.(6),(7) | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | New requirements in Sentences (6) and (7) that apply to fill points below normal liquid levels in underground storage tanks. | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | None. | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 4.3.11.3. (1) . | | | | |
| CHANGE | (6) If a fill pip on the storage tank | bing system has a a, all fill pipes sha | n offset component from the fill point Ill be | | |
| | (a) double-walled, | | | | |
| | (b) sloped to the storage tank , and | | | | |
| | (c) electronically monitored for leak detection. | | | | |
| | (7) Where the fill point is below the normal liquid level in the storage tank , | | | | |
| | (a) the fill line shall be equipped with a manual or automatic valve located at the fill point to prevent spillage when the fill cap is removed, and | | | | |
| | (b) if the storage tank contains flammable liquids or combustible liquids , the fill line drop tube shall be equipped with a method to prevent siphoning of the tank's content should a leak occur in the fill line. | | | | |
| | (8) In service piping systems that do not comply with Sentences (6) and (7) are permitted to remain in service provided that the piping is not leaking and meets, on <i><date comes="" force="" into="" regulation=""></date></i> , the requirements of Ontario Regulation 213/07 (Fire Code), as it read on that day. | | | | |
| PROBLEM | Remote-fill operations that have offset fill lines have been identified as sources of spillage. A code change is needed to address a void in the OFC with regard to fill pipes that are not directly vertical over the tank. | | | | |
| | In situations where point, liquid residue | a normal liquid le | evel in a tank is higher than the filling lead to spillage upon disconnection. | | |

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| IMPACT | Cost of the device in Sentence (7) will vary based on its operation mode. | | |
| | Exceptions are made for existing installations provided the piping is not leaking and otherwise complies with the current Code. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
| FUNCTIONAL | 4.3.11.3 .(6)(a) - [F43-OS1.1,OP1.1] | | |
| STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO | 4.3.11.3.(6) (b) - [F43-OS1.1,OP1.1] | | |
| OBJECTIVE(S) | 4.3.11.3.(6) (c) - [F82-OS1.2,OP1.2] | | |
| | 4.3.11.3. (7) - [F43-OS1.1,OP1.1] | | |
| | $4.3.11.3.(8) - Note^{1}$ | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference (Table) | Number: 4.3.12.A. | |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: B | Reference (Table) | Reference Number: 4.3.13.4.A. (Table) | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revision to title of Table 4.3.12.A. to make it specific to industrial occupancies. | | | ific to industrial | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | TABLE 4.3.12.A.Indoor Tank Storage Forming Part of Article 4.3.12.4. | | | | article 4.3.12.4. |
| | | | | Maximum (Room ⁽¹⁾ , L, | Quantity per Storage One or More Tanks |
| | Class of Liquic | Storage Level | Protected ⁽²⁾ | Unprotected Storage | |
| | | Class I | First Storey | 40000 | 25000 |
| | | | Storeys above the first storey | 7500 | Not permitted |
| | | | Basement | Not permitted | Not permitted |
| | | Class II and | First Storey | 200000 | 100000 |
| | | | Storeys above the first storey | 20000 | Not permitted |
| | | | Basement | 20000 | Not permitted |
| | Notes to Table 4.3.12.A.: ⁽¹⁾ See Subsection 4.3.13. ⁽²⁾ See Article 4.2.7.7. | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | TABLE 4.3.12.A.Indoor Tank Storage in Industrial Occupancies Forming Part of Article 4.3.12.4. and 4.3.12.6.(1) | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | Starrage Land | Maximum (Room ⁽¹⁾ , L, O | Maximum Quantity per Storage Room ⁽¹⁾ , L, One or More Tanks (Q) | |
| | Class of Liquid | Storage Level | Protected ⁽²⁾ | Unprotected Storage | |
| | Class I | First Storey | 40000 | 25000 | |
| | | Storeys above the first storey | 7500 | Not permitted | |
| | | Basement | Not permitted | Not permitted | |
| | Class II and | First Storey | 200000 | 100000 | |
| | | Storeys above the first storey | 20000 | Not permitted | |
| | | Basement | 20000 | Not permitted | |
| | Notes to Table 4.3.12.A.: ⁽¹⁾ See Subsection 4.3.13. ⁽²⁾ See Article 4.2.7.7. | | | | |
| PROBLEM | The quantities allowed in Table 4.3.12.A. are applied to the storage of flammable liquids and combustible liquids in industrial occupancies, and the Table title should clarify this. | | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | | |
| ІМРАСТ | Improved clarity. | | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | N/A | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|-----------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC RecordDivision: BReference Number: 4.3.12.7.(1) | | | | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.13.9. | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Clause (1)(a) is revised to clarify intent to contain a 100% spill from the largest storage tank. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.3.12.7. (1) Where storage tanks for flammable liquids or combustible liquids are located outside of storage rooms conforming to Subsection 4.3.13., | | | |
| | (a) provision shall be made, in conformance with Subsection 4.1.6., to contain 100% of the volume of the largest storage tank or to drain away spilled flammable liquids or combustible liquids, | | | |
| | (b) | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.3.12.7. (1) Where storage tanks for flammable liquids or combustible liquids are located outside of storage rooms conforming to Subsection 4.3.13., | | | |
| | (a) provision shall be made, in conformance with Subsection 4.1.6., to contain a spill equal to at least 100% of the volume of the largest storage tank or to drain away spilled flammable liquids or combustible liquids, | | | |
| | (b) | | | |
| PROBLEM | The tank already has a capacity to contain 100% of volume. There is a need to clarify that provision should be made to contain a spill equal to 100% of tank volume. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The change clarifies that provision must be made to contain a spill equal to the volume of the tank. | | | |
| | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity and | d enforcement. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
|--|------------|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|--------------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANG | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.13.1.(1) | |
| Corresponding NFC Chang | | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.14.1.(1) | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise clause (b) to clarify that a 100% spill from the largest tank is to be contained. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.3.13.1. (1) Rooms for storage tanks inside buildings shall be (a) | | | |
| | (b) designed to contain 100% of the volume of the largest storage tank, or to drain away spilled flammable liquids or combustible liquids in conformance with Subsection 4.1.6., (c) | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.3.13.1. (1) Rooms for storage tanks inside buildings shall be (a) (b) designed to contain a spill equal to at least 100% of the volume of the largest storage tank, or to drain away spilled flammable liquids or combustible liquids in conformance with Subsection 4.1.6., (c) | | | |
| PROBLEM | The existing wording in Clause 4.3.13.1.(1)(b) is unclear and could lead to enforcement problems. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| | The proposed change would clarify that the room must now be designed to contain the spill and the volume of that containment should be at least equal to the volume of the largest tank. | | | |
| ІМРАСТ | Improved clarity an | d enforcement. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
|--|------------|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANG | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.17.4.(3) | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.3.16.4. | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Sentence (3) to clarify practices when underground piping is removed. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | <i>Removal</i> 4.3.17.4. (1) When underground storage tanks have no further use or have been out of service for two years or longer, such tanks, together with connected piping and dispensers, shall | | | |
| | (a) have all f from the | lammable liquids | and combustible liquids removed | |
| | (b) be purged of vapours, and(c) except as permitted in Article 4.3.17.5., be removed from the ground. | | | |
| | (2) If contaminated, soil surrounding the storage tanks described in Sentence (1) shall be replaced with clean fill. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Removal of tanks or piping | | | |
| CHANGE | 4.3.17.4. (1) | | | |
| | (3) The removal, abandonment in place, disposal or temporary taking out of service of an underground piping system shall be in conformance with good engineering practice. | | | |
| PROBLEM | There is no provision for removal practices of out of service underground piping systems, which, in some cases, could still hold significant volume of flammable liquid or combustible liquids. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| ІМРАСТ | Improved clarity. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 4.3.17.4.(3) - [F01,F43,F44,F81-OS1.1,OP1.1] | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|----------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.4.1.2. | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.5.6.1. | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Sentence 4.4.1.2.(1) for underground piping construction requirements. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | Subsection 4.4.1. Scope | | | |
| PROVISIONS | Application | | | |
| | 4.4.1.1. (1) | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | OFC Subsection 4.4.1. Scope and Construction | | | |
| CHANGE | Application | | | |
| | 4.4.1.1. (1) | | | |
| | Piping construction | | | |
| | 4.4.1.2. (1) Except for vents risers and vertical fill piping systems, underground piping systems shall be of double-walled construction. | | | |
| | (2) In service piping systems that do not comply with Sentence (1) are permitted to remain in service provided that the piping is not leaking and meets, on <i><date comes="" force="" into="" regulation=""></date></i> , the requirements of Ontario Regulation 213/07 (Fire Code), as it read on that day. | | | |
| PROBLEM | Single-walled underground piping is a known major leak source, which could be a fire or explosion source if the liquids leak into the soil, and liquids or vapours migrate into buildings. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| | To reduce a well-defined known risk of pipe leakage that can lead to fire risks. | | | |

| IMPACT | This is common industry practice and additional cost for the pipe material is offset by the reduced cost for soil decontamination and underground water source treatment. Additionally, the industry is currently installing double- walled piping systems. This new requirement would be a cost increment in the material itself but is considered marginal when compared to the overall cost for a complete underground tank storage installation. However, the proposal includes an exception for existing installations provided the tanks are not leaking and otherwise comply with the current Code. |
|--|---|
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 4.4.1.2.(1) - [F01,F43-OS1.1,OP1.1] 4.4.1.2.(2) – Note ¹ |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE - OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 4.4.2.1.(3) | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC ChangeDivision: BReference Number: 4.5.2.1.(3) | | | Reference Number: 4.5.2.1.(3) | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Update Sentence (3) to reflect new standard. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.4.2.1. (1) (3) Non-metallic piping systems are permitted to be used for underground installations provided they conform to (a) ULC/ORD-C107.7, "Glass Fibre Reinforced Plastic Pipe and Fittings for Flammable Liquids", or (b) ULC/ORD-C107.4, "Ducted Flexible Underground Piping Systems for Flammable and Combustible Liquids". (4) | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.4.2.1. (1) (3) Non-metallic piping systems are permitted to be used for underground installations provided they conform to CAN/ULC-S660, "Nonmetallic Underground Piping for Flammable and Combustible Liquids". (4) | | | |
| PROBLEM | ULC has replaced ULC/ORD-C107.4 and ULC/ORD-C107.7 with CAN/ULC-S660. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| IMPACT | None. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
|--|------------|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.4.3.1.(1),(2) | |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.5.3.1.(1),(2) | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence (2) by applying requirements to underground "metal piping systems" and changing the referenced standard on corrosion protection to NACE SPO169. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.4.3.1. (1) Except as provided in Sentence (2), all exposed or underground piping, valves, couplings, flanges and bolts that are fabricated of any ferrous substance shall be thoroughly coated with a rust-resistant compatible material. | | | |
| | (2) Underground steel piping, valves and fittings that are in contact with the soil or groundwater shall be protected against corrosion in conformance with | | | |
| | (a) CAN/ULC-S603.1, "External Corrosion Protection Systems for Steel Underground Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids", or | | | |
| | (b) good engineering practice such as described in PACE Report No. 87-1, "Impressed Current Method of Cathodic Protection of Underground Petroleum Storage Tanks", published by the Canadian Petroleum Products Institute. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.4.3.1. (1) Except as provided in Sentence (2), all exposed or underground piping, valves, couplings, flanges and bolts that are fabricated of any ferrous substance shall be thoroughly coated with a rust-resistant compatible material. | | | |
| | (2) Underground metallic piping systems in contact with the soil or groundwater shall be protected against corrosion in conformance with | | | |
| | (a) CAN/ULC-S603.1, "External Corrosion Protection Systems for Steel Underground Tanks for Flammable and Combustible Liquids", or | | | |
| | (b) NACE SPO169, "Control of External Corrosion on Underground or Submerged Metallic Piping System". | | | |
| PROBLEM | The PACE Report is not readily available and is outdated. | | | |

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. |
|--|--|
| | NACE (National Association of Corrosion Engineers), on the other hand, oversees more than 300 technical committees that research, study, and recommend state-of-the-art corrosion technologies to both the public and private sectors. These committees produce consensus industry standards in the form of test methods, recommended practices and material requirements. |
| | This NACE standard provides recommendation for controlling external corrosion on underground buried or submerged metallic piping systems by cathodic protection. |
| IMPACT | None. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: | B | Reference Number: 4.4.7.9.(3) |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: | B | Reference Number: 4.5.6.9. |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Sentence (3) to require that where piping in trenches is covered, then the covering material must be noncombustible. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.4.7.9. (1) Where indoor piping for flammable liquids or combustible liquids is installed in trenches, a trapped drainage system conforming to Subsection 4.1.6. shall be provided. (2) When piping referred to in Sentence (1) | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.4.7.9. (1) Where indoor piping for flammable liquids or combustible liquids is installed in trenches, a trapped drainage system conforming to Subsection 4.1.6. shall be provided. | | | |
| | (2) When piping referred to in Sentence (1) | | | |
| | (3) Material used to cover indoor piping for flammable liquids or combustible liquids , located in trenches, shall be noncombustible. | | | |
| PROBLEM | If piping in trenches is covered with combustible material, this material could become contaminated with leaked flammable or combustible liquid and be susceptible to ignition. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved fire safety. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 4.4.7.9.(3) - [F01-0 | OS1.1,OP1. | 2][F02 | -OS1.2,OP1.2] |
| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.4.7.13.(2) |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.5.6.14.(2) |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Replace referenced | standard in Claus | e (2)(b). |
| EXISTING OFC | 4.4.7.13. (1) | | |
| PROVISIONS | (2) To prevent temperature change | nt excessive stress s | ses resulting from vibration, settling or |
| | (a) | | |
| | (b) flexible non-metallic pipe and fittings conforming to ULC/ORD- C971, "Nonmetallic Underground Piping for Flammable and Combustible Liquids", are permitted to be used where necessary in underground piping systems carrying flammable liquids or combustible liquids , or | | |
| | (c) | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | C 4.4.7.13. (1) E (2) To prevent excessive stresses resulting from vibration, settling temperature changes | | |
| CHANGE | | | |
| | (a) | | |
| | (b) flexible non-metallic pipe and fittings conforming to CAN/ULC-S660, "Nonmetallic Underground Piping for Flammable and Combustible Liquids", are permitted to be used where necessary in underground piping systems carrying flammable liquids or combustible liquids, or (c) | | |
| PROBLEM | LILC/ORD-C971 st | andard has been r | enlaced with CAN/ULC-S660 and the |
| I ROBLEM | Fire Code should re | flect this change. | epiaced with Criticolle 5000, and the |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | |
| IMPACT | None. | | |

Г

| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Corresponding changes will be made to Table 1.2.1.A of Division B. |
|--|--|
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----------------------|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.5 |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Replace the requirements of Section 4.5 with new Article 4.5.1.1. which references the National Fire Code. | | |
| EXISTING OFC | SECTION 4.5 FUE | L DISPENSING | STATIONS |
| PROVISIONS | See existing Fire Co | ode | |
| PROPOSED OFC | SECTION 4.5 FUE | EL DISPENSING | STATIONS |
| CHANGE | Subsection 4.5.1. S | cope | |
| | Applicatio n | | |
| | 4.5.1.1. (1) Except as provided in Clause 4.1.1.2.(2)(b) this Section applies to the storage, handling and use of flammable liquids and combustible liquids at fuel dispensing stations . | | |
| | (2) The storage, handling and use of flammable liquids and combustible liquids at fuel dispensing stations shall comply with Section 4.6 in Division B of NRC, "National Fire Code of Canada 2010". | | |
| PROBLEM | In Ontario, the vast majority of fuel dispensing stations are regulated by the Technical Standards and Safety Act. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | See above. By continuing to reference the NFC provisions, any fuel dispensing station not regulated by the TSS Act will continue to be subject fire safety provisions. | | |
| IMPACT | Increased harmonization with the NFC. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Corresponding changes will be made to Table 1.2.1.A of Division B. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | Corresponding attril | butions in FCS-1 | will be deleted. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.7.11.4.(1) |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.8.11.4.(1) |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Clause (1)(b) to clarify that the required device installed to prevent unwanted draining from the hose, upon its disconnection, be automatic in operation. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.7.11.4. (1) (a) | When transfer ope | erations are completed, |
| | (b) unless the cargo hose is equipped with a device that prevents liquid from draining from the hose, it shall be drained into appropriate containers that shall be emptied in such a fashion as to not create a fire or explosion hazard. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.7.11.4.(1) When transfer operations are completed, (a) | | |
| | (b) unless the cargo hose is equipped with a device that automatically prevents liquid from draining from the hose upon its disconnection, the hose shall be drained so as not to create a fire or explosion hazard. | | |
| PROBLEM | The wording in this Article should clarify that the referenced device must be automatic in operation and to provide more flexibility with drainage options. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | |
| IMPACT | Improved flexibility | ·. · | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
|--|------------|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.12.3.1.(1) |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence 4.12.3.1.(1) to clarify that flammable and combustible liquid containers used in laboratories can be sized up to 25 L in capacity, and are subject to different criteria based on their individual capacities. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.12.3.1. (1) Except as provided in Article 4.2.6.4., containers used for the storage of flammable liquids or combustible liquids in a laboratory shall be of not more than 5 L capacity and shall conform to Subsection 4.2.3. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 4.12.3.1. (1) Containers used for the storage of flammable liquids or combustible liquids in a laboratory, shall | | |
| | (a) if up to 5L in capacity, conform to Subsection 4.2.3., or | | |
| | (b) if having a capacity greater that than 5 L, | | |
| | (i) be safety containers conforming to ULC/ORD-C30, "Safety Containers", and | | |
| | (ii) have a ca | apacity of not more | e than 25L. |
| PROBLEM | The current wording is unclear regarding requirements for flammable and combustible liquid containers used in laboratories. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR | This code change is needed to provide clarification of the intent. | | |
| CHANGE | It also achieves consistency with the NFC. | | |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 4.12.10. | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | The provisions of Clauses 4.1.5.9.(4) and (5) are relocated to new Subsection 4.12.10. and revised for clarification. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 4.1.5.9. (1) . (4) Class I lic handled or used in e where (a) continuou flammat lower ex | quids not exceedir existing laboratorio us mechanical ver ole vapour concen xplosive limit in t | ng 250 L in quantity may be stored, es described in Subsection 4.12.1. attilation is provided to ensure that trations do not exceed 25% of the he basement or pit, | |
| | (b) the continuous mechanical ventilation required in Clause (a) sounds an audible alarm in an attended area upon shutdown of the ventilation system, | | | |
| | (c) the Class I liquids are located in a fire compartment separated from the rest of the building by a fire separation having a 1 h fire-resistance rating , | | | |
| | (d) despite Article 4.1.5.6., the building has a fire safety plan in conformance with Section 2.8, | | | |
| | (e) Class IA liquids are not stored in containers greater than 4 L in capacity, | | | |
| | (f) except wl power-v 4.12.8.3 storage o is design from into for Vent | (f) except where all dispensing of Class I liquids is carried out in a power-ventilated enclosure in conformance with Articles 4.12.8.3. to 4.12.8.5. and where Class IA liquids are stored in storage cabinets in conformance with Article 4.2.10.5., the room is designed to prevent critical structural and mechanical damage from internal explosion in conformance with NFPA 68, "Guide for Venting of Deflagrations", and | | |
| | (g) except w are elim detection detection explosiv | there all sources of inated, the room is n system that will n of vapour conce re limit . | f ignition within 0.9 m from the floor s provided with a flammable vapour alarm in an attended location upon ntrations exceeding 10% of the lower | |
| | (5) Despite C | Clause (4)(c), exist | ing fire separations consisting of lath | |

| | and plaster or gypsum board are deemed to be in compliance with Clause $(4)(c)$. | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| PROPOSED OFC | Subsection 4.12.10. Laboratories in Basements | | |
| CHANGE | Laboratories in basements | | |
| | 4.12.10.1. (1) Despite Sentence 4.1.5.9.(1), existing laboratories may be located in basements where | | |
| | (a) the quantity of flammable liquids and combustible liquids that are stored, handled or used shall not exceed 300 L, of which not more than 250 L shall be flammable liquids , | | |
| | (b) continuous mechanical ventilation to the outdoors is provided to ensure that flammable vapour concentrations do not exceed 25% of the lower explosive limit , | | |
| | (c) the continuous mechanical ventilation required in Clause (b) sounds an audible alarm in an attended area upon shutdown of the ventilation system, | | |
| | (d) despite Article 4.1.5.6., the building has a fire safety plan in conformance with Section 2.8, | | |
| | (e) Class IA liquids are not stored in containers greater than 4 L in capacity, (f) except where all sources of ignition within 0.9 m from the floor are eliminated, the room is provided with a flammable vapour detection system that will alarm in an attended location upon detection of vapour concentrations exceeding 10% of the lower explosive limit, and | | |
| | | | |
| | (g) the room is designed to prevent critical structural and mechanical damage from internal explosion in conformance with NFPA 68, "Standard for Explosion Protection by Deflagration Venting", except where | | |
| | (i) all dispensing of flammable liquids is carried out in a power-ventilated enclosure in conformance with Articles 4.12.8.3. to 4.12.8.5., and | | |
| | (ii) Class IA liquids are stored in storage cabinets in conformance with Article 4.2.10.5. | | |
| PROBLEM | Users of the Code may overlook the provisions of Clause 4.1.5.9.(4) when applying Part 4 to laboratories. All specific requirements for laboratories should be located in Section 4.12. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | To ensure that users of the Code apply all provisions of Part 4 that apply to laboratories. | | |

| IMPACT | Improved clarity. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Exceptions to Subsection 4.12.10. will be provided in Sentences 4.12.3.1.(2) and 4.12.8.1.(1). The renumbering may require corresponding editorial changes to other Code provisions. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE | OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|-----------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.2 | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Consolidate application statements in Articles 5.2.1.1. and 5.2.2.1. Relocate existing Article 5.2.2.2. to 5.2.1.3. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | SECTION 5.2 EXPLOSIVES, FIREWORKS AND PYROTECHNICS | | | |
| | Subsection 5.2.1. E | xplosives | | |
| | Storage, handling a | ind use | | |
| | 5.2.1.1. The storage, handling and use of explosives, blasting agents, detonators, propellant explosives, pyrotechnics and ammunition shall be in conformance with the Explosives Act (Canada) and the Explosives Regulations made under it. | | | |
| | Fire emergency procedures | | | |
| | 5.2.1.2. (1) Any person using, storing or handling explosives shall establish fire emergency procedures in conformance with Section 2.8 and that shall specify | | | |
| | (a) the location and identification of storage and use areas, | | | |
| | (b) methods to control a fire emergency safely and efficiently, and | | | |
| | (c) the names, addresses and telephone numbers of persons to be contacted in case of fire during nonoperating hours. | | | |
| | Subsection 5.2.2. Fireworks and Pyrotechnics | | | |
| | Manufacture, storage, transportation and sale | | | |
| | 5.2.2.1. The manufacture, storage, transportation and sale of fireworks and pyrotechnics shall be in conformance with the Explosives Act (Canada) and the Explosives Regulations made under it. | | | |
| | Handling and disch | narge | | |
| | 5.2.2.2. The handling and discharge of fireworks and pyrotechnics shall conform with the NRCan, "Display Fireworks Manual" and NRCan, "Pyrotechnics Special Effects Manual". | | | |

| PROPOSED OFC | SECTION 5.2 EXPLOSIVES, FIREWORKS AND PYROTECHNICS | |
|--|---|--|
| CHANGE | Subsection 5.2.1. Explosives | |
| | Manufacturing, handling, transportation, sale and use | |
| | 5.2.1.1. The manufacturing, handling, transportation, sale and use of explosives shall be in conformance with the Explosives Act (Canada) and Regulations made under it. | |
| | Fire emergency procedures | |
| | 5.2.1.2. (1) Any person using, storing or handling explosives shall establish fire emergency procedures in conformance with Section 2.8 and that shall specify | |
| | (a) the location and identification of storage and use areas, | |
| | (b) methods to control a fire emergency safely and efficiently, and | |
| | (c) the names, addresses and telephone numbers of persons to be contacted in case of fire during nonoperating hours. | |
| | Handling and discharge of fireworks and pyrotechnics | |
| | 5.2.1.3. The handling and discharge of fireworks and pyrotechnics shall conform with NRCan, "Display Fireworks Manual" and NRCan, "Pyrotechnics Special Effects Manual". | |
| PROBLEM | The use of the term 'explosives' in conjunction with other terms (blasting agents, detonators etc.) already captured in the definition of explosives under the Explosives Act has created confusion for Code users. | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Elimination of the listing of various explosives in existing Article 5.2.1.1. in favour of the definition in the Explosives Act will reduce confusion. The proposed change will also more closely harmonize with the NFC. | |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity. The proposed change will also have the effect of requiring all persons using, storing or handling explosives as defined under the Explosives Act (Canada), including vendors of fireworks, to establish fire emergency procedures as described in Article 5.2.1.2. | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC RecordDivision: BReference Number: 5.2.1.2.(1) | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | Reference Number: N/A | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Editorial clarificatio | on of responsibiliti | es. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 5.2.1.2. (1) Any person using, storing or handling explosives shall establish fire emergency procedures in conformance with Section 2.8 and that shall specify | | | | |
| | (a) the location and identification of storage and use areas, | | | | |
| | (b) methods to control a fire emergency safely and efficiently, and | | | | |
| | (c) the names, addresses and telephone numbers of persons to be contacted in case of fire during nonoperating hours. | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 5.2.1.2. (1) Fire emergency procedures in conformance with Section 2.8 shall be established and shall specify | | | | |
| | (a) the location and identification of storage and handling areas, | | | | |
| | (b) methods to control a fire emergency safely and efficiently, and | | | | |
| | (c) the names, addresses and telephone numbers of persons to be contacted in case of fire during nonoperating hours. | | | | |
| PROBLEM | The reference to "per responsible for estal "owner" responsibil | erson using, storin blishing fire emer lity as specified in | g or handling explosives" as being gency procedures, is not consistent with Article 1.2.1.1. of Division B. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The owner as specified in Article 1.2.1.1. of Division B is responsible for carrying out the provisions of the Fire Code. | | | | |
| IMPACT | None. | | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
|--|------------|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No change. | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|---|------------------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.6.1.1.(4) | |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Sentence 5.6.1.1.(4) gases. |) is modified by cla | arifying exemptions for compressed | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 5.6.1.1 (4) Articles 5.6.2.1. to 5.6.2.4. do not apply where the amount of compressed gas stored does not exceed | | | |
| | (a) 25 kg of | (a) 25 kg of flammable compressed gas , or | | |
| | (b) 150 kg o | f non-flammable c | ompressed gas. | |
| | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 5.6.1.1 | | | |
| CHANGE | (4) Articles 5.6.2.1. to 5.6.2.4. do not apply to compressed gas stored outdoors or in a fire compartment where the amount in each location does not exceed | | | |
| | (a) 25 kg of | flammable compr | essed gas, or | |
| | (b) 150 kg o | f non-flammable c | ompressed gas. | |
| | ••• | | | |
| PROBLEM | The exemptions for | compressed gases | are not clearly specified. | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Improved clarity. C | Consistent with trea | ttment of Dangerous Goods in NFC. | |
| IMPACT | None. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |

Г

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
|--|------------|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|--|---------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.6.1.1.(6) |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Sentence 5.6.1.1.(6) to allow for NFPA 55 as a compliance option to the indoor and outdoor storage provisions of Section 5.6. | | v for NFPA 55 as a compliance option visions of Section 5.6. |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | Alternative protection measures 5.6.1.1. (1) | | |
| | (6) Compressed gas stored in compliance with NFPA 55, "Standard for the Storage, Use, and Handling of Compressed Gases and Cryogenic Fluids in Portable and Stationary Containers, Cylinders, and Tanks" is deemed to be in compliance with Subsection 5.6.2. | | |
| PROBLEM | The Fire Code does not currently recognize NFPA 55 as an acceptable compliance alternative to the storage provisions of Section 5.6. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | NFPA 55 is a recognized consensus standard for the safe installation, storage, use and handling of compressed gases. The proposed change will reflect current industry practice. | | |
| IMPACT | Improved flexibility and compliance. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 5.6.1.1.(6) Note ¹ | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: | B | Reference Number: 5.10.1.1. |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: | N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Relocate requirements in Sentence 5.10.1.1.(2) to revised Article 5.10.1.3. to distinguish between an application statement and technical provisions. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | Mechanical exhaust systems 5.10.1.1. (1) This Section shall apply to buildings , parts of buildings , or equipment where combustible dusts are produced in quantities or concentrations that create an explosion or fire hazard. | | | |
| | shall have or be con atmosphere and dus | nected to a r t-tight casing | nechai gs or e | nical exhaust system to the outside nclosures. |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | <i>Application</i> 5.10.1.1. The or equipment where concentrations that of | iis Section sl combustibl create an exp | nall ap l e dus t plosior | ply to buildings , parts of buildings , ts are produced in quantities or n or fire hazard. |
| PROBLEM | Sentence (1) is an approvision. The two | pplication sta should be in | atemer separ | nt. Sentence (2) is a technical ate articles. |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | See above. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | See corresponding c | change to Ar | ticle 5 | .10.1.3. |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No change except ex | xisting attrib | outions | will be linked to relocated provision. |

Г

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.10.1.2.(2) and (3) | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.3.1.2.(2)(3) | |
| DESCRIPTION OF | Revise Sentence (2) | editorially for clar | rification purposes. | |
| PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Clause (3)(b) by adding the words "machinery and" in the second portion of the provision to ensure that machinery is also correspondingly regulated. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 5.10.1.2. (1) Building and machinery surfaces shall be kept clean of accumulations of combustible dusts using cleaning equipment that | | | |
| | (a) is made of sparks, | of materials that wi | ll not create electrostatic charges or | |
| | (b) is electric | cally conductive ar | nd bonded to ground, and | |
| | (c) except as permitted in Sentence (3), removes the dust to a safe location by vacuum. | | | |
| | (2) When used in atmospheres containing combustible dusts , the cleaning equipment required in Sentence (1) shall be listed and labelled for use in atmospheres containing combustible dusts . | | | |
| | (3) Where it is not possible to effectively remove dust by vacuum, it is permitted to use compressed air or other means which cause dust to be suspended in air during removal if, in the dust removal area, | | | |
| | (a) all sources of ignition are eliminated, and | | | |
| | (b) all machi equipmer combust i | nery and equipment is listed and labe ible dusts . | nt is de-energized, unless such elled for use in atmospheres containing | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 5.10.1.2. (1) |) | | |
| CHANGE | (2) Cleaning equipment required in Sentence (1) used in an atmosphere containing combustible dusts shall be listed and labelled for use in atmospheres containing combustible dusts . | | | |
| | (3) Where it a it is permitted to use suspended in air dur | is not possible to e e compressed air or ring removal if, in t | ffectively remove the dust by vacuum, to other means which cause dust to be the dust removal area, | |
| | (a) all source | es of ignition are el | iminated, and | |
| | (b) all machi machiner | nery and equipment is l | nt is de-energized, unless such isted and labelled for use in | |

| | atmospheres containing combustible dusts. |
|--|--|
| PROBLEM | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. This is an editorial change that provides clarity. |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|--|----|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.10.1.3. | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Re-structure Article 5.10.1.3. to incorporate relocated provisions from 5.10.1.1.(2). Include exception for Sentence 5.10.1.5.(3). | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | <i>Dust-collecting equipment</i> 5.10.1.3. Dust-collecting systems shall be provided to prevent the accumulation of dust and keep suspended dusts at a safe concentration inside a building . | | | de |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | Dust containment 5.10.1.3.(1) To prevent the accumulation of dust, and keep suspended dust at a safe concentration inside a building , machinery that produces, agitates or conveys combustible dusts shall (a) have dust-tight casings or enclosures, and (b) be connected to a dust-collecting system that exhausts to the sustaids expent as provided in Sectores 5.10.1.5 (2) | | | |
| PROBLEM | Refer to proposed change for Article 5.10.1.1. There is also a potential conflict between 5.10.1.3. and 5.10.1.1.(2). | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | See above. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | See corresponding change to Article 5.10.1.1. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No change except ex | xisting attribution | s will be linked to relocated provision. | 1. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.11.1.2.(4) and (5) | |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: B | Reference Number: 3.2.6.3.(4) | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Sentence (4) is revised to require the sprinkler system to comply with NFPA 13. Sentence (5) is revised to limit the maximum size of individual storage areas for combustible fibre storage to that of the sprinkler design area, but also not to exceed 250 m ² . | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 5.11.1.2 (4) Quantities of loose combustible fibres that exceed 30 m³ shall be stored in an individual room that is | | | |
| | (a) sprinkle | red, and | | |
| | (b) separated separati | l from the remaind ion having a fire-r | er of the building by a fire esistance rating of not less than 2 h. | |
| | (5) The individual storage areas for combustible fibres described in Sentence (4) shall not exceed 250 m^2 . | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 5.11.1.2 | | | |
| CHANGE | (4) Quantities of loose combustible fibres that exceed 30 m^3 shall be stored in an individual room that is | | | |
| | (a) sprinkle Sprinkle | ered in conformance or Systems," and | ce with NFPA 13, "Installation of | |
| | (b) separated separati | l from the remaind ion having a fire-r | er of the building by a fire esistance rating of not less than 2 h. | |
| | (5) The indiv Sentence (4) shall n | idual storage are ot exceed | as for combustible fibres described in | |
| | (a) 250 m ² , an | ıd | | |
| | (b) the design | area of the sprinkl | er system. | |
| | (6) The require arrangements that m requirements of On day. | rements of Sentend neet on, <i><date i="" regi<=""> tario Regulation 2</date></i> | ces (4) and (5) do not apply to storage <i>lation comes into force></i> , the 213/07 (Fire Code) , as it read on that | |
| PROBLEM | The size of an indiv contain a fire to a m system. Therefore th sprinkler system. | idual storage area anageable size tha he ISA should neve | (ISA) for fibres should be limited to t does not overtax the protection er exceed the design area for the | |

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| | The proposed change links the size of the individual storage area (ISA) of the commodity to the design area of sprinklers to ensure adequate sprinkler protection, but still retains the existing maximum 250 m^2 ISA area currently provided in the Code. A design and installation standard for the sprinkler system is also specified. |
| IMPACT | Improved fire protection. |
| | An exception is provided for existing storage arrangements that meet the requirements of the current Code. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL | 5.11.1.2. (4) No Change |
| STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO | 5.11.1.2. (5) No Change |
| OBJECTIVE(S) | 5.11.1.2. (6) Note ¹ |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.12.1.1. |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | New Sentence 5.12.1.1.(1) provides an application statement for this Section. Previous Article 5.12.1.1. is renumbered as 5.12.1.2. and revised to use the terminology "spray coating processes". | | |
| | New Sentence (2) provides an alternative option to compliance with Section 5.12. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | SECTION 5.12 SP COMBUSTIBLE N | RAY APPLICAT MATERIALS | TONS USING FLAMMABLE AND |
| | Subsection 5.12.1. | Location | |
| | Separation for spra | y operations | |
| | 5.12.1.1. Spray operations shall be separated from the remainder of the building in conformance with the Building Code , where applicable. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | SECTION 5.12 SPRAY APPLICATIONS USING FLAMMABLE AND COMBUSTIBLE MATERIALS | | |
| | Subsection 5.12.1. | Application and I | Exemptions |
| | 5.12.1.1.(1) This Section applies to spray coating processes involving the use of flammable liquids or combustible liquids . | | |
| | (2) Complia requirements in NFI Flammable or Comb deemed to satisfy th | nce with the design PA 33, "Standard foustible Materials" e requirements in the state of the sta | n, operation and maintenance for Spray Application Using d, for spray coating processes is this Section. |
| | (3) Despite S Article 5.12.1.2. | Sentence (2), spray | v coating processes shall comply with |
| | Separation for spra | y coating processe | 25 |
| | 5.12.1.2. Spi remainder of the bu applicable. | ray coating process ilding in conforma | ses shall be separated from the ance with the Building Code , as |
| PROBLEM | The Section does no | ot have an application | ion statement. |
| | NFPA 33 is a recognishould be a permitte | nized industry stan ed compliance opti | dard for spray coating processes and on, consistent with the NFC. |

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change provides clarity on what the section applies to and is consistent with the NFC with respect to the reference to NFPA 33. |
|--|--|
| IMPACT | Improved clarity and flexibility. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 5.12.1.1. Note ¹ 5.12.1.2. [F03-OS1.2,OP1.2] |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 5.12.2. | | | | | |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | N | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Articles 5.12.2.1. and 5.12.2.4., and Sentence 5.12.2.3.(1) to extend the requirements to "spray rooms". | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 5.12.2.1. (1) A spray booth shall consist of a steel frame covered with sheet steel having a minimum thickness of 1.14 mm, or be of equivalent noncombustible construction . | | | | |
| | (2) The inte continuous. | rior surfaces of | a s | pray booth shall be smooth and | |
| | (3) The floo be of noncombustib | or of a spray bo le materials. | oth | and the operator's working area shall | |
| | 5.12.2.2 | 5.12.2.2 | | | |
| | 5.12.2.3. (1) A spray booth shall be provided with overspray collection, such as filters or water wash, to prevent build-up of combustible deposits on the exhaust fan and ductwork. | | | | |
| | (2) | | | | |
| | 5.12.2.4. Fan blades and casings in exhaust blowers for spray booths shall be non-ferrous, or the fan shall be constructed so that a movement of the wheel or shaft will not permit two ferrous parts of the fan to rub or strike together. | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 5.12.2.1. (1) A spray room or spray booth shall have walls and ceilings consisting of a steel frame covered with sheet steel having a minimum thickness of 1.14 mm, or be of equivalent noncombustible construction . | | | | |
| | (2) Walls and ceilings of a spray room that are susceptible to paint residue accumulation and interior surfaces of a spray booth shall be smooth and continuous. | | | | |
| | (3) The floor of a spray room or a spray booth , and the operators working area shall be of noncombustible materials. | | | | |
| | 5.12.2.2 | | | | |
| | 5.12.2.3. (1) overspray collection combustible deposit | A spray room h, such as filters ts on the exhaus | or or t fa | a spray booth shall be provided with water wash, to prevent build-up of n and ductwork. | |

| | (2) | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 5.12.2.4. Fan blades and casings in exhaust blowers for spray rooms or spray booths shall be non-ferrous, or the fan shall be constructed so that a movement of the wheel or shaft will not permit two ferrous parts of the fan to rub or strike together. | | |
| PROBLEM | Subsection 5.12.2. construction requirements appear to apply to spray booths only, but, in fact, also apply to spray rooms. Spray booths and spray rooms are separately defined terms, and as such, both should be referenced to avoid ambiguity. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change would harmonize more closely to the NFC given that it references NFPA 33 for the design, operation and maintenance requirements related to spray coating processes and the associated construction requirements for spray booths and spray rooms are similar to those of the OFC. | | |
| IMPACT | Minimal impact. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Similar changes are proposed for other applicable provisions in Section 5.12. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC RecordDivision: BReference Number: 5.12.3.2. | | | | |
| Correspoi | Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise the Article to clarify that the minimum air velocity requirement applies to all spray booth openings. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 5.12.3.2. Except as provided in Article 5.12.3.3., the exhaust air velocity at the face of the spray booth shall be at least 30 m/min. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 5.12.3.2. Except as provided in Article 5.12.3.3., the exhaust air velocity at an opening of a spray booth shall be at least 30 m/min. | | | |
| PROBLEM | The required air velocity applies to all spray booth openings to the surrounding floor area (i.e. conveyor openings), if those openings are normally open during spraying operations. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change will clarify the intent of the Code. | | | |
| IMPACT | Minimum. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S)No Change.AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S)Image: Comparison of the second seco | | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 5.12.3.3. | | | | |
| Correspoi | Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise the Article to clarify that the minimum air velocity requirement applies to all spray booth openings. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 5.12.3.3. Electrostatic spraying shall have an exhaust air velocity of at least 18 m/min at the face of the spray booth . | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 5.12.3.3. Electrostatic spraying shall have an exhaust air velocity of at least 18 m/min at an opening of the spray booth . | | | |
| PROBLEM | The required air velocity applies to all spray booth openings to the surrounding floor area (i.e. conveyor openings), if those openings are normally open during spraying operations. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change will clarify the intent of the Code. | | | |
| IMPACT | Minimal | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | N None. E S | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S)No Change.AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.12.4. | |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Articles 5.12.4.1. to 5.12.4.5. (excluding 5.12.4.3.) to extend the requirements to "spray rooms". | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | Subsection 5.12.4. Exhaust Ducts 5.12.4.1. Exhaust ducts for spray booths shall be securely supported and constructed of sheet steel in conformance with Table 5.12.4.A. | | | |
| | | TABLE | 5.12.4.A. | |
| | | | | |
| | 5.12.4.2. Except as provided in Article 5.12.4.3., a clearance of 457 mm shall be maintained between ducts venting spray booths and unprotected combustible material. | | | |
| | 5.12.4.35.12.4.4. Exhaust ducts for spray booths shall be provided with access doors for cleaning purposes. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 5.12.4.5. (1) Except for water-wash types, the exhaust outlet to atmosphere from spray booths shall | | | |
| | (a) | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Subsection 5.12.4. Exhaust Ducts | | | |
| CHANGE | 5.12.4.1. Exhaust ducts for spray rooms and spray booths shall be securely supported and constructed of sheet steel in conformance with Table 5.12.4.A. | | | |
| | TABLE 5.12.4.A. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 5.12.4.2. Except as provided in Article 5.12.4.3., a clearance of 457 mm shall be maintained between ducts venting spray rooms and spray booths and unprotected combustible material. | | | |
| | 5.12.4.3 | | | |
| | 5.12.4.4. Exha provided with acces | aust ducts for spra is doors for cleanin | y rooms and spray booths shall be ag purposes. | |
| | 5.12.4.5. (1) Except for water-wash types, the exhaust outlet to | | | |

| | atmosphere from spray rooms and spray booths shall |
|--|---|
| | (a) |
| PROBLEM | Subsection 5.12.4. construction requirements appear to apply to spray booths only, but, in fact, also apply to spray rooms. Spray booths and spray rooms are separately defined terms, and as such, both should be referenced to avoid ambiguity. |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change would harmonize more closely to the NFC given that it references NFPA 33 for the design, operation and maintenance requirements related to spray coating processes and the associated construction requirements for spray booths and spray rooms are similar to those of the OFC. |
| IMPACT | Minimal impact. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Similar changes are proposed for other applicable provisions in Section 5.12. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC RecordDivision: BReference Number: 5.12.5.2. | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Article 5.12.5.2. to extend the current requirements to "spray rooms". | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 5.12.5.2. Electric motors for exhaust fans shall not be placed inside spray booths or ducts. | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 5.12.5.2. Electric motors for exhaust fans shall not be placed inside spray rooms , spray booths or ducts. | | | | |
| PROBLEM | Exhaust fan electric motor restrictions in Article 5.12.5.2. appear to apply to spray booths only, but, in fact, also apply to spray rooms. Spray booths and spray rooms are separately defined terms, and as such, both should be referenced to avoid ambiguity. | | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change would harmonize more closely to the NFC given that it references NFPA 33 for the design, operation and maintenance requirements related to spray coating processes and the associated construction requirements for spray booths and spray rooms are similar to those of the OFC. | | | | |
| IMPACT | Minimal impact. | | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Similar changes are proposed for other applicable provisions in Section 5.12. | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S)No Change.AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | | | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|---|--|---------------|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.12.7.1 5.12.7.2. |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Article 5.12.7.1. and Sentence 5.12.7.2.(1) to extend the current requirements to "spray rooms". | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 5.12.7.1. The spraying equipment for a spray booth shall be interlocked to shut down in the event of failure of the ventilation system, failure of the circulating water pump of a water-wash system or failure of the filter roll-down mechanism of a dry spray booth . | | |
| | 5.12.7.2. (1) The inner surface of spray booths shall be cleaned of combustible residue as often as necessary to prevent a fire hazard. | | |
| | (2) | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 5.12.7.1. The spraying equipment for a spray room or a spray booth shall be interlocked to shut down in the event of failure of the ventilation system, failure of the circulating water pump of a water-wash system or failure of the filter roll-down mechanism of an overspray collection system. | | |
| | 5.12.7.2. (1) The inner surface of spray rooms and spray booths shall be cleaned of combustible residue as often as necessary to prevent a fire hazard. | | |
| | (2) | | |
| PROBLEM | Subsection 5.12.7. appears to apply to spray booths only, but, in fact, also apply to spray rooms. Spray booths and spray rooms are separately defined terms and referencing both in the code provision avoids ambiguity. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change would harmonize more closely to the NFC given that it references NFPA 33 for the design, operation and maintenance requirements related to spray coating processes and the associated construction requirements for spray booths and spray rooms are similar to those of the OFC. | | |
| IMPACT | Minimal impact. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Similar changes are proposed for other applicable provisions in Section 5.12. | | |

| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
|--|---|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.12.7.2. | |
| Correspo | nding NFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Sentence 5.12.7.2.(3) is added to clarify that coverings may be used on the inside surfaces of spray areas to facilitate cleaning of overspray. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | Cleaning and resid | ue disposal | | |
| PROVISIONS | 5.12.7.2. (1) combustible residue | The inner surface as often as neces | of spray booths shall be cleaned of sary to prevent a fire hazard. | |
| | (2) Combustible residue from cleaning operations shall, on the same day as the cleaning operations, be removed from the premises or placed in receptacles conforming to Sentence 2.4.1.3.(3). | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Cleaning and residue disposal | | | |
| CHANGE | 5.12.7.2. (1) The inner surface of spray booths shall be cleaned of combustible residue as often as necessary to prevent a fire hazard. | | | |
| | (2) Combustible residue from cleaning operations shall, on the same day as the cleaning operations, be removed from the premises or placed in receptacles conforming to Sentence 2.4.1.3.(3). | | | |
| | (3) Strippable coatings or removable combustible coverings, such as thin paper and static dissipative plastic sheets, are permitted to be used on walls and floors to facilitate cleaning operations in spray coating areas. | | | |
| PROBLEM | The Fire Code does not specify whether removable combustible wall and floor coverings are permitted in spray areas for the collection of combustible overspray and to facilitate clean-up. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The NFC references NFPA 33 for design, operation and maintenance of spray coating processes, and this standard permits the use of combustible coverings in spray booths. This proposed change will promote harmonization with the NFC and will clarify that the use of these coverings is permitted by the Fire Code. | | | |
| IMPACT | Minimal. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |

| OBJECTIVE | OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 5.12.7.2.(3) – Note ¹ | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 5.12.7.4. | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF | Delete Article 5.12.7.4 | | | |
| PROPOSED CHANGE | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 5.12.7.4. A water-wash spray booth shall be used when applying spray material that is susceptible to spontaneous heating. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 5.12.7.4. RESERVED | | | |
| PROBLEM | 5.12.7.4. is redundant as 5.12.2.3.(7) has same requirement. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Change made to remove redundancy in the Code. | | | |
| IMPACT | None. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 5.12.7.4. RESERVED | | | |
| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE - OFC RecordDivision: BReference Number: 5.12.8.3.(1) and (3) | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence (1) to extend requirements to "spray rooms". Add Sentence (3) to waive the requirements in Sentence (1) to sprinkler heads in spray rooms that are remote from the spray stream. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 5.12.8.3. (1) Sprinkler heads in spray booths shall be protected with lightweight paper or thin polyethylene bags. (2) | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 5.12.8.3. (1) Sprinkler heads in spray rooms and spray booths shall be protected with lightweight paper or thin polyethylene bags. (2) (3) Sprinkler heads in spray rooms need not be protected in accordance with Sentence (1) if in a location not subject to paint overspray. | | | |
| PROBLEM | This Sentence needs to apply to spray rooms and not just to spray booths, being that they are both separately defined terms but both have the same hazardous operation. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change would harmonize more closely to the NFC given that it references NFPA 33 for the design, operation and maintenance requirements related to spray coating processes and the associated construction requirements for spray booths and spray rooms are similar to those of the OFC. It is also consistent with industry practice. | | | |
| IMPACT | Minimal impact. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Similar changes are proposed for other applicable provisions in Section 5.12. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | (1) No Change (2) No Change (3) Note ¹ | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.12.10. (relocation) |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | The requirements for electrostatic spraying equipment contained in Subsection 5.14.4. are relocated to Section 5.12. under new Subsection 5.12.10. An application statement is added. The requirement under 5.14.4.17. is not transferred since Subsection 5.12.8. addresses the subject matter. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | Subsection 5.14.4. Electrostatic Spraying and Detearing Equipment See existing OFC. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Subsection 5.12.10. | Electrostatic Spra | lying |
| CHANGE | Application equipm | ent location | |
| | 5.12.10.1. (1) This Subsection applies to electrostatic spray coating processes involving the use of flammable liquids or combustible liquids . | | |
| | (2) Electrical components, including transformers, power packs and control equipment for electrostatic spray applications shall be located in an area where the vapour concentration of flammable liquids or combustible liquids cannot exceed 25% of the lower explosive limit . | | |
| | (3) Sentence (2) does not apply to high voltage grids and their connections. | | |
| | Interlocks | | |
| | 5.12.10.2. (1) Electrostatic spraying equipment shall be provided with automatic controls that will operate without a time delay to disconnect power to high voltage transformers and to signal the operator when | | |
| | (a) stoppage of the air supply, ventilating fan or conveyor system occurs, | | |
| | (b) there is a | ground at any point | nt on the high voltage system, or |
| | (c) clearances are reduced below those specified in Article 5.14.4.4. | | |
| | Insulators | | |
| | 5.12.10.3. Ins | sulators shall be ke | pt clean and dry. |
| | Clearances | | |
| | 5.12.10.4. (1) A space equivalent to twice the sparking distance shall be maintained between articles being painted and electrodes or conductors. | | |

(2) A sign shall be conspicuously posted near an electrical assembly stating the maximum sparking distance.

Drip plates and screens

5.12.10.5. Drip plates and screens subject to paint deposits shall be removable for cleaning.

Insulating and grounding

5.12.10.6. High voltage components, including atomizing heads, shall be insulated and protected against mechanical damage and accidental contact or grounding.

Automatic grounding

5.12.10.7. An automatic means shall be provided for grounding the electrode system when it is de-energized.

Operating distances

5.12.10.8. Items being electrostatically sprayed shall not be held by hand nor suspended in such a manner as to reduce the proper operating distance from the atomizing heads.

Distance to processing areas

5.12.10.9. Electrostatic spraying equipment shall be located 1.5 m from processing equipment and isolated from other areas by grounded guards and fences of conducting material.

Surface temperatures

5.12.10.10. The surface temperature of equipment in a spraying area shall not exceed 66° C.

Precautions against shock

5.12.10.11. High voltage circuits shall be designed so that any discharge occurring will not ignite vapour-air mixtures or create a shock hazard.

Spray gun energy supply

5.12.10.12. The energy supply to hand spray guns shall be controlled by a switch that also controls the coating material supply.

Spray gun operation

5.12.10.13. Spray gun handles shall be grounded and have a metallic connection that is in direct contact with the operator's hand during spraying.

Grounding

5.12.10.14. All electrically conductive objects in the **spraying area** shall be grounded, and a sign shall be posted indicating the need for such grounding.

| | Paint accumulations | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 5. 12.10.15. Hooks and other supports for sprayed items shall be kept clean and free of paint. | | |
| | Warning signs | | |
| | 5. 12.10.16. Signs designating the spraying area as dangerous shall be conspicuously posted. | | |
| PROBLEM | It is not clear that spray coating operations governed by Subsection 5.14.4. are also required to comply with Section 5.12. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change clarifies the application of the Code to electrostatic spray coating operations involving flammable and combustible liquids. | | |
| IMPACT | None. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | The proposed change is linked to a change proposed to Subsection 5.14.4. that modifies the content to apply to electrostatic detearing operations only – see proposed change to Article 5.14.4. | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. The associated attributions will be relocated within the Fire Code Supplement, FCS-1. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|---|--|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.12.11. |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | New Subsection 5.12.11. added for spray coating operations using combustible dry powder being relocated from Section 5.14.6. The references to fluidized beds in Subsection 5.14.6. are not being relocated. | | |
| EXISTING OFC | Subsection 5.14.6. Dry Powder Finishing | | |
| PROVISIONS | See existing OFC. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Subsection 5.12.11. | Dry Powder Spra | y Coating |
| CHANGE | Application and loc | ration | |
| | 5.12.11.1. (1) This Subsection applies to coating operations involving the use of combustible dry powders applied by powder spray guns or electrostatic powder spray guns. | | |
| | (2) Coating operations referred to in Sentence (1) shall be performed in | | |
| | (a) ventilated, enclosed, powder-coating rooms of noncombustible construction , or | | |
| | (b) spray booths. | | |
| | (3) Despite Sentence (3), electrical installations shall conform to the Electrical Safety Code made under the Electricity Act , 1998. | | |
| | Location of electrical equipment | | |
| | 5.12.11.2. With the exception of charging electrodes and their connections, transformers, power packs, control apparatus and all other electrical components shall be located outside the powder-coating area. | | |
| | Working temperatures | | |
| | 5.12.11.3. Where a part to be coated is preheated prior to the application of the powder, the temperature of the part shall not exceed the ignition temperature of the powder being used. | | |
| | Grounding | | |
| | 5.12.11.4. (1) Powder transport, application and recovery equipment shall be grounded. | | |
| | (2) A sign sh | all be posted indic | ating the necessity of grounding |

| | equipment and objects identified in Sentences (1). | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Separators | | |
| | 5.12.11.5. Separators shall be used to prevent tramp iron or other spark-producing materials from being introduced into the powders being applied. | | |
| | Recovery systems | | |
| | 5.12.11.6. All waste air-suspended powders shall be removed by exhaust ducts to a powder recovery system and shall not be released to the outside atmosphere. | | |
| | Vacuum cleaning | | |
| | 5.12.11.7. Accumulations of waste dust from dry powder finishes shall be removed by vacuum cleaning equipment. | | |
| | Contact points | | |
| | 5.12.11.8. Objects being coated shall be maintained in contact with the conveyor or other support. | | |
| | Hangers | | |
| | 5.12.11.9. Hangers for objects being coated shall be kept clean and have sharp points or edges at areas of contact. | | |
| | Smoking prohibited | | |
| | 5.12.11.10. (1) Smoking shall not be permitted at powder-coating areas and in powder storage rooms. | | |
| | (2) Signs prohibiting smoking that conform to Article 2.4.3.2. shall be conspicuously posted at all powder-coating areas and powder storage rooms. | | |
| PROBLEM | It is not clear that spray coating operations using combustible dry powders governed by Subsection 5.14.6. are also required to comply with Section 5.12. There is a need to clarify the link between the two. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change clarifies the application of the code to spray coating operations that involve dry powders. References to fluidized beds are retained in Subsection 5.14.6. since they are not used in spray coating operations. | | |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity and enforcement. | | |

| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | The proposed change is linked to a change proposed to Subsection 5.14.6. that modifies the content to apply to coating operations involving fluidized beds – see proposed change for Article 5.14.6. | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Note that other proposed code change records for Subsection 5.14.6. may no be reflected in this general transfer of requirements from 5.14.6. to Subsection 5.12.11. | | |
| | | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 5.13.4.4. | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Article 5.13.4.4. for design of vent pipes on salvage tanks . | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 5.13.4.4. Salvage tanks shall be provided with vent pipes in compliance with Subsection 4.3.5. for aboveground storage tanks or Subsection 4.3.10. for underground storage tanks , as applicable. | | | |
| PROBLEM | Article 5.13.3.8. requires bottom drains from a dip tank to discharge to a "closed, vented salvage tank". However, the requirements for salvage tanks in Subsection 5.13.4. do not include design requirements for vent piping on salvage tanks. Subsections 4.3.5. and 4.3.10. have appropriate venting requirement for above ground and underground storage tanks that can be referenced. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | As the NFC, by reference to NFPA 34, also requires appropriately designed vent piping on salvage tanks, introducing this change will more closely harmonize OFC requirements with NFC requirements. This is also consistent with industry practice. | | | |
| IMPACT | Minimal impact. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | FUNCTIONAL [F01, F20, F22, F43, F81-OS1.1, OP1.1] STATEMENT(S) IND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) [F01, F20, F22, F43, F81-OS1.1, OP1.1] | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|---------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.14.2. |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Article 5.14.2.1. to 5.14.2.4. are deleted. New Sentences 5.14.2.1.(1) clarifies that Section 5.14 only applies where flammable and combustible liquids are used in the process. New Sentences (2) and (3), and Article 5.14.2.2. restate existing requirements. | | |
| EXISTING OFC | Application of dip to | ank requirements | |
| PROVISIONS | 5.14.2.1. (1) The requirements in Section 5.13 for dip tanks shall also apply to flow-coating operations. | | |
| | (2) The sump area and any area on which coating liquid flows shall be considered as the dip tank area and shall conform to the applicable provisions in Section 5.13. | | |
| | Mechanical ventilation | | |
| | 5.14.2.2. Mechanical ventilation shall be provided at the rate of 63 m^3 of fresh air per litre of solvent used. | | |
| | Vapour concentration | | |
| | 5.14.2.3. Ventilation shall be arranged so that the flammable vapour concentration exceeding 25% of the lower explosive limit will be confined to within 600 mm of the paint stream and drain area, freshly coated work and the drip tunnel bottom. | | |
| | Interlocks | | |
| | 5.14.2.4. The ventilation system required in Articles 5.14.2.2. and 5.14.2.3. shall be interlocked to shut off the coating liquid supply whenever ventilation fans are shut down. | | |
| | Supply | | |
| | 5.14.2.5. (1) Flammable liquids or combustible liquids shall be supplied by | | |
| | (a) direct low pressure pumping arranged to shut down automatically by means of listed and labelled devices in the event of fire, or | | |
| | (b) a gravity | tank not exceeding | g 45 L in capacity. |

| PROPOSED OFC | Application of dip tank requirements | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| CHANGE | 5.14.2.1. (1) This Section applies to flow-coating operations using flammable liquids or combustible liquids . | | |
| | (2) The requirements in Section 5.13 for dip tanks shall also apply to this Section. (3) The sump area and any area on which coating liquid flows shall be considered as the dip tank area. | | |
| | | | |
| | Supply | | |
| | 5.14.2.2. (1) Flammable liquids or combustible liquids shall be supplied by | | |
| | (a) direct low pressure pumping arranged to shut down automatically by means of listed and labelled devices in the event of fire, or | | |
| | (b) a gravity tank not exceeding 45 L in capacity. | | |
| PROBLEM | Sentence 5.14.2.1.(1) should clarify that the requirements only apply where the flow-coating operation uses flammable or combustible liquids. | | |
| | Articles 5.14.2.2. to 5.14.2.4., are redundant because the referenced requirements in Section 5.13 already adequately cover these requirements (see Article 5.13.5.1.). | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Clarifies the intent of the provision. | | |
| ІМРАСТ | Improved clarity. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
| FUNCTIONAL | $5.14.2.1.(1) - Note^{1}$ | | |
| STATEMENT(S) | $(2) - Note^1$ | | |
| OBJECTIVE(S) | $(3) - Note^1$ | | |
| | 5.14.2.2.(1) – [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] [F02-OS1.2,OP1.2] | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC RecordDivision: BReference Number: 5.14.4. | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Subsection 5.14.4. is modified to apply to electrostatic detearing operations only. | | |
| EXISTING OFC | Subsection 5.14.4. Electrostatic Spraying and Detearing Equipment | | |
| PROVISIONS | See existing OFC. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Subsection 5.14.4. Electrostatic Detearing Equipment | | |
| CHANGE | Equipment location | ı | |
| | 5.14.4.1. (1) Electrical components, including transformers, power packs and control equipment for the electrostatic removal of excess coating material (detearing), shall be located in an area where the vapour concentration of flammable liquids or combustible liquids cannot exceed 25% of the lower explosive limit . | | |
| | (2) Sentence (1) does not apply to high voltage grids and their connections. | | |
| | Interlocks | | |
| | 5.14.4.2. (1) Electrostatic detearing equipment shall be provided with automatic controls that will operate without a time delay to disconnect power to high voltage transformers and to signal the operator when | | |
| | (a) stoppage of the air supply, ventilating fan or conveyor system occurs,(b) there is a ground at any point on the high voltage system, or | | |
| | | | |
| | (c) clearances are reduced below those specified in Article 5.14.4.4. | | |
| | Insulators | | |
| | 5.14.4.3. Insulators shall be kept clean and dry. | | |
| | Clearances | | |
| | 5.14.4.4. (1) A space equivalent to twice the sparking distance shall be maintained between articles being painted or deteared and electrodes or conductors. | | |
| | (2) A sign s | hall be conspicuou | sly posted near an electrical assembly |

| | stating the maximum sparking distance. | |
|--|---|--|
| | Drip plates and screens | |
| | 5.14.4.5. Drip plates and screens subject to paint deposits shall be removable for cleaning. | |
| | Insulating and grounding | |
| | 5.14.4.6. High voltage components shall be insulated and protected against mechanical damage and accidental contact or grounding. | |
| | Automatic grounding | |
| | 5.14.4.7. An automatic means shall be provided for grounding the electrode system when it is de-energized. | |
| | Precautions against shock | |
| | 5.14.4.8. High voltage circuits shall be designed so that any discharge occurring will not ignite vapour-air mixtures or create a shock hazard. | |
| PROBLEM | It is not clear that spray coating operations governed by Subsection 5.14.4. are also required to comply with Section 5.12. Electrostatic spray operations should be moved into Section 5.12, while electrostatic detearing operations should be retained in Subsection 5.14.4. | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change within 5.14.4., in conjunction with a proposed change under 5.12.10., clarifies their respective application to different electrostatic coating operations. | |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity and enforcement. | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | The proposed change is linked to a change proposed for Subsection 5.12.10. that transfers the requirements for electrostatic spraying operations to Section 5.12. | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change except that the attributions associated with the relocated provisions will be correspondingly relocated within the Fire Code Supplement, FCS-1. | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC RecordDivision: BReference Number: 5.14.4.1. | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | New Sentence 5.14.4.1.(1) provides an application statement for Subsection 5.14.4. New Sentence (2) provides an alternative means of complying with Subsection 5.14.4 through a reference to NFPA 33. Existing requirements in Article 5.14.4.1. are renumbered. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | <i>Equipment location</i> 5.14.4.1. (1) Electrical components, including transformers, power packs and control equipment for electrostatic spray applications or for the electrostatic removal of excess coating material (detearing), shall be located in an area where the vapour concentration of flammable liquids or combustible liquids cannot exceed 25% of the lower explosive limit . | | | |
| | connections. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | <i>Application and equipment location</i> 5.14.4.1. (1) This Subsection applies to electrostatic spray coating and detearing processes involving the use of flammable liquids or combustible liquids . | | | |
| | (2) Compliance with the design, operation and maintenance requirements in NFPA 33, "Standard for Spray Application Using Flammable or Combustible Materials" for protective finishing systems, is deemed to satisfy the requirements in this Section. | | | |
| | (3) Electrical components, including transformers, power packs and control equipment for electrostatic spray applications or for the electrostatic removal of excess coating material (detearing), shall be located in an area where the vapour concentration of flammable liquids or combustible liquids cannot exceed 25% of the lower explosive limit . | | | |
| | (4) Sentence (3) does not apply to high voltage grids and their connections. | | | |
| PROBLEM | The Section does not have an application statement. | | | |
| | NFPA 33 is a recognized standard that provides adequate fire protection requirements for electrostatic coating operations and should be deemed an alternative to the requirements in Subsection 5.14.4. | | | |

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change provides clarity on what this subsection applies to and is consistent with the NFC with respect to the reference to NFPA 33. |
|--|--|
| IMPACT | Improved clarity and flexibility. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 5.14.4.1.(1) Note ¹ (2) Note ¹ (3) [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] (4) Note ¹ |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.14.6. |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Modify Subsection provisions to limit application to dry powder coating operations using fluidized beds. Relocate requirements for dry powder spraying operations to Section 5.12. | | |
| EXISTING OFC | Subsection 5.14.6. Dry Powder Finishing | | |
| PROVISIONS | See existing OFC. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Subsection 5.14.6. | Dry Powder Coatin | ng Operations using Fluidized Beds |
| CHANGE | Application and loc | ration | |
| | 5.14.6.1. (1) This Subsection applies to coating operations involving the use of combustible dry powders applied by fluidized beds or electrostatic fluidized beds. | | |
| | (2) Coating operations referred to in Sentence (1) shall be performed in ventilated, enclosed, powder-coating rooms or enclosures of noncombustible construction . | | |
| | (3) Compliance with the design, operation and maintenance requirements in NFPA 33, "Standard for Spray Application Using Flammable or Combustible Materials" for protective finishing systems, is deemed to satisfy the requirements in this Section. | | |
| | (4) Despite Sentence (3), electrical installations shall conform to the Electrical Safety Code made under the Electricity Act , 1998. | | |
| | Location of electrical equipment | | |
| | 5.14.6.2. With the exception of charging electrodes and their connections, transformers, power packs, control apparatus and all other electrical components shall be located outside the powder-coating area. | | |
| | Working temperatures | | |
| | 5.14.6.3. (1) application of the po- ignition temperature | Where a part to be owder, the temperate of the powder being | e coated is preheated prior to the ture of the part shall not exceed the ng used. |
| | (2) The surfa areas shall not exceed | ce temperature of ed 66°C. | electrostatic fluidized bed coating |
| | | | |

Grounding

5.14.6.4. (1) Powder transport, application and recovery equipment shall be grounded.

(2) Electrically conductive objects within the charging influence of the electrodes of electrostatic fluidized beds shall be grounded.

(3) A sign shall be posted indicating the necessity of grounding equipment and objects identified in Sentences (1) and (2).

Electrical discharges

5.14.6.5. High voltage circuits in electrostatic fluidized beds shall be designed so that any discharge produced when the charging electrodes of the bed are approached or contacted by a grounded object will not be of sufficient intensity to ignite any powder-air mixture likely to be encountered or result in any appreciable shock hazard.

Separators

5.14.6.6. Separators shall be used to prevent tramp iron or other spark-producing materials from being introduced into the powders being applied.

Recovery systems

5.14.6.7. All waste air-suspended powders shall be removed by exhaust ducts to a powder recovery system and shall not be released to the outside atmosphere.

Vacuum cleaning

5.14.6.8. Accumulations of waste dust from dry powder finishes shall be removed by vacuum cleaning equipment.

Contact points

5.14.6.9. Objects being coated shall be maintained in contact with the conveyor or other support.

Hangers

5.14.6.10. Hangers for objects being coated shall be kept clean and have sharp points or edges at areas of contact.

Smoking prohibited

5.14.6.11. (1) Smoking shall not be permitted at powder-coating areas and in powder storage rooms.

(2) Signs prohibiting smoking that conform to Article 2.4.3.2. shall be conspicuously posted at all powder-coating areas and powder storage rooms.

| PROBLEM | Requirements for combustible dry powder spraying operations should be separated from requirements for combustible dry powder coating operations using fluidized beds as the two processes are distinct and necessitate different sets of requirements. |
|--|---|
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Retaining fluidized bed requirements in Subsection 5.14.6. while relocating combustible dry powder spraying operations to Section 5.12. clarifies the intent and application of code requirements. |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity and enforcement. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | This proposed change is linked to a change proposed to Subsection 5.12.11 that modifies its content to include combustible dry powder spray coating operations. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. The attributions associated with the relocated provisions will be relocated within the Fire Code Supplement, FCS-1. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.14.6.6. |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Amend Article 5.14 instead specify that materials from enter | .6.6. to delete the s a means shall be p ring the coating po | specific reference to "separators" and rovided to prevent spark producing wders. |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | Separators 5.14.6.6. Se spark-producing ma applied. | parators shall be u terials from being | sed to prevent tramp iron or other introduced into the powders being |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | <i>Spark-producing materials</i> 5.14.6.6. Means shall be provided to prevent tramp iron or other spark-producing materials from being introduced into the powders being applied. | | |
| PROBLEM | It is not always practical to install "separators" on the powder coating system to keep tramp iron out of the powder. NFPA 33, as referenced by the NFC, uses the phrase "means shall be provided", which is less limiting than the current wording. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Clarifies the intent of | of the provision. | |
| IMPACT | Increases flexibility | for code complian | ice. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 5.14.6.8. | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Amend Article 5.14.6.8. to provide a reference to Article 5.10.1.2. for removing waste dust. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 5.14.6.8. Accumulations of waste dust from dry powder finishes shall be removed by vacuum cleaning equipment. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 5.14.6.8. Accumulations of waste dust from dry powder finishes shall be removed using methods conforming with Article 5.10.1.2. | | | |
| PROBLEM | The current requirement provides limited flexibility and criteria | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Consistency of dust removal requirements within the OFC. | | | |
| IMPACT | Increased flexibility. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: | В | Reference Number: 5.17.1. |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: | N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Section to include all hot works. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | SECTION 5.17 W | ELDING A | AND C | UTTING |
| PROVISIONS | Subsection 5.17.1. | General | | |
| | Protection of person | ns and prop | oerty | |
| | 5.17.1.1. The protection of persons and property from injury or damage by fire or other causes arising from electric and gas welding and cutting equipment or its installation, operation and maintenance shall conform to CSA-W117.2, "Code for Safety in Welding and Cutting", and to the requirements in this Section. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | SECTION 5.17 HOT WORKS Subsection 5.17.1. General | | | |
| CHANGE | | | | |
| | Application | | | |
| | 5.17.1.1. This Section applies to hot works involving open flames or producing heat or sparks, including but not limited to, cutting, welding, soldering, brazing, grinding, adhesive bonding, thermal spraying and thawing pipes. | | | |
| | Protection of persons and property | | | |
| | 5.17.1.2. The damage by fire or or equipment installati W117.2, Code for S the requirements in | e protectior ther causes on, operation afety in We this Section | of per arising on and elding, n. | sons and property from injury or from hot work operations, or hot work maintenance shall conform to CSA- Cutting and Allied Processes, and to |
| PROBLEM | All hot work operative welding operations | ions pose fi are address | re risks ed. | s however, currently, only cutting and |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | To clarify that Fire not just welding and harmonization with | Code requin l cutting. The similar req | rements his char uireme | s are intended to apply to all hot works nge will also result in closer nts in the NFC. |

| IMPACT | Requirements will apply to a broader range of hot works operations. This will ensure the application of fire safety measures where hazards similar to cutting and welding operations occur. |
|--|---|
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | All references to "cutting and welding" in Section 5.17 and other Sections of the Fire Code will be replaced with "hot works". |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.17.3.1.(2) | |
| Correspo | nding NFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.2.3.1.(2) | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Sentence (2) is revised to change the required distance between hot works and combustible and flammable materials from 11 m to 15 m. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | Location of operation | ons | | |
| PROVISIONS | 5.17.3.1. (1) |) | | |
| | (2) When it operations in areas of materials shall eithe protected against ign noncombustible mat | is not practical to described in Sente r be kept at least 1 nition by sheet me terial. | undertake welding and cutting nce (1), combustible and flammable 1 m from the work area or otherwise etal, asbestos blankets or other | |
| | (3) | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Location of operation | ons | | |
| CHANGE | 5.17.3.1. (1) | | | |
| | (2) When it is not practical to undertake welding and cutting operations in areas described in Sentence (1), combustible and flammable materials shall either be kept at least 15 m from the work area or otherwise protected against ignition by sheet metal, asbestos blankets or other noncombustible material. | | | |
| | (3) | | | |
| PROBLEM | The 11m distance re flammable materials CSA-W117.2 "Code Fire Code. | equired between h s is inconsistent w e for Safety in We | ot works and combustible and ith the 15m distance referenced in lding and Cutting" and in the National | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a requirement in the current National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| IMPACT | Not significant. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
|--|-----|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | N/A | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|---|---------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.17.3.3.(3) and 5.17.3.4. |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Relocate Sentence 5.17.3.3.(3) so that the requirement for portable extinguishers applies to all welding and cutting operations. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 5.17.3.3(3) At least one portable extinguisher conforming to Section 6.2 shall be provided in the cutting or welding area. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | delete Sentence 5.17.3.3.(3) and add new Article: 5.17.3.4. At least one portable extinguisher conforming to Section 6.2 shall be provided in the cutting or welding area. Note: If the proposed change to Article 5.17.1.1. proceeds then all references to "cutting or welding" will be replaced with "hot works". | | |
| PROBLEM | Sentence 5.17.3.3.(3) provides requirement for fire extinguishers at the site of welding/cutting on flammable/comb. liquids containers, vessels, piping etc. This requirement however, should apply for all hot work locations where such work is undertaken | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | See above. The change will also achieve increased consistency with the NFC. | | |
| IMPACT | Minimal. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

Г

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 5.19 | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC | C Proposed Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | New Section 5.19 to address fire safety during roofing operations and other hot surface applications. | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | None. | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | SECTION 5.19 H | OT SURFACE A | PPLICATIONS | | |
| CHANGE | 5.19.1.1. This Sec applications in or or bitumen kettles. | ction applies to roo 1 buildings , and th | f top and other hot surface at utilize open flame torches and | | |
| | Exposed combust | tible materials | | | |
| | 5.19.1.2.(1) Except as provided in Sentence 5.19.1.3.(1), when there is a possibility of sparks, flames or heat igniting combustible materials as a result of hot surface applications | | | | |
| | (a) combustibles within 5 m of the hot surface application shall be protected against ignition, and | | | | |
| | (b) openings in roofs, parapets or other building structures within 5 m of hot surface applications shall be covered or closed to prevent the passage of sparks or flames to adjacent areas. (2) Where it is not possible to cover openings in Clause (1)(b), combustibles in the area exposed by the opening shall be protected against ignition. <i>Hot torching operations</i> 5.19.1.3.(1) An open flame torch shall only be applied to materials intended for hot surface applications, and shall not directly expose | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | (a) combustibl insulation a | e materials, such as and flashing, | s wood roof decks, cant strips, | | |
| | (b) voids, hole | s and skylights in t | he roof or roof deck, or | | |
| | (c) gas lines ar | nd electrical cables | | | |
| | Firewatch | | | | |
| | 5.19.1.4.(1) A fire or other ignition sou building , and shall | ewatch shall be pro urce is used for hot include | vided whenever an open flame torch surface applications in or on a | | |

| (a) areas where combustible materials in building construction or contents are located within 5 m of persons using an open flame torch or other ignition source, |
|--|
| (b) other areas of the building exposed as a result of unprotected roof or wall openings located within 5 m of persons using an open flame torch or other ignition source, and |
| (c) areas where combustibles on the underside of roofs or the opposite side of walls might be ignited as a result of persons using an open flame torch or other ignition source. |
| (2) Personnel employed for firewatch duty shall be |
| (a) trained in the use of fire extinguishing equipment, and |
| (b) provided with a means to immediately contact the fire department and building occupants if a fire is discovered. |
| (3) A firewatch shall be in effect from the beginning of a hot surface application to at least |
| (a) 3 h after the torch operations cease, or |
| (b) 2 h after the torch operations cease if a hand-held thermal scanner is used to assist in detecting hidden hot spots. |
| Portable extinguisher |
| 5.19.1.5. (1) Fully charged and operable portable extinguishers having a minimum rating of 4A:40B:C shall be |
| (a) located within 6 m of persons using an open flame torch or other ignition source, and |
| (b) readily available to all other workers in the area of hot surface application and firewatch personnel. |
| (2) A fully charged and operable portable fire extinguisher having a minimum rating of 4A:40B:C shall be located no further than 7.6 m, and no closer than 1.5 m, from a bitumen kettle. |
| Bitumen kettles |
| 5.19.1.6.(1) Except as provided in Sentence (2), bitumen kettles shall |
| (a) not be located on roofs or in a fire access route, |
| (b) not be located within 3.0 m of a building exit or means of egress, |
| (c) be provided with metal lids that are close-fitting and constructed of steel having a thickness of not less than No. 14 sheet metal gauge (2 mm), |
| (d) be maintained free of excessive residue, and |
| (e) when in operation, |

| | (i) be level, with most of the weight off the tires on the legs, | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | (ii) not be heated above 260°C, | | | |
| | (ii) be kept clear of combustible debris or materials, and | | | |
| | (iv) be under constant supervision by a person who is knowledgeable of operations and hazards, and trained in the use of fire extinguishers. | | | |
| | (2) Bitumen kettles may be located on concrete roofs, if roof openings within 15 m are diked to prevent spilled asphalt run-off. | | | |
| | 5.19.1.7.(1) After each daily use, mops that have been used for spreading bitumen shall be kept in a safe location | | | |
| | (a) at least 3 m away from buildings , and | | | |
| | (b) isolated from other combustibles. | | | |
| PROBLEM | Roofing and other operations involving hot bitumen application and the use of open flame torches pose a risk of fire. In March 2011, two fire fighters lost their lives in a fire resulting from the use of a propane-fuelled torch during roof repairs. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | See above. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved public and fire fighter safety. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. Refer to related changes to Sentences 2.8.2.1.(4) and (5). | | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL | 5.19.1.1. Note ¹ | | | |
| STATEMENT(S) | 5.19.1.2.(1) [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | | | |
| OBJECTIVE(S) | 5.19.1.2.(2) [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | | | |
| | 5.19.1.3. [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | | | |
| | 5.19.1.4.(1) [F12-OS1.1,OS1.2,OP1.1,OP1.2] | | | |
| | 5.19.1.4.(2) [F12-OS1.1,OS1.2,OP1.1,OP1.2] | | | |
| | 5.19.1.4.(3) [F12-OS1.1,OS1.2,OP1.1,OP1.2] | | | |
| | 5.19.1.5.(1) [F12-OS1.2,OP1.2] | | | |
| | 5.19.1.5.(2) [F12-OS1.2,OP1.2] | | | |

| 5.19.1.6.(1) [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] |
|--|
| 5.19.1.6.(2) Note ¹ |
| 5.19.1.7.(1) [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] [F02-OS1.2,OP1.2] |
| |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|--|---------------|-------------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 6.2.4.1.(2) |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence 6.2.4.1.(2) to clarify that fire extinguishers are required in common areas of multi-unit residential buildings even though exempt from within dwelling units. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 6.2.4.1 (2) Sentence (1) does not apply to dwelling units, including dwelling units regulated under Section 9.8. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.2.4.1 (2) Sentence (1) does not apply within dwelling units, including dwelling units regulated under Section 9.8. | | |
| PROBLEM | Sentence (2) is unclear regarding the application of fire extinguisher requirements to multi-unit residential buildings. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The revision should clarify that extinguishers are not required to be provided within dwelling units; however they are required in the common areas of multi-unit residential buildings. | | |
| IMPACT | Improved compliance and enforcement. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 6.2.6.A. and B (Tables) | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise heading of second column in Table 6.2.6.A. Revise heading of third column in Table 6.2.6.B. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | Heading in both Tables: "Maximum Travel Distance to Extinguishers, m" | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | Heading in both Tables: "Maximum Distance of Travel to Extinguisher, m" | | | |
| PROBLEM | The term "travel distance" in the Table headings, although not bolded to represent a defined term, is often read as such. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Rephrasing the heading as proposed will clarify the intent. | | | |
| IMPACT | Minimal. Additional extinguishers may be required if the distance to travel was previously measured from the door to the room / suite as permitted for "travel distance". | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | N/A | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|----------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 6.2.6.3. | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add Class K fires to list of recognized fire hazard types. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 6.2.6.3. Portable extinguishers that are provided to protect a hazardous occupancy shall be those required in this Section for fighting Class A fires, Class B fires, Class C fires or Class D fires . | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.2.6.3. Portable extinguishers that are provided to protect a hazardous occupancy shall be those required in this Section for fighting Class A fires, Class B fires, Class C fires, Class D fires or Class K fires . | | | |
| PROBLEM | Dry chemical extinguishing agents have been found to be ineffective in controlling cooking fires using higher temperature cooking oils and require newer wet chemical extinguishing agents for effective extinguishment. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Use of Class K extinguishers will be more effective in protecting commercial cooking operations | | | |
| ІМРАСТ | More effective fire suppression. There will be a moderate cost for owners required to purchase Class K extinguishers. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | A corresponding change is proposed for Article 6.2.6.12. to require Class K extinguishers for the protection of commercial cooking equipment. A change is also proposed to include "Class K" as a defined term in Article 1.4.1.2. of Div. A. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 6.2.6.12. | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: 6.2.6.12. | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Require Class K portable extinguishers for protection of commercial cooking equipment. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 6.2.6.12. Wet chemical or alkali-based dry chemical portable extinguishers shall be provided to protect commercial cooking equipment. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.2.6.12. (1) be provided to prote | 6.2.6.12. (1) Portable extinguishers suitable for Class K fires shall be provided to protect commercial cooking equipment. | | |
| | (2) Despite Sentence (1), existing dry chemical extinguishers without a Class K listing that were installed for the protection of Class K hazards shall be replaced with an extinguisher having a Class K listing when the dry chemical extinguishers become due for either an internal examination or hydrostatic test. | | | |
| PROBLEM | Dry chemical extinguishing agents have been found to be ineffective in controlling cooking fires using higher temperature cooking oils and require newer wet chemical extinguishing agents for effective extinguishment. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Use of Class K extinguishers will be more effective in protecting commercial cooking operations The proposed change also provides closer harmonization with the NFC and its reference to NFPA 10. | | | |
| IMPACT | There will be a moderate cost for owners required to purchase Class K extinguishers. However, replacement of existing extinguishers is postponed to coincide with the 6-year maintenance or hydrostatic test. This will provide owners with some lead time to plan for the replacement of existing extinguishers. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | A corresponding change is proposed for Article 6.2.6.3. A change is also proposed to include "Class K" as a defined term in Article 1.4.1.2. of Div. A. | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
|--|------------|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 6.3.1.2.(2) | | |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Clause (2)(c) to include a reference to ULC/ORD-C693, "Central Station Fire Protective Signalling Systems and Services". | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | 6.3.1.2 | | | | |
| PROVISIONS | (2) Where the fire alarm system monitoring referred to in Sentence (1) is provided by a central station, the building owner shall obtain written documentation from the central station operator that the monitoring service complies with | | | | |
| | (a) NFPA 71, "Standard for the Installation, Maintenance, and Use of Signaling Systems for Central Station Service", or | | | | |
| | (b) CAN/ULC-S561, "Installation and Services for Fire Signal Receiving Centres and Systems" | | | | |
| | (3) | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 6.3.1.2 | | | | |
| CHANGE | (2) Where the fire alarm system monitoring referred to in Sentence (1) is provided by a central station, the building owner shall obtain written documentation from the central station operator that the monitoring service complies with | | | | |
| | (a) NFPA 71, "Standard for the Installation, Maintenance, and Use of Signaling Systems for Central Station Service", | | | | |
| | (b) CAN/ULC-S561, "Installation and Services for Fire Signal Receiving Centres and Systems", or | | | | |
| | (c) ULC/ORD-C693, "Central Station Fire Protective Signalling Systems and Services". | | | | |
| | (3) | | | | |
| PROBLEM | Fire alarm monitorin acceptable under the be acceptable under | ng services that con e 1997 Building Co the Fire Code. | nform to ULC/ORD-C693 were ode and should therefore continue to | | |

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Harmonizes with the standard referenced in 1997 Building Code for existing fire alarm monitoring services. Despite the acknowledgement of Level 1 and Level 2 service options under the current edition of CAN/ULC-S561, as amended, a direct reference to ULC/ORD-C693 will eliminate ambiguity. |
|--|---|
| IMPACT | May result in cost saving to some building owners that currently have fire alarm monitoring in conformance with ULC/ORD-C693. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|----------|-------------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: | В | Reference Number: 6.3.2.1.(2) |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: | N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Sentence 6.3.2.1.(2) to reinforce an owner's responsibility for ensuring that interconnected smoke alarms are properly tested and serviced by a qualified person, similar to the requirement for fire alarm systems in Sentence (1). | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 6.3.2.1. (1) The owner shall ensure that any person performing the annual tests or annual inspections required by this Subsection and any person performing repair, replacement or alterations referred to in Article 6.3.1.8. is in compliance with the requirements of | | | |
| | (a) Clause 1. | .2.1.2.(1)(a) |) of Div | ision C, or |
| | (b) Sentence 1.2.1.2.(2) of Division C. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.3.2.1. (1) The owner shall ensure that any person performing the annual tests or annual inspections required by this Subsection for fire alarm systems, and any person performing repair, replacement or alterations referred to in Article 6.3.1.8. is in compliance with the requirements of | | | |
| | (a) Clause 1.2.1.2.(1)(a) of Division C, or | | | |
| | (b) Sentence 1.2.1.2.(2) of Division C. | | | |
| | (2) The owner shall ensure that any person performing the annual tests or annual inspections required by this Subsection for interconnected smoke alarm systems, and any person performing repair, replacement or alterations to interconnected smoke alarm systems subject to Article 6.3.2.6. is in compliance with the requirements of | | | |
| | (a) Clause 1.2.2.(1)(a) of Division C, or | | | |
| | (b) Sentence 1.2.2.2.(2) of Division C. | | | |
| PROBLEM | Article 6.3.2.1. does not directly specify the owner's responsibility for ensuring interconnected smoke alarms are tested and serviced by persons in compliance with Subsection 1.2.2. of Division C of the Fire Code. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change will clarify that the requirement applies equally to interconnected smoke alarm systems. | | | |
| IMPACT | None. The proposed change simply reinforces the owner's responsibility in this regard. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
|--|---|
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 6.3.3.1. | | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF | Add new Clause 6.3 | 3.3.1.(1)(e). | | | |
| PROPOSED CHANGE | The application of this Subsection is amended to include smoke alarms installed in other than residential occupancies as required by the Building Code. | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | 6.3.3.1. (1) | This Subsection ap | plies to smoke alarms | | |
| PROVISIONS | (a) in dwelli | ng units, | | | |
| | (b) in dwelli | ng units regulated | under Section 9.8, | | |
| | (c) in guest s | (c) in guest suites , and | | | |
| | (d) in each sleeping room not within a dwelling unit . | | | | |
| | (2) In Clause (1)(b), | | | | |
| | "dwelling unit", in light face, means "dwelling unit" as defined in Sentence 9.8.1.1.(2). | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 6.3.3.1. (1) This Subsection applies to smoke alarms | | | | |
| CHANGE | (a) in dwelling units , | | | | |
| | (b) in dwelli | ng units regulated | under Section 9.8, | | |
| | (c) in guest suites , | | | | |
| | (d) in each sleeping room not within a dwelling unit , and | | | | |
| | (e) in other occupancies where required by the Building Code . | | | | |
| | (2) In Clause | (1)(b), | | | |
| | "dwelling unit", in light face, means "dwelling unit" as defined in Sentence 9.8.1.1.(2). | | | | |
| PROBLEM | Article 9.9.4.7. of D smoke alarms in Gro measures required f | biv. B of the Buildi oup D and E occup or fire and life safe | ng Code permits the installation of pancies in lieu of satisfying other ety. | | |
| | The Fire Code does maintenance of smo | not contain any re ke alarms installed | quirement for ensuring the l in such non-residential applications. | | |

Г

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change will ensure that smoke alarms installed in other occupancies, as required by the OBC, are maintained in operating condition for the safety of the occupants. | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| IMPACT | Minimal cost impact. Improved public safety. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS OBJECTIVE | PACT ON Refer to a corresponding change to Sentence 6.3.3.3.(1). ER CODE VISIONS BJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | IGE - OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 6.3.3.2.(2) |
| Correspon | ding NFC Change: | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Sentence (2) to ensure that smoke alarm primary and secondary sources of power are maintained. Renumber remaining sentences. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 6.3.3.2. (1) Smoke alarms shall be maintained in operating condition by the owner . | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.3.3.2. (1) S by the owner . | Smoke alarms sha | ll be maintained in operating condition |
| | (2) Primary and secondary power supplies, as applicable, shall be maintained in operating condition by the owner . | | |
| | (3) For the purposes of Sentences (1) and (2), in rental dwelling units , including rental dwelling units regulated under Section 9.8, the landlord is deemed to be the owner . | | |
| PROBLEM | A proposed change is being considered for the Building Code to require a secondary power source for smoke alarms. A corresponding change to the Fire Code is required to ensure that the secondary power source is maintained. Under existing OFC provisions, hard wired smoke alarms with a secondary power source (battery powered backup) could be considered in operating condition even when one of the power supplies is not functioning or has been disconnected. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change will ensure that the secondary power source being proposed for the Building Code will be maintained. This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the Ontario Building Code and is subject to amendment based on the outcome of the Building Code consultation. | | |
| ІМРАСТ | Improved public saf | fety and enforceme | ent. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Corresponding char | nges will be made t | to Article 6.3.3.5. as necessary. |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 6.3.3.2.(2) [F82-OS1.4] | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|---|--|---------------|-------------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 6.3.3.3.(1) |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Amend Sentence (1) by extending requirements to rental occupancies referred to in Clause 6.3.3.1.(1)(e). | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 6.3.3.3. (1) The landlord shall provide a copy of the smoke alarm manufacturer's maintenance instructions or approved alternative to the occupant in each rental dwelling unit , including the occupant in a dwelling unit regulated under Section 9.8. | | |
| | (2) In Sente | nce (1), | |
| | "dwelling unit", in light face, means "dwelling unit" as defined in Sentence 9.8.1.1.(2). | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.3.3.3. (1) The landlord shall provide a copy of the smoke alarm manufacturer's maintenance instructions or approved alternative to the occupant in each rental dwelling unit , including the occupant in a dwelling unit regulated under Section 9.8 and in rental occupancies referred to in Clause 6.3.3.1.(1)(e). | | |
| | (2) In Sentence (1), | | |
| | "dwelling unit", in light face, means "dwelling unit" as defined in Sentence 9.8.1.1.(2). | | |
| PROBLEM | Article 9.9.4.7. of Division B of the Building Code permits the installation of smoke alarms in Group D and E occupancies to be used in lieu of satisfying other measures required for fire and life safety. | | |
| | The Fire Code does not contain any requirement for ensuring the maintenance of these smoke alarms installed in non-residential suites. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change will ensure that smoke alarms installed in these occupancies are maintained in operating condition for the safety of the occupants. | | |
| IMPACT | Improved public saf | ety. | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Refer to corresponding change to Sentence 6.3.3.1.(1). | | |

| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
|--|---|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|------------------|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: | В | Reference Number: 6.3.3.3.(2) |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: | N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Sentence (2) to require tenants to notify landlords of disabled, inoperable, disconnected or otherwise non-functioning smoke alarms. Renumber existing Sentence (2). | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | Instructions for tenants | | | |
| | 6.3.3.3.(1) The landlord shall provide a copy of the smoke alarm manufacturer's maintenance instructions or approved alternative to the occupant in each rental dwelling unit , including the occupant in a dwelling unit regulated under Section 9.8. | | | |
| | (2) In Sentence (1), | | | |
| | "dwelling unit", in light face, means "dwelling unit" as defined in Sentence 9.8.1.1.(2). | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | (2) Occupants in rental dwelling units, including rental dwelling units regulated under Section 9.8, shall immediately notify the landlord of any smoke alarm that is inoperable, disconnected or otherwise non-functioning. | | | |
| | (3) In Sentences (1) and (2) , | | | |
| | "dwelling unit", in l 9.8.1.1.(2). | ight face, m | eans " | dwelling unit" as defined in Sentence |
| PROBLEM | See Rationale. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposal responds to a Coroners' inquest recommendation for occupants of rental units to notify the landlord of non-functioning smoke alarms. | | | |
| | The proposal facilitation alarm in operating c | ates the land condition per | llord's Sente | obligation to maintain the smoke nce 6.3.3.2.(1). |
| IMPACT | Improved public saf | fety. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | See related proposal | l for Article | 6.3.3.6 | 5. |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
|--|---|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | (2) [F81, F82-OS1.4] (3) Note ¹ | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|--|---------------|----------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 6.3.3.4. |
| Corresponding NFC Change | | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Delete the word "intentionally" from Article 6.3.3.4. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 6.3.3.4. No person shall intentionally disable a smoke alarm so as to make it inoperable. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.3.3.4. No person shall disable a smoke alarm so as to make it inoperable. | | |
| PROBLEM | Enforcement is problematic in that the prosecution must not only prove that a smoke alarm was disabled but that it was also disabled intentionally. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The change will enhance enforcement and reinforce the importance of persons not disabling smoke alarms under any circumstances. | | |
| IMPACT | Enhanced enforcement. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE | OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 6.3.3.6. | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED | Add new Article for testing of smoke alarms in rental dwelling units by the landlord. | | | |
| CHANGE | Sentence (1) requires an annual test of each smoke alarm. | | | |
| | Sentence (2) requires testing when the battery in battery operated smoke alarms is replaced, when changes are made to circuits serving electrically operated smoke alarm and at each change in tenancy. | | | |
| | Sentence (3) stipula | tes how the testing | must be carried out. | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | Testing | | | |
| | 6.3.3.6.(1) In rental dwelling units , including rental dwelling units regulated under Section 9.8, smoke alarms shall be tested annually by the landlord. | | | |
| | (2) In addition to Sentence (1), | | | |
| | (a) Battery operated smoke alarms shall be tested after the battery is replaced, | | | |
| | (b) Electrically operated smoke alarms shall be tested after any changes are made to the electrical circuit serving the smoke alarm , and | | | |
| | (c) Smok | e alarms shall be t | tested at each change in tenancy. | |
| | (3) In Sente activating the smok | nces (1) and (2), th e alarm test featur | ne smoke alarms shall be tested by re. | |
| | (4) In Sente | ences (1) and (2), | | |
| | "dwelling unit", in l 9.8.1.1.(2). | ight face, means " | dwelling unit" as defined in Sentence | |
| DDADI EM | See Detionals | | | |
| PROBLEM | See Kationale. | | | |

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposal responds to a Coroners' inquest recommendation for landlords to test smoke alarms and keep records for 2 years. | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Fire Code. | | |
| ІМРАСТ | Enhanced reliability of smoke alarm operation in rental dwelling units. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | See related change to Article 6.3.3.3. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 6.3.3.6.(1) [F82-OS1.4] (2) [F82-OS1.4] (3) Note ¹ (4) Note ¹ | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|---|---------------|----------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 6.4.1.4. |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Article 6.4.1.4. by removing the reference to 'Section 2.8' and include the cross-reference to Article 1.1.1.1. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 6.4.1.4. When the standpipe and hose system or any part thereof is shut down, the supervisory staff shall be notified in accordance with Section 2.8. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.4.1.4.(1) When a standpipe system or part thereof is shut down, the fire department and building occupants shall be notified in accordance with Article 1.1.1.1. | | |
| | (2) In addition to the requirements of Sentence (1), supervisory staff designated in the building's fire safety plan shall be notified. | | |
| PROBLEM | Section 2.8 does not provide corresponding requirements to notify supervisory staff under these circumstances. For this reason the reference to Section 2.8 should be removed. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change clarifies the requirement and facilitates compliance and enforcement by describing the responsibility of individuals who may be shutting down critical fire protection systems. | | |
| IMPACT | Improved compliance and enforcement. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. See related change to Article 6.5.2.4. and Sentence 6.7.1.1.(3) | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSI | S OF THE CHAN | NGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 6.4.2.5 | | | |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Article 6.4.2.5. to clarify the requirements for inspection of standpipe hose. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 6.4.2.5. (1) Standpipe hose shall be inspected and replaced on the rack annually and after use, and any worn hose or gaskets in the couplings at the hose valves and at the nozzle replaced. | | |
| | (2) When he shall be done so that | ose is replaced on t t any folds will not | the rack as required in Sentence (1), it occur at the same places. |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.4.2.5. (1) Standpipe hose shall be unracked, unreeled or unrolled and physically inspected at least annually and after use, and any worn hose or gaskets in the couplings at the hose valve and nozzle replaced. | | |
| | (2) When the hose is replaced on the rack, reel or storage area, it shall be reracked, rereeled or rerolled so that any folds do not occur at the same position on the hose. | | |
| PROBLEM | Some service companies are reportedly not removing fire hose from the hose rack for inspection. The common practice is to adjust the hose on the rack by changing the fold location. This practice does not provide for adequate inspection of the hose condition. | | |
| | "Rack" is not a defined term, and should not be in bold typeface. | | |
| | The current provisions limit the storage of the hose to racks. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed changes clarify the physical inspection requirements for standpipe hoses, provide for flexibility in their storage and achieve consistency with NFPA 1962 language and industry practice. | | |
| IMPACT | Improved compliant | ce. | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
|--|------------|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|-------|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 6.4.3.8. | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new article to ensure air pressure is maintained in an automatic dry standpipe system, through normal checking of the maintained air pressure. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | None. | None. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.4.3.8. Water supply pressure and system air pressure for automatic dry standpipe systems shall be checked weekly by using gauges to ensure that the system is maintained at the required operating pressure. | | | |
| PROBLEM | The Fire Code does not contain a provision that would ensure air pressure is maintained in an automatic dry standpipe system, | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Failure to maintain air pressure in an automatic dry standpipe system could lead to water migration into the system and to possible freezing of water in the standpipe in unheated areas of a building. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved reliability of standpipe system to function properly when needed during a fire emergency. Inspection costs are negligible. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | N/A | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S)[F82-OS1.4,OP1.4]AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S)[F82-OS1.4,OP1.4] | | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 6.5.2.4. |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Article 6.5.2.4. by removing the reference to 'Section 2.8' and include the cross-reference to Article 1.1.1.1. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 6.5.2.4. Wh supervisory staff sh | en a sprinkler syste hall be notified in a | em or part thereof is shut down, the accordance with Section 2.8. |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.5.2.4.(1) When a sprinkler system or part thereof is shut down, the fire department and building occupants shall be notified in accordance with Article 1.1.1.1., | | |
| | (2) In addition to the requirements of Sentence (1), supervisory staff designated in the building's fire safety plan shall be notified. | | |
| PROBLEM | Section 2.8 does not provide corresponding requirements to notify supervisory staff under these circumstances. For this reason the reference to Section 2.8 should be removed. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change clarifies the requirement and facilitates compliance and enforcement by describing the responsibility of individuals who may be shutting down critical fire protection systems. | | |
| IMPACT | Improved compliance and enforcement. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. See related change to Article 6.4.1.4. and Sentence 6.7.1.1.(3). | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSI | S OF THE CHAN | NGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S)No Change.AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S)No Change. | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 6.5.2.6. | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Article 6.5.2.6. to clarify that the requirement also applies to valves controlling fire protection water supplies to sprinkler systems. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 6.5.2.6. Close in an approved man | 6.5.2.6. Closed sprinkler control valves shall be tagged or identified in an approved manner. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.5.2.6. Closed sprinkler control valves and closed valves controlling fire protection water supplies for sprinkler systems, shall be tagged or identified in an approved manner. | | | |
| PROBLEM | This Article requires that sprinkler control valves be tagged or identified in an approved manner when they are closed, however it is not clear that this requirement also applies to valves that control fire protection water supplies that feed the sprinkler systems. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Improved clarity and enforcement. | | | |
| IMPACT | Minimal. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S)No Change.AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S)No Change. | | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|-----------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC RecordDivision: BReference Number: 6.6.1.2. | | | | |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence 6.6.1.2.(1) to also apply to valves serving combined domestic and fire protection systems. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 6.6.1.2. (1) Except as permitted in Sentences (2) and (3), valves controlling water supplies used exclusively for fire protection systems shall be sealed in the open position and inspected weekly. | | | |
| | (2) | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.6.1.2. (1) Except as permitted in Sentences (2) and (3), valves controlling water supplies used exclusively for fire protection systems or used for combined domestic water supplies and fire protection systems, shall be sealed in the open position and inspected weekly. | | | |
| | (2) | | | |
| PROBLEM | Sentence (1) does not specifically include water main valves on private water distribution systems that feed both domestic and fire protection systems, including site fire hydrants. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Clarification is needed to ensure this requirement also applies to valves that control water supplies that feed both domestic and fire protection systems. | | | |
| | This change will reduce the chance of fire protection water supply being reduced or cut off, when the supply is being used for both domestic and fire protection systems. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE | OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 6.7.1.1.(3) |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence 6.7.1.1.(3). by removing the reference to 'Section 2.8' and including the cross-reference to Article 1.1.1.1. as well as new Sentence (4). | | |
| EXISTING OFC | 6.7.1.1 | | |
| PROVISIONS | (3) When an down, the supervise | n emergency powe ory staff shall be n | r system or any part thereof is shut otified in accordance with Section 2.8. |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.7.1.1.(3) When the emergency power system or part thereof is shut down, the fire department and building occupants shall be notified in accordance with Article 1.1.1.1. | | |
| | (4) In addition to the requirements of Sentence (3), supervisory staff designated in the building's fire safety plan shall be notified. | | |
| PROBLEM | Section 2.8 does not provide corresponding requirements to notify supervisory staff under these circumstances. For this reason the reference to Section 2.8 should be removed. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change clarifies the requirement and facilitates compliance and enforcement by describing the responsibility of individuals who may be shutting down critical fire protection systems. | | |
| IMPACT | Facilitates compliance and enforcement through clarity of requirement | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. See related change to Articles 6.4.1.4. and 6.5.2.4. | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|--|------------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 6.8.1.1.(3) | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Clause (g) to address installation of clean agent fire extinguishing systems. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 6.8.1.1 (3) The design and installation of a special fire suppression system that is not water-based shall conform to one of the following standards: (a) NFPA 11, "Standard for Low-, Medium-, and High-Expansion Foam", (b) NFPA 12, "Standard on Carbon Dioxide Extinguishing Systems", (c) NFPA 12A, "Standard on Halon 1301 Fire Extinguishing Systems", (d) NFPA 12B, "Standard on Halon 1211 Fire Extinguishing Systems", (e) NFPA 17, "Standard for Dry Chemical Extinguishing Systems", or (f) NFPA 17A, "Standard for Wet Chemical Extinguishing Systems". | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 6.8.1.1 (3) The design and installation of a special fire suppression system that is not water-based shall conform to one of the following standards: (e) NFPA 17, "Standard for Dry Chemical Extinguishing Systems", (f) NFPA 17A, "Standard for Wet Chemical Extinguishing Systems", or (g) NFPA 2001, "Standard on Clean Agent Fire Extinguishing Systems". | | | |

| PROBLEM | There are no installation requirements for systems utilizing products such as FM 200 or other clean agents. This reference is needed to help ensure consistency of design and installation of these types of extinguishing systems. |
|--|---|
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | To ensure installations will operate as intended. |
| IMPACT | Minimal. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | A corresponding change to Table 1.2.1.A. will be made to include NFPA 2001. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|---|---|------------|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 6.9.1.1. | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | New provisions to allow for existing fire protection equipment and life safety systems to be temporarily or otherwise decommissioned where approved by the Chief Fire Official. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | None. | None. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Section 6.9 Decom | missioning | | |
| CHANGE | Subsection 6.9.1. | General | | |
| | 6.9.1.1. Except as otherwise provided in this Part, fire protection equipment and life safety systems shall not be temporarily or permanently taken out of service, unless approved . | | | |
| PROBLEM | The Fire Code does not currently provide for temporary or permanent decommissioning of fire protection equipment and life safety systems except for maintenance purposes. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change provides for temporary or permanent decommissioning of fire protection equipment and life safety systems subject to the review and approval of the Chief Fire Official. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved public and fire fighter safety | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S)Note1AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC RecordDivision: BReference Number: 7.1.1.2. | | | | |
| Correspoi | Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise provision to include fire emergency systems for high buildings in Part 9 Retrofit and require that such systems be inspected, tested <u>and</u> maintained similarly to systems required under the Building Code. | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 7.1.1.2. Fire shall be tested in co | e emergency system onformance with Se | ns required by the Building Code ections 7.2 and 7.3. | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 7.1.1.2. Fire emergency systems required by this Code or by the Building Code shall be inspected , tested and maintained in conformance with Sections 7.2 and 7.3. | | | | |
| PROBLEM | Fire emergency systems required in Part 9 for high buildings are currently not subject to the same maintenance provisions as are required for high buildings under the Building Code. | | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This proposed change will ensure emergency systems required by Part 9 of this Division meet the same maintenance standard as those required by the Building Code. For clarity, reference is made to inspection, testing and maintenance. | | | | |
| ІМРАСТ | May increase frequency of inspection, testing and maintenance for some fire safety systems in high buildings regulated by Part 9 of this Division. | | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Corresponding revisions will be made to Articles 7.1.1.1. and 7.3.1.1. to include high buildings within the scope of Part 9 of the Fire Code. | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSI | S OF THE CHAN | IGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S)No Change. | | | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|----------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: 8.1.2.1. | |
| Correspon | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.6.1.9. | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Article 8.1.2.1. by adding new Sentence (1) clarifying that building services be terminated at a point located outside of the building or part of the building being demolished. | | | |
| | Add new Sentence (2) specifying the necessity to contact the service provider to ensure that building services are maintained by relocating them and protecting them from damage where necessary. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 8.1.2.1. Existing building services that may be affected by demolition so as to cause a fire hazard shall be protected or be shut off and capped. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 8.1.2.1. (1) Except as required by Sentence (2) and except for water supplies for firefighting, building services shall be terminated at a point located outside the building or part thereof being demolished . | | | |
| | (2) The service company whose service connection will be affected shall be notified before any action mentioned in Sentence (1) is taken and, if it is necessary to maintain any service, it shall be | | | |
| | (a) reloca | ted as necessary, a | nd | |
| | (b) protec | cted from damage. | | |
| PROBLEM | Clarification of intent. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| | Outside service connections for electricity, natural gas, propane, etc. may be inadvertently impacted by demolition operations. The proposed changes clarify expectations for reducing the fire risk. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved guidance | and enforcement. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
|--|------------|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: | B | Reference Number: 8.1.2.2. | |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: | B | Reference Number: 5.6.1.2., 5.6.1.3., 5.6.1.14. | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED | Replace Sentence (3) to clarify the requirement for firewatch personnel to ensure a fire warning is sounded to notify occupants. | | | uirement for firewatch personnel to tify occupants. | |
| CHANGE | E Add new Sentence (4) to clarify that firewatch personnel must have su protective equipment and a means of illumination. | | | | |
| | Relocate Sentence (| 4) to (5). | | | |
| | Revise Subclause (6 that may be implem | 5)(b)(i) to cl ented to ale | arify th rt occu | at there may be variable provisions pants of a fire emergency. | |
| | Add Subclause (6)(left) extinguishing a fire. | b)(iv) to req | uire pr | ocedures for confining, controlling and | |
| | Incorporate other minor editorial changes to harmonize with similar provisions in the NFC. | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 8.1.2.2. (1) During periods when demolition operations will create a fire hazard to neighbouring properties or partially occupied spaces, a firewatch shall be provided. | | | | |
| | (2) Where a firewatch is required, the demolition site shall be toured at least once each hour. | | | | |
| | (3) The firewatch personnel shall be provided with a means of communication with the fire department , and be equipped with portable illumination and protective equipment. | | | | |
| | (4) Prior to commencement of demolition , a plan conforming to Sentence (5) shall be prepared and implemented for the demolition site. | | | | |
| | (5) The plan required by Sentence (4) shall include | | | | |
| | (a) the designation and organization of site personnel to carry out fire safety duties, including firewatch if applicable, | | | | |
| | (b) the emerged | gency proce | edures | to be used in case of fire, including | |
| | (i) sou | nding the al | larm th | roughout the building , | |
| | (ii) not | tifying the f | ire dep | artment, and | |
| | (iii) ins wł | structing sit ien the fire | e perso alarm s | nnel on procedures to be followed ounds, | |
| | (c) the control of fire hazards in and around the building , and | | | | |

| | (d) the maintenance of fire fighting facilities. | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | (3) Facilities shall be provided to enable firewatch personnel referred to in Sentences (1) and (2) to | | |
| | (a) ensure a fire warning is sounded to notify occupants, and | | |
| | (b) communicate with the fire department. | | |
| | (4) The firewatch personnel shall be equipped with portable illumination and protective equipment. | | |
| | (5) Except as required in Sentence (7), prior to commencement of demolition , a plan conforming to Sentence (6) shall be prepared and implemented for the demolition site. | | |
| | (6) The plan required by Sentence (5) shall include | | |
| | (a) the designation and organization of site personnel to carry out fire safety duties, including firewatch if applicable, | | |
| | (b) the emergency procedures to be used in case of fire, including | | |
| | (i) initiating a fire warning, | | |
| | (ii) notifying the fire department , | | |
| | (iii) instructing site personnel on the procedures to be followed once the warning has been initiated, and | | |
| | (iv) confining, controlling and extinguishing the fire, | | |
| | (c) the control of fire hazards in and around the building including procedures to mitigate risks to adjacent buildings, and | | |
| | (d) the maintenance of fire fighting facilities. | | |
| | (7) Where demolition operations occur in an existing building that is required to have a fire safety plan conforming to Section 2.8., the fire safety plan shall take into account the changes occurring to the building in conformance with Sentences 2.8.2.1.(4) and (5). | | |
| PROBLEM | While it is implied, the current language is not clear that firewatch personnel is responsible for notifying the occupants upon discovery of fire. There is a need for the emergency procedures to address the confining, controlling and extinguishing of a fire. There is a need to cross-reference to the fire safety plan provisions in Section 2.8 for buildings required to have a fire safety plan. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | |

| IMPACT | Facilitates compliance and enforcement by clarifying the intent. | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | ACT ON R CODE VISIONS See related proposed changes to Sentences 2.8.2.1.(4) and (5). | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 8.1.2.7. | | |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.6.1.4. | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Sentence to require firefighter access to existing elevating devices. | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | Access for fire fighting | | | | |
| PROVISIONS | 8.1.2.7. (1) H conformance with S | Fire fighting acces Section 2.5. | s routes shall be maintained in | | |
| | (2) Unobstructed access to fire hydrants, portable extinguishers and to fire department connections for standpipe and sprinkler systems shall be maintained. | | | | |
| | (3) Where a demolition site is fenced so as to prevent general entry, provision shall be made for access by fire department equipment and personnel. | | | | |
| | (4) Elevating devices shall be accessible for the use of firefighters for buildings more than 36 m in building height , measured between grade and the floor level of the top storey . | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Access for fire fighting | | | | |
| CHANGE | 8.1.2.7. (1) Fire fighting access routes shall be maintained in conformance with Section 2.5. | | | | |
| | (2) Unobstructed access to fire hydrants, portable extinguishers and to fire department connections for standpipe and sprinkler systems shall be maintained. | | | | |
| | (3) Where a demolition site is fenced so as to prevent general entry, provision shall be made for access by fire department equipment and personnel. | | | | |
| | (4) Provision shall be made for the use of existing elevators, hoists or lifts to assist firefighters in reaching all levels of the building . | | | | |
| | (5) Elevating buildings more than the floor level of the | devices shall be a a 36 m in building e top storey . | accessible for the use of firefighters for g height , measured between grade and | | |

| PROBLEM | While the Code addresses the need for elevating devices to be accessible for firefighers in buildings more than 36 m in height, it does not identify provisions for buildings of lesser heights. |
|--|--|
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. The proposed change facilitates prompt vertical movement by fire |
| | department personnel. |
| IMPACT | Improved firefighting capability |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 8.1.2.7.(4) - [F12-OS1.2,OP1.2] |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Numb | per: 8.1.2.8.(2) |
| Corresp | onding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Numb | per: 5.6.1.5.(1) |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Editorial changes to Sentence (2) to clarify where the provisions apply. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | <i>Portable extinguishers</i> 8.1.2.8. (1) Portable extinguishers shall be provided in buildings under demolition in conformance with Subsection 6.2.6. as if the building were graded for ordinary hazard under Subsection 6.2.5. | | | |
| | (2) In addition to the other requirements of this Code, portable extinguishers shall be provided | | | |
| | (a) adjacent | to cutting or we | lding operations, | |
| | (b) in areas where combustibles are stored, | | | |
| | (c) near or on any internal combustion engines, | | | |
| | (d) adjacent to areas where flammable liquids or gases are stored or handled, | | | |
| | (e) adjacent to temporary oil-fired or gas-fired equipment, and | | | |
| | (f) adjacent to bitumen heating equipment. | | | |
| | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Portable extinguishers | | | |
| CHANGE | 8.1.2.8. (1) Portable extinguishers shall be provided in buildings under demolition in conformance with Subsection 6.2.6. as if the building were graded for ordinary hazard under Subsection 6.2.5. | | | |
| | (2) In addition to the other requirements of this Code, portable extinguishers shall be provided in unobstructed and easily accessible locations in areas | | | |
| | (a) where ho | t work operatio | ns are carried out, | |
| | (b) where co | mbustibles are | stored, | |
| | (c) near or of | n any internal c | ombustion engines, | |
| | (d) where flammable liquids and combustible liquids or gases are stored or handled, | | | |

| | (e) where temporary fuel-fired equipment is used, and | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | (f) where bitumen heating equipment is used. | | |
| | | | |
| PROBLEM | The term "adjacent" is too vague (e.g. a portable extinguisher could be adjacent to a room, yet travel distance to the portable extinguisher could be too far to be reached in a timely manner to prevent the uncontrolled growth of a fire). | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | |
| IMPACT | Improved clarity. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|-----------------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 8.1.2.10. | |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.6.1.8. | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Replace Sentences (1) and (2) with a new provision that relates to ignition sources. Renumber Sentence (3). | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | Clearance to combustible materials 8.1.2.10. (1) Internal combustion engines shall be located so that the exhaust discharges not less than 500 mm from combustible materials. (2) Where exhaust from internal combustion engines is piped outdoors, a clearance of not less than 150 mm shall be maintained between the exhaust pipe and any combustible material. | | | |
| | (3) The clearance between combustible materials and temporary heating equipment, including flues , shall be in conformance with the Building Code or in conformance with the minimum clearances shown on certified heating equipment. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | Ignition Sources 8.1.2.10. (1) Devices capable of producing ignition, internal combustion engines, temporary heating equipment and associated devices shall be kept at a safe distance from combustible material so as not to cause ignition. (2) The clearance between combustible materials and temporary heating equipment, including flues, shall be in conformance with the Building Code or in conformance with the minimum clearances shown on certified heating equipment. | | | |
| PROBLEM | Identified sources of ignition are not limited to internal combustion engines. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| IMPACT | Minimal. | | | |

| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
|--|---|
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 8.1.2.13. | |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: B | Reference Number: 5.6.1.17. | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentences (1) and (2) to clarify that a fire alarm system is not the only means necessary to provide early warning of fire in a building being demolished. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | 8.1.2.13. (1) | A system shall be | provided to alert site personnel of fire. | |
| PROVISIONS | (2) The syste heard throughout th | m required by Sen e building . | tence (1) shall be capable of being | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 8.1.2.13. A means shall be provided to alert site personnel of a fire and such means shall be capable of being heard throughout the building or facility. | | | |
| PROBLEM | Usually existing fire alarm systems are not operational when building demolition operations are ongoing. The current requirement is often confused with a requirement to install a 'fire alarm system'. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| | The proposed change allows flexibility in implementing measures to achieve compliance. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved flexibility. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | | |
| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: | В | Reference Number: 8.1.2.14. (New) |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: | B | Reference Number: 5.6.1.11. |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Article 8.1.2.14. to regulate the safety of tanks, piping and machinery reservoirs at demolition sites that contain combustible liquids or flammable liquids. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Tanks, Piping and | Machinery | Reserv | oir Safety at Demolition Sites |
| CHANGE | 8.1.2.14. (1) Ta shall be taken out of | nks, piping f service in c | and ma conform | achinery reservoirs at a demolition site nance with Subsection 4.3.17. |
| | (2) Tanks, piping and machinery reservoirs at a demolition site that contain combustible liquids or flammable liquids or that are likely to contain flammable vapours shall be drained and, except as permitted by Sentence (3), removed prior to the demolition of the building. | | | |
| | (3) Where it is impracticable to remove tanks, piping or machinery reservoirs from the building prior to demolition , such equipment shall be conspicuously identified and removed as soon as conditions permit. | | | |
| | (4) Tanks, piping and machinery reservoirs referred to in Sentences (2) and (3) that once contained combustible liquids , flammable liquids , or flammable gases shall be purged with inert materials prior to demolition to prevent an explosion. | | | |
| PROBLEM | The presence of combustible liquids or flammable liquids on demolition sites poses a fire hazard if improper decommissioning procedures are followed. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | This change corresponds to a technical requirement/change being proposed to the National Fire Code and is presented here for possible adoption into the Ontario Fire Code as part of the code harmonization initiative. The change may have been edited to reflect the Ontario Fire Code context. | | | |
| | Addresses an identit | fied risk. | | |
| IMPACT | Improved safety. | | | |

| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) | (1) Note ¹ (2) [F01.F43-OS1.1.OP1.1] |
| AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | (3) [F01,F81-OS1.1,OP1.1] (4) [F01,F43-OS1.1,OP1.1] |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|-----------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record Division: B Reference Number: 9.3.3.8.(2) | | | | |
| Correspoi | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Add new Sentence (2) to clarify the requirement for self-closers and latching devices for doors in exit stairway and interior stairway fire separations. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 9.3.3.8. (1) Closures in fire separations required in Articles 9.3.3.5. and 9.3.3.6. shall be constructed in accordance with Article 9.10.3.1. of the 1986 Building Code with a minimum 20 min fire-protection rating . | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 9.3.3.8. (1) | | | |
| CHANGE | (2) Doors used as closures referred to in Sentence (1) shall be equipped with self-closing and latching devices. | | | |
| PROBLEM | Currently Sentence (1) does not explicitly require self-closing and latching devices as a primary requirement for doors used as closures in exit stairway and interior stairway fire separations. Such devices are required implicitly through the deemed to comply allowance in Sentence (3). | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Consistency with Sentence 9.3.3.8.(3). | | | |
| IMPACT | None - clarifies requirement. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | Existing Sentences (2) to (5) will be renumbered and other editorial changes to the Article will be made to correspond to the renumbering, as necessary | | | |
| OBJECTIVE | OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | 9.3.3.8.(2) - [F03,O | DS1.2] | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC RecordDivision: BReference Number: 9.5.2.10. | | | | |
| Correspon | Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Expand the exemptions under Sentence (2) to include all fuel-fired appliances that serve a room or suite | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 9.5.2.10. (1) room separated from having a 45 min fire than 2 storeys or the | 9.5.2.10. (1) Fuel-fired appliances shall be enclosed in a service room separated from the remainder of the building by a fire separation having a 45 min fire-resistance rating where the building height is greater than 2 storeys or the building area is greater than 400 m^2 . | | | |
| | (2) Sentence (1) does not apply to fireplaces within a dwelling unit or suite . | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 9.5.2.10. (1) Fuel-fired appliances shall be enclosed in a service room separated from the remainder of the building by a fire separation having a 45 min fire-resistance rating where the building height is greater than 2 storeys or the building area is greater than 400 m^2 . | | | | |
| | (2) Sentence (1) does not apply to a fuel-fired appliance that serves only one room or suite . | | | | |
| PROBLEM | The existing requirement is inconsistent and more restrictive than the Ontario Building Code. As written, fuel-fired appliances such as gas fired cooking stoves and gas fired dryers are required to be enclosed in fire- separated rooms. | | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The change provides clarity and consistency with the Ontario Building Code and the provisions contained in Sentence 9.1.2.2.(1) of Division B of the Fire Code | | | | |
| IMPACT | None. | None. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | | |

| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
|--|------------|--|--|
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC RecordDivision: BReference Number: 9.5.2.12. | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Change Division: N/A Reference Number: N/A | | | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Article to reflect the proposed new defined term "refuse storage rooms". | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 9.5.2.12. (1) separated from the r 45 min fire-resistar | 9.5.2.12. (1) Incinerator rooms and refuse storage rooms shall be separated from the remainder of the building by a fire separation having a 45 min fire-resistance rating . | | |
| | (2) Refuse storage rooms shall be sprinklered with a spacing not exceeding 9.5 m^2 per sprinkler head or providing a minimum average density of 6.5 L/min/m ² over the room area. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | 9.5.2.12.(1) Incinerator rooms and refuse storage rooms shall be | | | |
| CHANGE | (2) Refuse storage rooms shall | | | |
| PROBLEM | Refuse storage room needs to be a defined term, indicating that recyclables are part of refuse storage. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | Clarifies the intent of the provision. | | | |
| IMPACT | Improved compliance. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | A corresponding change will be made to Article 9.6.2.11. and a corresponding defined term is proposed for Division A, 1.4.1.2. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S)No Change.AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S)No Change. | | | | |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHAN | GE – OFC Record | Division: B | Reference Number: 9.5.3.7.(2)(b)(i) | |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revise Sentence 9.5.3.7.(2)(b)(i) to allow for closures that are not fixed shut but close automatically upon operation of a fusible link. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC | 9.5.3.7 | | | |
| PROVISIONS | (2) Where a f | fire escape serves a | any storey above the second floor, | |
| | (a) doorway min fire devices, | openings shall be -protection rating and | protected with closures having a 20 g and equipped with self-closing | |
| | (b) window | openings shall be p | protected by | |
| | (i) closures having a 20 min fire-protection rating and that are fixed shut, | | | |
| | (ii) wired glass screens set in fixed steel frames, | | | |
| | (iii) glass block, or | | | |
| | (iv) listed steel shutters arranged to close automatically upon the operation of a fusible link, | | | |
| | where such openings are located within 3 m horizontally of, 3 storeys or 10 m below, or 1.8 m above, any balcony, platform or stairway of the fire escape. | | | |
| | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | (b) window openings shall be protected by | | | |
| | (i) clos fix op | sures having a 20 n and shut or arrange eration of a fusible | min fire-protection rating and that are d to close automatically upon the e link, | |
| PROBLEM | The current wording not allow for other t automatically upon | g in Clause 9.5.3.7 types of closures w the operation of a | .(2)(b)(i) is restrictive in that it does which are not fixed shut but which close fusible link. | |

| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The proposed change allows for innovative and alternative forms of protection. |
|--|--|
| ІМРАСТ | Increased compliance flexibility. |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. |
| OBJECTIVE | BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. |

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|-----|----------------------------|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: | В | Reference Number: 9.6.2.8. |
| Correspor | nding NFC Change | Division: | N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Expand the exemptions under Sentence (2) to include all fuel-fired appliances that serve a room or suite. | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | 9.6.2.8. (1) Fuel-fired appliances shall be enclosed in a service room separated from the remainder of the building by a fire separation having a 1 h fire-resistance rating. (2) Sentence (1) does not apply to fireplaces within a dwelling unit or suite. | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC CHANGE | 9.6.2.8. (1) Fuel-fired appliances shall be enclosed in a service room separated from the remainder of the building by a fire separation having a 1 h fire-resistance rating. (2) Sentence (1) does not apply to a fuel-fired appliance that serves only one room or suite. | | | |
| PROBLEM | The existing requirement is inconsistent and more restrictive than the Ontario Building Code. As written, fuel-fired appliances such as gas fired cooking stoves and gas fired dryers are required to be enclosed in fire- separated rooms. | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | The change provides clarity and consistency with the Ontario Building Code and the provisions contained in Sentence 9.1.2.2.(1) of Division B of the Fire Code. | | | |
| IMPACT | None. | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | None. | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | | |

ſ

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE SUPPLEMENT (FCS-1) | | | |
|--|---|---------------|---|
| PROPOSED CHA | NGE – FCS Record | Division: N/A | Reference Number: Multiple (see Table below) |
| Correspo | onding NFC Change | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Revisions to attributions in Fire Code Supplement FCS-1. | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | See Table below. | | |
| PROBLEM | A number of attributions in the Fire Code Supplement FCS-1 require revision to more accurately reflect the intent of the requirements with which they are linked. | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | See Table below. | | |
| IMPACT | None. Increased accuracy in the attributions will facilitate compliance with the Fire Code through alternative solutions. | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | N/A | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | N/A | | |

OBJECTIVES AND FUNCTIONAL STATEMENTS ATTRIBUTED TO THE ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS IN DIVISION B

| Acceptable Solution Reference | Existing Attributions | Proposed Attributions | Rationale |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 2.2.1.1. | [F03-OS1.2,OP1.2] | [F82-OS1.2,OP1.2] | The intent of this Article is to ensure maintenance of the required fire separations and therefore an F82 attribution is more appropriate. |
| 2.2.2.1. | [F03-OS1.2,OP1.2] | [F82-OS1.2,OP1.2] | The intent of this Article is to ensure maintenance of the required fire separations and therefore an F82 attribution is more appropriate. |
| 2.4.1.1.(6) | [F01- OS1.1,OP1.1][F02- OS1.2,OP1.2] | [F03-OS1.2,OP3.1] | The intent of this Sentence is to reduce fire exposure to buildings from adjacent storage receptacles and therefore an F03 attribution is more appropriate. It is not the intent to prevent fire spread within a building (F02) or to prevent ignition of materials within the receptacle (F01). |
| 2.4.1.6. | [F43-OS1.2,OP1.2] | [F01- OS1.1, OP1.1][F44- OS1.2, OP1.2] | The intent of this Article is to prevent ignition of flammable vapours (F01) from a flammable/combustible liquid spill and to limit the spread of the spill beyond its point of origin by absorbing it (F44). It is not to minimize the risk of release of the spill (F43). |
| 2.6.1.3. | [F01,F82- OS1.1,OP1.1][F02- OS1.2,OP1.2] | [F01,F82-OS1.1,OP1.1] [F02,F82-OS1.2,OP1.2] | The maintenance functionality (F82) of this Article needs to be added to also limit fire spread (OS1.2, OP1.2) |
| 2.6.1.13. | [F01- OS1.1,OP1.1][F02- OS1.2,OP1.2][F81- OP1.4] [F82- OS1.2,OS1.4,OP1.2] | [F82- OS1.1,OS1.2,OS1.4,OP1.1 ,OP1.2,OP1.4] | This Article has only a maintenance functionality (F82), and the associated objectives should also include a prevention of fire occurring objective for both life safety (OS) and property protection |

| | | | purposes (OP). |
|-------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| 2.6.2.2. | [F01- | [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | This Article should not include |
| | OS1.1,OP1.1][F82- | | a maintenance functionality |
| | OS1.1,OS1.2,OP1.1,O | | (F82) as there are no |
| | P1.2] | | maintenance requirements in |
| | | | CAN/CSA-B365. |
| 2.6.3.2. | [F01- | [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1,OP3.1] | This Article should not include |
| | OS1.1,OP1.1,OP3.1][F | | a maintenance functionality |
| | 82-OS1.2,OP1.2] | | (F82) as there are no |
| | | | maintenance requirements in |
| | FE01 001 1 0D2 11 | | NFPA 82. |
| 2.6.3.1.(2) | [F01-OS1.1,OP3.1] | | This Sentence should not |
| | [F03-OS1.2][F81- | [F03-OS1.2,OP3.1][F81- | include a maintenance |
| | OS1.1,OS1.2][F82- | 051.1,051.2] | functionality (F82) as there are |
| | 051.1] | | in NEDA 82 |
| 2624(1) | [E01 E02 E02 E01 | [E01 E81 OC1 1 OD1 1] | III INFFA 02. Various attributions pairs are |
| 2.0.3.4.(1) | $[\Gamma 01, \Gamma 02, \Gamma 03, \Gamma 01 - 0.000]$ | [F01,F81-OS1.1,OF1.1] [F02 F03 F81 | not appropriate, such as [E0] |
| | P1 2 OP3 11 | OS1 2 OP1 2 OP3 11 | OS1 2 OP1 2 OP3 11 and |
| | 11.2,013.1] | 051.2,011.2,015.1] | [F02 F03-OS1 2 OP1 1] and |
| | | | as such should be deleted |
| 2.7.1.7.(1) | [F10,F30-OS1,5][F12- | [F10 F82-OS1 5][F12 F82- | The F30 functionality for this |
| 2., | OS1.2.OP1.2] | OS1.2.OP1.2] | Sentence is redundant in that |
| | • | | F10 covers the same |
| | | | functionality from a fire safety |
| | | | perspective. The F82 |
| | | | functionality is added to |
| | | | capture the maintenance |
| | | | component of the requirement. |
| 2.7.3.3.(1) | [F10,F82-OS1.5] | [F82-OS1.5] | The F10 functionality for this |
| | | | Sentence is not required as it is |
| | | | strictly a maintenance |
| | | | requirement. |
| 2.7.3.3.(2) | [F10,F82-OS1.5] | [F82-OS1.5] | The F10 functionality for this |
| | | | Sentence is not required as it is |
| | | | strictly a maintenance |
| | | | The E10 for a lite for the |
| 2.1.3.3.(3) | [F10,F82-081.5] | [F82-081.5] | Ine FIU functionality for this |
| | | | sentence is not required as it is |
| | | | requirement |
| 2733(A) | [F10 F82_0\$1 5] | [F82_OS1_5] | The E10 functionality for this |
| 2.1.3.3.(4) | | | Sentence is not required as it is |
| | | | strictly a maintenance |
| | | | requirement |
| 2.7.3.3 (5) | [F10.F82-OS1.5] | [F82-OS1.5] | The F10 functionality for this |
| | | | Sentence is not required as it is |

| | | | strictly a maintenance |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | requirement. |
| 2.7.3.3.(6) | [F10,F82-OS1.5] | [F82-OS1.5] | The F10 functionality for this |
| | | | Sentence is not required as it is |
| | | | strictly a maintenance |
| 2922(1) | | [E12 OS1 2 OS1 5 OD1 2] | requirement. |
| 2.8.2.3.(1) | $[\Gamma^2, \Gamma^3, \Gamma^{12}, \Gamma^{13}]$ | [F12-051.2,051.3,0P1.2] | only an F12 functionality is |
| | [F11_OS1.5] | | about the provision relates to |
| | | | facilitating emergency |
| | | | response. |
| 2.8.2.4. | F01-OS1.1][F02- | [F12- | Only an F12 functionality is |
| | OS1.2,OP1.2][F10,F11 | OS1.2,OS1.4,OS1.5,OP1.2 | required for this Article as the |
| | -OS1.5][F12,F13- | ,OP1.4] | provision relates to facilitating |
| | OS1.2,OS1.4,OS1.5,O | | emergency response. |
| | P1.2,OP1.4][F82- | | |
| | OS1.1,OS1.2,OS1.4,O | | |
| | S1.5,OP1.1,OP1.2,OP1 | | |
| | .4] | | |
| 2.8.2.5.(2)(a) | [F10-OS1.5] | [F12-OS1.2,OS1.5,OP1.2] | An F12 functionality is more |
| | | | appropriate as the requirement |
| 2825(2)(b) | [F12_ | [F01_0\$1.1 OP1.1][F03_ | This Clause relates to |
| 2.0.2.3.(2)(0) | OS1 2 OS1 5 OP1 21 | OS1 2 OP1 21/F10-OS1 51 | emergency procedures |
| | 001.2,001.3,011.2] | | (including procedures to |
| | | | prevent fire spread) and fire |
| | | | safety rules to be observed by |
| | | | hotel guests and therefore the |
| | | | F01, F03 and F10 |
| | | | functionalities are more |
| | | | appropriate. |
| 2.14.1.5.(1)(a) | [FI]- | [F11-OS1.5][F13- | The [F11-OS1.2] attribution is |
| | OS1.2, OS1.5][F13- | US1.2,US1.5,UP1.2] | not appropriate. The [F13- |
| | US1.2,US1.3,UP1.2] | | ob1.2,0r1.2] auridutions |
| | | | prevention of fire spread |
| | | | performance objective |
| 3.2.1.4. | [F01.F81- | [F01-OS1.1.OP1.1] | The F81 functionality is not |
| | OS1.1,OP1.1] | [F02,F03-OS1.2,OP1.2] | appropriate. The [F02- |
| | | , | OS1.2,OP1.2] and [F03- |
| | | | OS1.2,OP1.2] attributions are |
| | | | added to address fire severity |
| | | | and spread performance |
| | | | objectives. |
| 3.2.2.(1) | [F03,F12- | [F03,F12-OP1.2,OP3.1] | An [F04-OP3.1] attribution is |
| | OP1.2,OP3.1] | [F04-OS1.3,OP1.2,OP3.1] | added to address a "collapse |
| | [F04-OS1.3,OP1.2] | | due to fire that could expose an |

| | 1 | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | | | adjacent building" |
| | | 1 | performance objective. |
| 3.2.2.26. | [F12- | Note | Water supplies are contingent |
| | O\$1.2,O\$1.3,OP1.2,O | | on discretionary <u>approval</u> of |
| | P3.1] | | fire protection therefore an |
| | | | alternative solution for this |
| | | | provision is not applicable. |
| 3.3.3.2.(5) | [F1,F81-OS1.1,OP1.1] | [F01,F81-OS1.1,OP1.1] | Errata - "F1" should be "F01" |
| 3.7.1.2. | [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | Note | This provision is a signpost |
| | [F03-OS1.2,OP1.2] | | and therefore does not require |
| | | | any attributions. |
| 4.1.5.9.(1) | [F01,F43- | [F01,F12,F43- | The attributions for this |
| | OS1.1,OP1.1] | OS1.1,OP1.1][F12- | Sentence should also include |
| | | OS1.2,OP1.2] | |
| | | | |
| | | | recognize that emergency |
| | | | grade floor grass, are more |
| | | | difficult than at grade level |
| | | | These activities include |
| | | | dealing with spills that could |
| | | | lead to a fire or suppressing a |
| | | | fire. |
| 4.1.6.3.(3)(a) | [F03-OP3.1][F44- | [F03-OP3.1][F44- | This Clause also needs an |
| | OS1.1,OS1.2,OP1.1,O | OS1.1,OS1.2, | [F44-OH5] attribution as it |
| | P1.2] | OH5,OP1.1,OP1.2] | includes a concern for public |
| | | | health. |
| | [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | [F01,F44-OS1.1,OP1.1] | The [F02-OS1.2,OP1.2] and |
| | [F02-OS1.2,OP1.2] | | [F44-OS1.2,OP1.2] are |
| 4.1.6.3.(3)(b) | [F44- | | inappropriate as the purpose of |
| | OS1.1,OS1.2,OP1.1,O | | putting absorbent materials on |
| | P1.2] | | a spill is to prevent the spill |
| | | | from igniting, or spreading and |
| | | | then igniting, and is not about |
| | | | limiting the severity of a fire or |
| | | | preventing a fire from |
| A = 1 = 6 A (2)(f) | [E12 OS1 2 OD1 2] | [E12 | Since the intent of this |
| +.1.0.4.(2)(1) | | $\begin{array}{c} 1^{1}12^{-} \\ 0.51 1 0.51 2 0.001 1 0.001 2 \end{array}$ | requirement is to also prevent a |
| | | 1 | spill from igniting then [F12- |
| | | L | OS1.1.] and [F12-OP1.1] |
| | | | attributions are also |
| | | | appropriate. |
| 4.2.3.1.(1) | [F01,F04,F20.F43.F80. | [F01,F20,F43.F80.F81- | The F04 functionality is not |
| | F81-OS1.1,OP1.1] | OS1.1,OP1.1][F04- | compatible with OS1.1 or |
| | · · · ·] | OS1.2,OP1.2] | OP1.1 objectives. It is more |
| | | L , | appropriately combined as |

| | | | OS1.2 and OP1.2 objectives. |
|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 4.2.3.2.(2) | F01,F81-OS1.1,OP1.1] | Note ¹ | This provision is an exemption |
| | [F12- | | to Sentence 4.2.3.2.(1), |
| | OS1.1,OS1.2,OP1.1,O | | therefore no attributions are |
| | P1.2] | | required. |
| 4.2.6.4. | [F01,F04,F43- | [F01,F04,F43- | Errata - "OP-1" should be |
| | OS1.1,OP-1] | OS1.1,OP1.1][F02- | OP1.1 |
| | [F02-OS1.2,OP1.2] | OS1.2,OP1.2] | |
| 4.2.9.1.(2) | [F02,F03- | [F02-OS1.2,OP1.2] | An F03 functionality for |
| | OS1.2,OP1.2] | | limiting fire spread is not |
| | | | applicable for this provision, |
| | | | which is intended to control |
| | | | fire severity in the storage |
| | IF01 001 1 001 11 | (F01.001.1.001.1) | room. |
| 4.3.12.4.(1)(a) | [F01-051.1,0P1.1] | [F01-051.1,0P1.1] | The attributions in Clause (a) |
| | [F02-OS1.2,OP1.2] | [F02,F03,F12- | Subsection 4.2.12 as that is |
| | | OS1.2, OP1.2][F04-OS1.3 OP1.3][F44 | the referenced Article for |
| | | OS1 1 OS1 2 OH5 OP1 1 | design of the dedicated rooms |
| | | OP1 21 | design of the dedicated rooms. |
| 4 3 12 4 (2) | [F01-OS1 1 OP1 1] | Note ¹ | Sentence (2) is an exemption |
| 1.3.12.1.(2) | [F02 F12- | 1000 | to Sentence (1) therefore |
| | OS1.2.OP1.2] | | attributions are not applicable. |
| 4.3.14.1.(1) | [F01-OS1.1] | [F01-OS1.1.OP1.1] | An [F01-OP1.1] attribution is |
| | [F43-OS1.1.OP1.1] | [F43-OS1.1.OP1.1] | needed to reflect the potential |
| | | | for property damage due to a |
| | | | fire occurring |
| 4.3.15.4.(5) | [F20,F82-OS3.4] | [F81-OS3.4] | An F20 functionality is not |
| | | | appropriate, as a leak test isn't |
| | | | intended to determine whether |
| | | | the tank can handle expected |
| | | | loads. Instead an F81 |
| | | | functionality is appropriate as |
| | | | the pneumatic test is intended |
| | | | to prove that there is no |
| | | | damage to the tank after |
| | | | installation. |
| | | | An F82 functionality is not |
| | | | appropriate as the requirement |
| | | | does not nave a maintenance |
| 1 2 16 2 (1) | [E/2 E92 D01 1 OD1 1] | IE42 E82 OS1 1 OD1 11 | Frate DS1 1 should read |
| 4.3.10.3.(1) | [[[43,F82-F81.1,0F1.1] | [F43,F82-US1.1,UP1.1] | OS1.1 |
| 4.3.17.1.(1)(b) | [F01,F34- | [F34,F81-OS1.1,OP1.1] | Both F81 (minimize risk of |
| | OS1.1,OP1.1] | | tampering) and F34 (resist or |
| | | | discourage unwanted access or |
| | | | entry) functionalities are more |

| | | | appropriate than F01 |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | (minimize risk of accidental |
| | | | ignition). |
| | [F20,F81-OS1.1] | [F20-OS1.1] | The F81 functionality is |
| | | | deleted, as it is not relevant to |
| 4.3.17.1.(1)(c) | | | the purpose of the requirement. |
| | [F20.F80.F81- | [F20.F43.F80.F81- | This change corresponds to a |
| | OS1.1,OP1.1] | OS1.1,OP1.1] | technical change being |
| | , , | , _ | proposed to the National Fire |
| | | | Code and is presented here for |
| | | | possible adoption into the |
| | | | Ontario Fire Code as part of |
| 4.4.2.1.(4)* | | | the code harmonization |
| | | | initiative. |
| | [F20,F80,F81- | [F20,F43,F80,F81- | This change corresponds to a |
| | OS1.1,OP1.1] | OS1.1,OP1.1] | technical change being |
| | | | proposed to the National Fire |
| | | | Code and is presented here for |
| | | | possible adoption into the |
| | | | Ontario Fire Code as part of |
| 4.4.2.1.(5)* | | | the code harmonization |
| | | | initiative. |
| | [F20,F81- | [F20,F43,F80 | This change corresponds to a |
| | OS1.1,OP1.1] | F81-OS1.1,OP1.1] | technical change being |
| | | | proposed to the National Fire |
| | | | Code and is presented here for |
| | | | possible adoption into the |
| | | | Ontario Fire Code as part of |
| 4.4.5.3.(1)* | | | the code harmonization |
| | | | initiative. |
| 4.4.11.1. | [F12- | [F12- | Errata - "81" should be F81 |
| | OS1.1,OS1.2,OP1.1,O | OS1.1,OS1.2,OP1.1,OP1.2 | |
| | P1.2][81-OS1.1,OP1.1] |][F81-OS1.1,OP1.1] | |
| 4.5.6.4.(1) | [F01-OS1.1,OP3.1] | [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1,OP3.1] | |
| | | | Add an [F01-OP1.1] |
| | | | attribution for situations where |
| | | | vapour can spread into a |
| | | | building and then ignite. |
| 4.5.8.1.(1) | [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | [F01,F43- | An F44 functionality is not |
| | [F43,F44- | OS1.1,OP1.1][F12- | needed in this Sentence. [F12- |
| | OS1.1,OH5,OP1.1] | OS1.1,OS1.2,OH5,OP1.1, | OS1.1,OS1.2,OH5,OP1.1,OP1. |
| | | OP1.2] | 2] attributions are added to |
| | | | reflect that the attendant is |
| | | | expected to facilitate |
| | | | emergency response. |
| 4.5.8.1.(2) | F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | Note ¹ | Sentence (2) is an exemption |
| | [F34,F43- | | to Sentence (1) and therefore |

| | OS1.1,OH5,OP1.1] | | does not require its own attribution. |
|----------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| | [F01.F12.F43.F44- | [F01.F12.F43- | [F44-OS1.1, OP1.1] |
| 4582(2) | OS1 1 OH5 OP1 11 | OS1 1 OH5 OP1 11 | attributions should be replaced |
| | | [F12 F44-OS1 2 OP1 2] | with [F44-OS1 2 OP1 2] as |
| | | | being more appropriate An |
| | | | IE12-OS1 2 OP1 21 attribution |
| | | | is added to reflect that the |
| | | | attendant is expected to |
| | | | facilitate emergency response |
| | | | to limit fire spread |
| 1582(3) | E 44 | [E01 E12 E43 | All attributions for Sentence |
| 4.5.6.2.(5) | OS1 1 OS1 2 OH5 OP1 | OS1 1 OH5 OP1 11 | An attributions for Sentence |
| | 1 OP1 21 | [F12 F44 OS1 2 OP1 2] | 4.5.8.2.(5) should match those of Sentence $4.5.8.2.(2)$ |
| 4582(4) | [E01 OS1 1 OD1 1] | [112,144-051.2,011.2] | The E44 functionality is not |
| 4.3.6.2.(4) | [101-031.1,0F1.1] | $[F_{42} \cap S_{1} \cap G_{1} \cap G_$ | appropriate as the two way |
| | $[1^{4}3,1^{4}4^{-}]$ | [1 ⁴ 3-031.1,0113,0F1.1] | appropriate as the two-way |
| | 051.1,0115,011.1] | | limit the potential for an |
| | | | accidental release of fuel not |
| | | | to limit the spread of the |
| | | | released fuel |
| | [F01-OS1 1 OP1 1] | [F01-OS1 1 OP1 1] | [F44-OS1 1 OP1 1] |
| 4582(5) | [F12 F43 F44- | [F12 F43- | attributions are replaced with |
| 1.5.0.2.(5) | OS1 1 OH5 OP1 11 | OS1 1 OH5 OP1 11 | [F44-OS1 2 OP1 2] as being |
| | 00111,0110,01111] | [F12 F44-OS1 2 OP1 2] | more appropriate [F12- |
| | | | OS1 2 OP1 21 attributions are |
| | | | also appropriate as the |
| | | | attendant would facilitate |
| | | | emergency response to limit |
| | | | fire spread. |
| 4.5.8.3. | [F01-OS1.1.OP1.1] | [F01-OS1.1.OP1.1] | [F43-OS1.1.OP1.1] |
| | [F12.F44- | [F12.F43- | attributions are needed to |
| | OS1.1.0H5.0P1.11 | OS1.1.OH5.OP1.11 | reflect the functionality of |
| | | [F12.F44-OS1.2.OP1.2] | minimizing the risk of release |
| | | | of fuel. [F44- OS1.1, OP1.1] |
| | | | attributions are replaced with |
| | | | [F44-OS1.2,OP1.2] as being |
| | | | more appropriate to limiting |
| | | | fire spread. [F12- |
| | | | OS1.2,OP1.2] attributions are |
| | | | also appropriate as the |
| | | | attendant would facilitate |
| | | | emergency response to limit |
| | | | fire spread. |
| 4.5.8.5.(1)(a) | [F44- | [F12- | Replace F44 with F12 to |
| | OS1.1,OS1.2,OH5,OP1 | OS1.1,OS1.2,OH5,OP1.1, | reflect the functionality of |
| | .1,OP1.2] | OP1.2] | facilitating emergency |

| | | | response. |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 4.5.8.5.(1)(b) | [F44- | [F43, F81- | Replace F44 with [F43,F81- |
| | OS1.1,OS1.2,OH5,OP1 | OS1.1,OH5,OP1.1] [F44- | OS1.1,OP1.1] attributions that |
| | .1,OP1.2] | OS1.2, OP1.2] | relate to preventing release of |
| | | | fuel and its subsequent |
| | | | ignition. |
| 4.5.8.5.(1)(c) | [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | [F43-OS1.2,OP1.2] |
| | [F43- | [F43-OS1.1,OP1.1][F44- | attributions should more |
| | OS1.1,OP1.1,OS1.2,O | OS1.2, OP1.2] | appropriately be [F44-OS1.2, |
| | P1.2] | | OP1.2] to address the risk of |
| | | | spread of hazardous materials |
| 4595(2) | | FE12 | and resulting fire spread. |
| 4.5.8.5.(3) | [F12,F81- | [F12- | The [F81-OS1.2,OP1.2] is |
| | 051.1,051.2,0P1.1,0 | 0\$1.1,0\$1.2,0P1.1,0P1.2 | redundant and therefore |
| | | | deleted. |
| 4703(2) | [F01-051.1] | [F01-051.1,0P1.1] | An [F01-OP1.1] autibution is |
| 4.7.9.3.(2) | [F02-051.2,0F5.1] | [102,144-031.2,011.2] | for property damage to the |
| | [F44-OS1 1 OP3 1] | [103-051.2,013.1] | nump house if ignition of |
| | | | vapours occurs An [F03- |
| | | | OP3.11 attribution is added to |
| | | | reflect consideration for fire |
| | | | spread to adjacent buildings. |
| | | | F02 and F44 functionalities are |
| | | | more appropriately concerned |
| | | | with damage to the pier or |
| | | | wharf (OP1.2). |
| 4.8.4.1.(1)(b) | [F43-OS1.1,OP1.1] | [F02,F44-OS1.2,OP1.2] | [F02-OS1.2, OP1.2] and [F44- |
| | | | OS1.2, OP1.2] attributions are |
| | | | more appropriate as Clause (b) |
| | | | deals with quantities of liquids |
| | | | released, which is a fire |
| | | | severity concern or liquids |
| 4022 | | | spill spread concern. |
| 4.9.3.3. | [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | [FUI-OS1.1,OP1.1] | [FU4-US1.2,UP1.2] |
| | [F20,F81- | [F20,F81- | attributions are added to reflect |
| | 031.1,013,011.1] | OS1.1,OH3,OF1.1][F04-OS1.2,OP1.2] | needed to relieve the tank |
| | | 051.2,0F1.2] | under fire exposure conditions |
| | | | which could lead to failure of |
| | | | tank from overpressure and |
| | | | spread of fire. |
| 4.11.3.8.(2)(a) | [F01-OS1.1.OP3.1] | [F01-OS1.1.OP1.1] | The [F01-OP3.1] attribution is |
| ·····(-)(u) | [F03-OS1.2.OP3.1] | [F03,F81-OS1.2,OP3.1] | revised to [F01-OP1.1] to |
| | | | reflect the risk of vapours |
| | | | entering building and igniting, |
| | | | versus causing a fire exposure |

| | 1 | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | risk. An [F81-OS1.2,OP3.1] |
| | | | attribution is added to account |
| | | | for risks associated with |
| | | | vehicle traffic accidents that |
| | | | damage refuelling vehicles |
| | | | causing release of fuel and |
| | | | starting a fire, and exposing |
| | | | adjacent buildings. |
| 4.11.3.8.(2)(b) | [F02,F12-OP1.2] | [F02,F12-OS1.2,OP1.2] | An [F02-OS1.2] attribution is |
| | | | added to reflect the potential |
| | | | risk to life safety of the |
| | | | operator and any passers-by. |
| 4.11.3.8.(2)(e) | [F44-OS1.1] | [F44- | [F44-OS1.2,OP1.1,OP3.1] |
| | | OS1.1,OS1.2,OP1.1,OP3.1 | attributions are added to reflect |
| | |] | the risk for vapour spread or |
| | | | fire spread to nearby buildings. |
| 4.12.8.5.(2)(a) | F80-OS1.1,OP1.1] | Note ¹ | Clause (2)(a) is an exemption |
| | | | to Clause (1)(a) and should |
| | | | therefore have no attributions. |
| 4.12.8.5.(2)(b) | F02-OS1.2,OP1.2] | Note ¹ | Clause (2)(b) is an exemption |
| | | | to Clause (1)(a) and should |
| | | | therefore have no attributions. |
| | [F03,F12- | [F03,F12-OS1.2,OP1.2] | [F44-OS1.2,OP1.2] |
| 5.6.2.4.(1)(b) | OS1.2,OP1.2] | [F44-OS1.1,OP1.1] | attributions are deleted as the |
| | [F44- | | [F03-OS1.2,OP1.2] |
| | OS1.1,OS1.2,OP1.1,O | | attributions adequately deal |
| | P1.2] | | with fire spread concerns. |
| | [F03,F12- | [F03,F12-OS1.2,OP1.2] | [F44-OS1.2,OP1.2] |
| 5.6.2.4.(1)(c) | OS1.2,OP1.2] | [F44-OS1.1,OP1.1] | attributions are removed as |
| | [F44- | | [F03-OS1.2,OP1.2] |
| | OS1.1,OS1.2,OP1.1,O | | attributions adequately deal |
| | P1.2] | 1 | with fire spread concerns. |
| 5.6.2.4.(2) | [F02-OS1.2,OP1.2] | Note ¹ | This Sentence is an exemption |
| | | | to Sentence 5.6.2.4.(1) and |
| | | | therefore does not require |
| | | | attributions. |
| 5.18.3.4.(1)(c) | [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | An [F03-OP3.1] attribution is |
| (i) | [F03-OS1.2,OP1.2] | [F03-OS1.2,OP1.2,OP3.1] | added to deal with spread of |
| | | | fire through openings in |
| | | | adjacent buildings. |
| 5.18.3.4.(1)(c) | [F03-OS1.2,OP1.2] | [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] | [F01-OS1.1,OP1.1] |
| (ii) | | [F03-OS1.2,OP1.2,OP3.1] | attributions are added to deal |
| | | | with flammable vapour being |
| | | | drawn into building intake |
| | | | openings and igniting. |
| | | | An [F03-OP3.1] attribution is |
| | | | added to deal with spread of |

| | | | fire through air intake |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | openings in adjacent buildings. |
| 6.2.1.3.(2) | Note ¹ | Note ¹ | [F81-OS1.4,OP1.4] |
| | | Applies to the following | attributions are added to reflect |
| | | text in the Fire Code: " | security functionalities that |
| | | and where portable | Sentence (1) doesn't have. |
| | | extinguishers are located in | |
| | | a fire hose cabinet, an | |
| | | approved lockable, scored | |
| | | glass break-front cabinet | |
| | | may be used." | |
| | | [F81-OS1.4,OP1.4] | |
| | | Applies to the following | |
| | | text in the Fire Code: "A | |
| | | lockable break-front glazed | |
| | | cabinet may be used for | |
| | | security purposes" | |
| 6.2.1.3.(3) | Note ¹ | [F81-OS1.4,OP1.4] | |
| | | | This is a permissive provision |
| | | | and attributions are required to |
| | | | reflect security functionalities |
| | | 1 | that Sentence (1) doesn't have. |
| 6.2.7.5. | [F82-OS1.4,OP1.4] | Note | This is an administrative |
| | | | requirement and therefore does |
| | NT - 1 | | not require attributions. |
| 6.3.1.2.(1) | Note | [F13-OS1.2,OS1.5,OP1.2] | Attributions are required to |
| | | | reflect the need for notification |
| | | | deal with fine sevenity and |
| | | | deal with fire sevenity and |
| | [E82 OS1 4] | IE13 OS1 2 OS1 5 OD1 21 | IE13 OS1 2 OS1 5 OP1 21 |
| 6312(4) | [1'02-051.4] | $[F82_0S1 \land OD1 \land A]$ | attributions are added to reflect |
| 0.3.1.2.(4) | | | the need for fire alarm |
| | | | monitoring to reliably notify |
| | | | the fire service of a fire |
| | | | condition. [F82-OP1 4] |
| | | | attributions are added for |
| | | | property protection |
| | | | considerations. |
| 6.3.1.2.(5) | [F11,F81-OS1.5] | [F13-OS1.2,OS1.5,OP1.2] | [F13-OS1.2,OS1.5,OP1.2] |
| | | [F82-OS1.4,OP1.4] | attributions are added to reflect |
| | | | the need for fire alarm |
| | | | monitoring to reliably notify |
| | | | the fire service of a fire |
| | | | condition. [F82-OS1.4,OP1.4] |
| | | | attributions are added for |

| | | | maintenance considerations of |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | monitoring equipment. |
| | [F82-OS1.4] | [F10,F11-OS1.5][F13- | [F10,F11-OS1.5][F13- |
| 6.3.1.4. | | OS1.2,OS1.5,OP1.2][F82- | OS1.2,OS1.5,OP1.2] |
| | | OS1.4,OP1.4] | attributions are added to reflect |
| | | | the importance of fire alarm |
| | | | and voice communication |
| | | | systems in notifying persons of |
| | | | need to evacuate and notifying |
| | | | balp with every responders to |
| | | | proventing fire spread Also |
| | | | [F82-OP1 4] attribution is |
| | | | added to reflect the property |
| | | | protection objective of fire |
| | | | alarm systems operating |
| | | | properly. |
| 6.3.2.6.(2) | [F82-OS1.4] | [F11-OS1.5][F82-OS1.4] | [F11-OS1.5] attribution is |
| | | | added to reflect the objectives |
| | | | of having working |
| | | | interconnected smoke alarms. |
| 6.3.3.2.(1) | [F82-OS1.4] | [F11-OS1.5][F82-OS1.4] | [F11-OS1.5] attribution is |
| | | | added to reflect the objectives |
| | | | of having working |
| | | | interconnected smoke alarms. |
| 6.5.1.2. | [F82-OS1.4,OP1.4] | [F02,F03-OS1.2,OP1.2] | |
| | | | [F02,F03-OS1.2,OP1.2] |
| | | | the objectives of having a |
| | | | working sprinkler system |
| | | | [F82-OS1 4 OP1 4] attribution |
| | | | deleted as this Article is not a |
| | | | maintenance requirement. |
| | [F82-OS1.4.OP1.4] | [F06-OS1.4.OP1.4] | Replace the F82 maintenance |
| 6.5.6.6. | , <u>,]</u> | | functionality with an F06 |
| | | | functionality, which is |
| | | | intended to reduce the risk of |
| | | | failure or collapse of the |
| | | | sprinkler piping due to the |
| | | | effects of fire. |
| 6.6.1.1. | [F82-OS1.4,OP1.4] | [F80-OS1.4,OP1.4] | Replace the F82 maintenance |
| | | | functionality with an F80 |
| | | | functionality, which applies to |
| | | | the possibility of a |
| | | | deteriorating water supply that |
| | | | of apriphlor systems |
| | 1 | | T OF SOFIEKIEF SYSTEMS. |

| 6.6.4.1. | [F82-OS1.4,OP1.4] | [F12-OS1.2,OP1.2] | Replace the F82 maintenance |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | functionality with an F12 |
| | | | functionality, which applies to |
| | | | facilitating emergency |
| | | | responders to suppress fires. |
| | [F82-OS1.4,OP1.4] | [F12-OS1.2,OP1.2] | Replace the F82 maintenance |
| 6.6.4.2. | | | functionality with an F12 |
| | | | functionality, which applies to |
| | | | facilitating emergency |
| | | | responders to suppress fires. |
| 6.6.4.3. | [F82-OS1.4,OP1.4] | [F12-OS1.2,OP1.2] | Replace the F82 maintenance |
| | | | functionality with an F12 |
| | | | functionality, which applies to |
| | | | facilitating emergency |
| | | | responders to suppress fires. |
| 6.8.1.1.(6) | [F02,F81- | [F02-OS1.2,OP1.2] | Remove F81 attributions as |
| | OS1.2,OP1.2] | | this Sentence should not have |
| | | | an interference or misuse |
| | | | functionality. |
| | [F82-OS1.4,OP1.4] | [F12-OS1.2,OS1.5,OP1.2] | Replace the [F82- |
| 7.1.1.3.(2) | | | OS1.4,OP1.4] maintenance |
| | | | attributions with [F12- |
| | | | OS1.2,OS1.5,OP1.2] |
| | | | attributions, which apply to |
| | | | facilitating emergency |
| | | | responders to suppress fires |
| | | | and assist with evacuation. |
| 7 1 1 2 (2) | [F82-OS1.4,OP1.4] | [F12-OS1.2,OS1.5,OP1.2] | Replace the [F82- |
| 7.1.1.3.(3) | | | os1.4,0P1.4] maintenance |
| | | | auributions with [F12- |
| | | | os1.2,051.3,0P1.2] |
| | | | facilitating omergency |
| | | | responders to suppress fires |
| | | | and assist with evacuation |
| | [F82_OS1 / OD1 /] | [F12-OS1 2 OP1 2] | Replace the [F82 |
| 7113(4) | | | OS1 4 OP1 41 maintenance |
| 7.1.1.3.(+) | | | attributions with [F12- |
| | | | OS1 2 OP1 21 attributions |
| | | | which apply to facilitating |
| | | | emergency responders to |
| | | | suppress fires |
| 7212 | [F82-OS1 4 OP1 4] | [F12-OS1 2 OS1 5 OP1 2] | Replace the [F82- |
| 1.2.1.2. | | | OS1 4 OP1 41 maintenance |
| | | | attributions with [F12- |
| | | | OS1.2.OS1.5.OP1 21 |
| | | | attributions, which apply to |
| 1 | 1 | | |

| | | | facilitating emergency |
|--------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | responders to suppress fires |
| | | | and assist with evacuation. |
| | [F82-OS1.4,OP1.4] | [F03,F05-OS1.5][F12- | Replace the [F82- |
| | | OS1.2,OS1.5,OP1.2] | OS1.4,OP1.4] maintenance |
| | | | attributions with F03,F05- |
| | | | OS1.5 attributions that apply to |
| 7.3.1.1. | | | limiting the fire (smoke) |
| | | | spread, and [F12- |
| | | | OS1.2,OS1.5,OP1.2] |
| | | | attributions, which apply to |
| | | | facilitating emergency |
| | | | responders to suppress fires |
| | | | and assist with evacuation. |
| | [F05-OS1.5] | Note ¹ | This not a requirement, but an |
| 9.3.3.2.(3) | | | exemption to Sentence (1), so |
| | | | does not require its own |
| | | | attributions |
| 9.3.3.8.(1) | [F03-OS1.2] | [F03-OS1.2][F05-OS1.5] | [F05-OS1.5] attribution is |
| ~ / | | | added to reflect that closures |
| | | | serve to protect means of |
| | | | egress from the effects of fire. |
| | [F03-OS1.2] | [F03-OS1.2][F05-OS1.5] | [F05-OS1.5] attribution is |
| 9.3.3.8.(2) | | | added to reflect that the |
| ~ / | | | contiguous corridor is part of |
| | | | the exit stair, which is also |
| | | | designed for safe exiting of |
| | | | occupants. |
| 9.4.2.5.(2) | [F02,F03,F11-OS1.2] | Note ¹ | This is an exemption to |
| ~ / | | | Sentence (1) and therefore |
| | | | does not require its own |
| | | | attributions. |
| 9.4.2.8.(1) | [F03-OS1.2] | [F03-OS1.2][F05-OS1.5] | [F05-OS1.5] attribution is |
| | | | added to reflect that closures |
| | | | serve to protect means of |
| | | | egress from the effects of fire. |
| 9.4.2.8.(2) | [F03-OS1.2] | [F03-OS1.2][F05-OS1.5] | [F05-OS1.5] attribution is |
| | | | added to reflect that closures |
| | | | serve to protect means of |
| | | | egress from the effects of fire. |
| 9.4.2.14.(1) | [F02,F03-OS1.2] | [F02-OS1.2] | [F03-OS1.2] attribution is not |
| | | | required as the shaft wall |
| | | | design deals with this in |
| | | | Article 9.4.2.13. The sprinkler |
| | | | requirement is only concerned |
| | | | with limiting fire severity |
| | | | (F02). |

| 9.5.2.8.(1) | [F03-OS1.2] | [F03-OS1.2][F05-OS1.5] | [F05-OS1.5] attribution is added to reflect that closures |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| | | | serve to protect means of |
| | | | egress from the effects of fire. |
| 9.5.2.8.(2) | [F03-OS1.2] | [F03-OS1.2][F05-OS1.5] | [F05-OS1.5] attribution is |
| | | | added to reflect that closures |
| | | | serve to protect means of |
| | | | egress from the effects of fire. |
| | [F02,F03-OS1.2] | [F02-OS1.2] | [F03-OS1.2] attribution is not |
| 9.5.2.14.(2) | | | required as the shaft wall |
| | | | design deals with this in |
| | | | Sentence (1). The sprinkler |
| | | | requirement is only concerned |
| | | | with limiting fire severity |
| | | | (F02). |
| 9.6.2.6.(1) | [F03-OS1.2] | [F03-OS1.2][F05-OS1.5] | [F05-OS1.5] attribution is |
| | | | added to reflect that closures |
| | | | serve to protect means of |
| | | | egress from the effects of fire. |
| 9.6.3.6.(2) | [F10,F12-OS1.5] | [F10-OS1.5][F12- | [F12-OS1.2] attribution is |
| | | 0\$1.2,0\$1.5] | added to reflect that signage |
| | | | will help emergency |
| | | | floor to fight a fire |
| | [E11 E13 OS1 5] | [E11 OS1 5][E13 | [F13 OS1 2] attribution is |
| 9641(1) | [111,115-051.5] | 0\$1.2 0\$1.5] | added to reflect that fire alarms |
| 9.0.4.1.(1) | | 051.2,051.5] | will notify emergency |
| | | | responders to attempt to |
| | | | suppress the fire. |
| 9.6.4.2.(1) | [F11-OS1.5] | [F11-OS1.5][F13- | [F13-OS1.2] and [F13-OS1.5] |
| | | OS1.2,OS1.5] | attributions are added to reflect |
| | | | that fire alarms will notify |
| | | | emergency responders to |
| | | | attempt to suppress the fire and |
| | | | assist with evacuation. |
| 9.6.4.2.(2) | [F11-OS1.5] | [F11-OS1.5][F13- | [F13-OS1.2] and [F13-OS1.5] |
| | | OS1.2,OS1.5] | attributions are added to reflect |
| | | | that fire alarms will notify |
| | | | emergency responders to |
| | | | attempt to suppress the fire and |
| 0.54.2 | | | assist with evacuation. |
| 9.6.4.3. | [F11-OS1.5] | [F11-OS1.5][F13- | [F13-OS1.2] and [F13-OS1.5] |
| | | 081.2,081.5] | attributions are added to reflect |
| | | | that fire alarms will notify |
| | | | emergency responders to |
| | | | attempt to suppress the fire and |
| 1 | | | assist with evacuation |

| 9.6.4.8. | [F11,F13-OS1.5] | [F11,F13-OS1.5][F81- OS1.4] | [F81-OS1.4] attribution is added to reflect that OBC references fire alarm standards which result in reliable fire alarm/voice communication systems. |
|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 9.6.5.1.(1) | [F12-OS1.5] | [F12-OS1.2,OS1.5] | [F12-OS1.2] attribution is added to reflect that the emergency responders will also suppress the fire. |
| 9.6.5.2.(4) | [F02,F12-OS1.2] | [F81-OS1.4] | [F02,F12-OS1.2] attributions replaced with [F81-OS1.4] attribution as the intent is to provide emergency power if main power fails, so that standpipes have a water supply. |
| 9.6.5.5.(1) | [F02-OS1.2,OS1.4] | [F02-OS1.2][F81-OS1.4] | [F02-OS1.4] replaced with [F81-OS1.4] attribution to reflect that OBC references sprinkler standards to ensure reliable functioning. |
| 9.9.4.11.(1) | [F11,F13-OS1.5] | [F81-OS1.4] | [F11,F13-OS1.5] attributions replaced with [F81-OS1.4] attribution as the intent is to provide power if main power fails, so that the fire alarm will function. |

* Change originates from changes to the NFC.

| PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE 2007 FIRE CODE (OFC) | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| PROPOSED CHANGE – OFC Record | | Division: B | Reference Number: Parts 2 to 5 | | | | |
| Corresponding NFC Proposed Change | | Division: N/A | Reference Number: N/A | | | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CHANGE | Relocate open air burning requirements from Subsection 2.6.3. Incinerators to Subsection 2.4.4. Open Flames. Relocate requirements for laboratories in basements from Article 4.1.5.9. to Subsection 4.12.10. Laboratories. Consolidate existing storage requirements within Part 3 and hazardous processes within Part 5. | | | | | | |
| EXISTING OFC PROVISIONS | C See existing requirements in the OFC. | | | | | | |
| PROPOSED OFC | Summary of Proposed Relocations in Parts 2 and 4 of the Fire Code | | | | | | |
| CHANGE | Proposed <u>Relocations</u> | <u>From</u> | To | <u>Comments</u> | | | |
| | Open Air Burning | 2.6.3.4.(1) | 2.4.4.4. | Harmonization with NFC | | | |
| | Laboratories in Basements | 4.1.5.9.(4) and (5) | 4.12.10 | See corresponding proposed change sheet for 4.12.10. | | | |
| | Summary of Proposed Relocations in Parts 3 and 5 of the Fire Code | | | | | | |
| | <u>Proposed</u> <u>Relocations</u> | <u>From</u> | <u>To</u> | <u>Comments</u> | | | |
| | Woodworking Plants | 3.2.1. | 5.10.1 | Harmonization with NFC | | | |
| | Drycleaning and Dyeing Plants | 3.6. | 5.14.9. | Harmonization with NFC | | | |
| | Bowling Alleys | 3.7 | 5.14.10. | Harmonization with NFC | | | |

| | Matches | 5.3 | 3.3.4. | Harmonization with NFC | | |
|--|---|---------|----------|---|--|--|
| | Combustible Fibres | 5.11 | 3.3.4. | Harmonization with NFC | | |
| | Electrostatic Spraying | 5.14.4 | 5.12.10. | Harmonization with NFC. Also, see corresponding proposed change sheets for 5.14.4. and 5.12.10. | | |
| | Dry Powder Finishing | 5.14.6. | 5.12.11. | Harmonization with NFC . Also, see corresponding proposed change sheets for 5.14.6. and 5.12.11. | | |
| PROBLEM | See above. | | | | | |
| RATIONALE FOR CHANGE | See above. | | | | | |
| IMPACT | None. | | | | | |
| IMPACT ON OTHER CODE PROVISIONS | The relocations may require corresponding editorial / renumbering changes to other Code provisions. | | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE BASED ANALYSIS OF THE CHANGE OR NEW PROVISION | | | | | | |
| FUNCTIONAL STATEMENT(S) AND LINK(S) TO OBJECTIVE(S) | No Change. | | | | | |